

**DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup**  
**Meeting Minutes**  
**Lombard Village Hall**  
**June 24, 2015**  
**9:00 – 11:00 AM**

**1. Approval of April 29, 2015 Meeting Minutes (Attachment 1)**

*Nick Menninga made a motion to approve the April 29, 2015 meeting minutes as presented; motion seconded by Larry Cox; motion carried unanimously.*

**2. DuPage County Wetland Map Update**

In 2012, DuPage County Stormwater Management received an \$118,369 Wetland Program Development grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to update the County's wetland map. The Wetland Map Initiative has been a three-year effort with a goal to provide residents, developers, and businesses with updated wetland information throughout the County. The map is a valuable planning tool for local government, developers, property owners and residents.

**Presenter: Mary Beth Falsey, PWS, CPESC, CFM, Wetland Specialist, DuPage County Stormwater Management**

*Karen Daulton-Lange inquired about typical costs for a landowner to have property determined as a wetland. Mary Beth Falsey replied that a \$150.00 fees is typical and clarified that the fees is applicable for a determination - not to delineate a wetland.*

*Jim Knudsen asked whether DuPage County used GIS files for their investigation. Falsey responded affirmatively. They found evidence of ponds that were previously wetlands and some constructed wetlands. The process to determine whether they are wetlands is time intensive and includes studying plants and various aerial images.*

*Dave Gorman inquired whether the USGS hydraulic atlas should be submitted to demonstrate that a wetland had been constructed in a historically upland area and, thus, should not be considered regulatory. Falsey replied that it would be helpful to have all documentation submitted. There is much more involved work to be completed, which is why the project will not be completed by July 31st.*

*Larry Cox wanted to know how the use of infrared cameras worked out. Falsey stated that after a little time to get used to the cameras, some areas popped out. The infrared cameras made some wetland signatures easier to see later in the season. They used regular aerial imagery in spring and the infrared cameras later in the season.*

*Karen Daulton-Lange asked whether the presentation would be available on the website, highlighting the fact that the request for information was informational, not regulatory. Falsey responded that it is not but could be posted. She mentioned further that one public meeting regarding the project was held yesterday, and another is scheduled for tomorrow at 6:00PM.*

*Dan Lobbes inquired whether DuPage County had determined if the survey had increased or reduced the number of recognized wetlands. Falsey replied that they have not yet determined that but it may be informative to investigate. Some older maps show many were eliminated but that with development in the area, some were added.*

*Jessi DeMartini asked if the wetland image on the informational flyer was Churchill Woods. Falsey confirmed it was a Forest Preserve District property but could not confirm if it was Churchill or Spring Brook.*

*Stephen McCracken inquired whether the final map would be available in a shape file. Falsey confirmed the final map will be shared as part of their current file sharing agreements and would also be available in their web viewer, which is a separate application.*

### **3. Oak Meadows Golf Course Rebuild and Ecological Restoration**

Construction is due to start in July on the Oak Meadows Golf Course Rebuild and Ecological Restoration. Presentation will review the motivations behind the project and the work done by the diverse team of contractors who collaborated on a design to integrate the new course and river system. Project has both habitat and DO objectives for the DRSCW and would be the first recipient of funds from the DRSCW's draft permit condition.

**Presenter: Erin Pande, PWS, CFM Ecological Services Director, Engineering Resource Associates, Inc.**

*Beth Wentzel of Inter-Fluve was in attendance to co-present on this project.*

*Larry Cox inquired about the map that indicates the current flooding and wanted to know how much reduction was necessary for playing area. Erin Pande responded that the future 10 year was obviously expanded in some (non-golf course) areas and reduced in others. It would be interesting to compare playability stats. Pande mentioned that all greens, tees, paths and bridges are elevated above the 10 year storm.*

*Dan Lobbes asked about downstream benefits for communities. Pande responded the project would not provide downstream benefits for 10 year or 100 year events but upstream elevations would fall about 5 inches during a 10 year event. There will be water quality improvements for downstream communities.*

*Larry Cox questioned when the A-Jacks and sheet pile were installed. Pande replied installation would have been around 2003; the sheet piles were already been in place and they may have been added as part of that update.*

*Larry Cox asked anticipated maintenance requirements to keep river the way we want it to be, noting we are already replacing technology that was installed less than 10 years ago. Beth Wentzel replied that the banks have a lot of clay and after they are vegetated expects it be stable; they do not anticipate much long term maintenance. The historical aerial photos indicate that river has not moved much through this reach. Also, due to the low gradient,*

*lower floodplain areas, low energy nature of this system the stream should be able to maintain itself.*

*Larry Cox asked when the woody debris would need to be replaced. Wentzel replied that wood installed under water takes a long time to break down. They plan to use material removed from the golf course. Erin Pande added that trees are still being pulled from the bottom of Lake Michigan. Wentzel continued that some elements (pool elements) may accumulate some debris and may require need periodic maintenance. While some debris provides great habitat, it is not appreciated by paddlers. The project was designed so paddlers could move over the debris; areas with woody debris closer to surface are not installed in primary flow areas.*

*Dave Gorman mentioned that all the bridges currently appeared high enough for paddlers to clear and asked whether that would still be possible after construction. Pande replied that the current plan is to raise all bridges except one.*

*Dennis Streicher questioned whether the tributary that is being moved is source or drainage. Pande replied drainage.*

*Holly Hudson asked what would happen if the IGIG grant is not released. Stephen McCracken replied that the grant was to provide \$2.25M. Should it not be released the DRSCW will proceed with funding the Oak Meadows project through its special assessment for the same amount. Should the grant funds be released later, it will be used to augment other projects identified in the special permit conditions.*

*Jim Knudsen inquired whether IGIG allows reimbursement for prior expenditures. Pande stated that typically, your agreement must be in place prior to making expenditures for which you seek reimbursement.*

*Karen Daulton-Lange asked about maintaining natural areas in out-of-bounds areas. Pande noted some natural areas will be in the playable course and general use areas and that tags will help delineate where the golf course ends and the natural areas begin.*

*Larry Cox asked how much the river restoration costs added to the overall costs. There was some discussion about this but it was generally concurred that the amount was in the range of \$4-6 M.*

*Larry Cox questioned current IBI scores and what we predict after the project is complete. Stephen McCracken responded that the original version of special conditions included these scores as objectives. Fish IBIs are not predicted to increase significantly because the major constraints on fish biodiversity are the Old Oak Brook and Fullersburg Woods dams. Neither of which will be improved by this project. However, we are predicting an improvement in gravel loving macro species at the site, but not enough to reach the state MIBI standard. For example there are 14 species of coarse substrate loving insects present in better quality areas of Salt Creek, of which only 6 were found at Oak Meadows. Post project we hope all 14 will be present at Oak Meadows. The restoration work includes improvements to pools,*

*riffles, banks, substrate, etc. The IPS analysis showed that the site was “impaired” in all habitat categories.*

*Rick Federighi asked if they planned to implement BMPs beyond regular stormwater requirements. Pande stated that sheet pile will be installed across the northern ends and they will use a bypass channel during construction, in addition to sediment and erosion control measures. They hope there are no big storm events during construction.*

*Matt York asked at what water levels would they become concerned. For example if the creek gets to 675 or 676 at Irving Park Road, would pumps be big enough to get that much water moving? Pande stated they are using an open system and are not concerned with that particular space. There is also a big corridor of wetlands to dig down so the river uses gravity flow there; it is 1/2 foot lower at Elizabeth.*

*Larry Cox asked about the bids for this project. Pande responded that RTM was awarded the contract after receiving only two estimates. The prequalification made it unusual and it is a big project.*

*Larry Cox thanked the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County for bringing this project forward and allowing the DRSCW input – they have been more than cooperative partners.*

*Stephen McCracken added that Jessi DeMartini, John “Ole” Oldenburg and Ed Stevenson had all been very influential in making this project happen. When asked if the river restoration had made the project more difficult to complete McCracken said it was his observation that it had actually been a selling point with the FPDDC. He asked Jessi DeMartini if this was an accurate assessment.*

*Jessi DeMartini explained that golf courses alone don’t seem to go with the FPDDC mission. The manager knew changes were needed to alleviate the golf course flooding damages and to keep the course open for recreation. DeMartini continued that combining recreation with preserving and protecting natural resources offered a new mission-driven focus. The Commissioners understood the concern was not about income from the golf course (it’s under water 3/4 of year due to flooding and maintenance) but about the potential for recreation and to preserve and protect. Now it becomes a preserve with trails, restored uplands, river, wetlands – all FPD priorities. The Commission was easily willing to give \$10M to do this project. It is unusual to mesh golf and natural area restoration.*

*Stephen McCracken noted that there are lots of golf courses in this area and now other golf courses (private) may start thinking about this. This is a great opportunity for the FPDDC to make a big splash. Also, thank Ed Stevenson, golf manager, and Martin Design.*

*Larry Cox asked whether monitoring will be conducted to determine nutrient reductions associated with the BMPs. Pande stated the monitoring plan does not include upstream or downstream. They could sample entry/exit but sheet flow is a large contributor. FPDDC already does an excellent job of analyzing needs before making any applications*

*(fungicide/herbicide/etc.) not just nutrients. Their management plan is included in the permit.*

*Holly Hudson asked whether the course is pursuing the Audubon certification. Pande replied that there are a number of certification levels for which the maintenance areas meet, but the fees are cost prohibitive. Hudson asked if the fees were more than \$10K and Pande replied that it was more like \$100K.*

#### **4. Assessments for NPDES Permit Special Conditions (New Business)**

- Special Conditions Permit Language Update- as of the date of the
- Project and Staffing Assessments
- Dues Invoicing schedule

*Stephen McCracken noted that several individual plants had revisited and validated the cost savings associated with the special conditions. These projects will have better biodiversity impacts on local streams.*

*Larry Cox said that of the eligible 19 POTW agencies, 14 have signed on. This is remarkable especially during a year of tight budgets, including potential cuts to state funding. The DRSCW is short of commitments of only 2 agencies to make this work with the minimum contributions to pay for conditions in the permit language. Thank you to all the agencies for stepping up to say “yes” to funding these projects. Hopefully, the last two commitments will be approved in the next couple of weeks, which will follow with executed agreements and (of course) invoices. This is an incredible approach that has not been seen anywhere else in the country.*

*Nick Menninga noted that the Downers Grove Sanitary District’s permit is supposed to go to public notice today. Other Agency members can view their permit on Illinois EPA’s website. It should be up today or tomorrow.*

*Shirley Burger of Bloomingdale stated they received their internal review copy on Monday and the listed deadline for comments is tomorrow. Burger stated they are concerned about the amount of monitoring that appears in the copy. There is no end date to the monitoring and Burger inquired whether they were expected to monitor for the duration of the permit. Phosphorus is to be monitored 1X/week and they thought it was 2X/month. The permit was also addressed incorrectly to a different agency.*

*Nick Menninga offered to revisit the notes from the conversation he had with Al Keller in late May and review them with Burger – some parameters were for a specific duration (3yr data for a study).*

*Abel Haile stated that comments on their permit can be sent via mail or email.*

*Burger stated that the public notice draft also listed them as domestic/industrial and wanted to know if that was common language. Nick Menninga suggested Burger talk to Al Keller, noting that there are a number of new permit writers. They are relying on*

*agencies to catch errors. Abel Haile noted that the domestic/industrial is just included in process and asked if Bloomingdale's comments were to be submitted to the permit writer or Amy Walkenbach herself.*

*Larry Cox stated that if Bloomingdale is uncomfortable with "industrial" to comment that they have no pretreatment program.*

*Stephen noted that other agencies have noted "errors" in the pre draft copy. Jim Knudsen stated that Carol Stream can share their comments with other agencies. The monitoring language was statewide.*

*Nick Menninga stated that Illinois EPA realizes the 10 day comment period is quick and that agencies can usually get extension, especially because the writers are busy drafting. DGSG had about a month.*

*Abel Haile stated that if Illinois EPA does not hear from you, they assume you're good, and encouraged Burger to call to ask for more time to review and comment on their draft.*

*Stephen McCracken noted that he and Nick Menninga asked for the chloride monitoring to be removed. Nick Menninga stated that the chloride TMDL is in progress and helps make our case to show point source control is not the issue.*

*Stephen McCracken stated the two outstanding agencies both expressed positive expectations for approval of the special conditions by mid July. There are definite short and mid term savings associated with accepting the special conditions. This will help free up capital flow to help finance projects like Oak Meadows. He also reminded MS4 communities about their portion of the staffing assessment which will be included in their dues.*

**5. Funding update (SB2081) (Old Business)**

- IGIG grant program – update

**6. Projects Committee (New Business)**

- Fawell Dam Update- A new scope of work is being developed. Project is listed in the member agency Special Conditions.  
*DRSCW will develop new scopes for V3 Companies and Inter-Fluve as it appears that the special conditions will proceed. The proposal allows 3 years for the Fawell project to be completed so we need to set about design, engineering and permitting now.*
- Oak Meadows Update- Project is due to start construction in July  
*Plans include a meeting at the site.*
- PAHs & Coal Tar Sealants (CTS) Update none
- Lower Salt Creek Watershed Plan – Detention basin survey for plan area communities.

*DuPage County has been working with Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) to secure grant monies to help create an approved watershed plan.*

*Holly Hudson, CMAP, stated they have a long history of creating approvable watershed plans. The existing implementation plan is not US and Illinois approved. CMAP has agreed to work with DuPage County and DRSCW to incorporate the 9 required elements into the Lower Salt Creek watershed plan. Certain criteria have already been completed, some beyond the requirements (i.e. water quality and habitat). Local field work is necessary (i.e. detention basin inventory) and Hudson will need assistance as their funding is less than typical for a basin of this size. Hudson must assess the types of basins in the watershed (wet/ wetland/dry) and look for retrofit opportunities (i.e. concrete to vegetated swale).*

*Stephen McCracken will schedule a meeting with agencies in plan area to review this item Holly Hudson created a "rapid assessment form" which is easy to use and collects essential information. The original plan the DRSCW developed, which focused on aquatic life, is different and did not make projects eligible for 319 funds.*

*Holly Hudson asked for other information agencies think might prove useful. Each basin will take about 20 minutes to document.*

*Stephen McCracken mentioned that Illinois EPA may have implemented the idea for basin assessments after a (small) community included it in their plan, and questioned the usefulness.*

*Larry Cox stated that the DRSCW has discussed detention/retention basin assessments and that it would be helpful to understand what each community wants to learn from the assessment and how that relates to the minimum requirements of the watershed plan.*

*Holly Hudson stated that a user guide will be sent to agencies to explain the rapid assessment form (acronyms/color codes).*

*Holly Hudson agreed that the watershed plan will be useful for communities, especially when implementing watershed wide BMPs (i.e. this neighborhood can implement XX raingardens and estimates show it would reduce Phosphorus loads by XX amount). By pointing out BMPs like the ones identified in the plan, projects become eligible for 319 applications.*

*Stephen McCracken mentioned there was initially some concern at Illinois EPA that there was a conflict with TMDL development and the watershed plan.*

*Abel Haile provided an update that the stage 3TMDL contract was signed May 15 with Tetrattech out of Cleveland, with a project manager in the St. Paul area. The timeline for completion is 18 months. The first 9 months to create a draft for review, which will be shared with DRSCW. At 12 months the public meeting will be held. In the meantime*

*contractors will share information with DRSCW. Concerns from the last process included that there was not enough time to comment and that local participation was lacking. There will be increased communication and between Illinois EPA and the contractor to help ensure the project is approvable by US EPA. If you have other comments, please forward them to Abel or Stephen McCracken to be addressed.*

*Larry Cox inquired to the parameters. Stephen McCracken noted they include DO, fecal, chloride, copper and others.*

*Abel Haile stated the process started in 2009 and now we must use updated water quality data from the 2014 Integrated Report. Tetrattech asked if they could use the draft stage 3 report from the previous contractor. Haile asked if the DRSCW had any comments about this, as using it may shorten the TMDL development timeline. Stephen McCracken will circulate the stage 1 draft for comments.*

**7. Monitoring Committee (Old Business)**

- The contracts have been signed for West Branch Bioassessment (MBI) and chemical (SLI) basin surveys.
- The contract for the chemical survey at three reference sites from SLI has been signed. Reference sites are on Ferson and Otter Creeks.
- The East Branch POTW chloride monitoring will probably begin in late-summer. The need for this contract will be reviewed as new permits are likely to require chloride sampling at POTWs.
- Geodatabase Update
- Resource Managers Guide to Aquatic Bioassessment Update (being finalized this week)

**8. Chloride Reduction Committee (New Business)**

- Public roads workshop date 9/23/15, parking lots & sidewalks date 10/8/2015
- Chloride Offset Program with the Illinois Tollway -

**9. Watershed Permitting Update (Old Business)**

**10. TMDL Development for the DuPage River/Salt Creek (Old Business)**

**11. Watershed Committee Updates – West Branch, East Branch and Salt Creek**

- East Branch Resiliency Competition and Watershed Plan - update

**12. Business Items (New Business)**

- Membership Dues
- Accounts Update – (Attachment 2)
- Website Updates- the website was redesigned
- A draft of the Audit for FYE2015 is under review
- Agreement with The Conservation Foundation (Attachment 3)  
Agreement with The Conservation Foundation for the provision of staffing services to the Workgroup will expire on June 30. The attached renewal agreement for the period from 07/01/15 through 06/30/16 has been approved by the Executive Board and is



recommended for approval by the Workgroup. The Workgroup is currently paying \$10,893.07 to TCF at the beginning of each month in personnel and overhead costs. The amount in the new contract will be \$11,210.82 per month, based on a 3% increase in personnel and a 2% in overhead costs.

*The new agreement with TCF was included in the 2015 budget and is attached at predicted increases. Larry Cox added that the new contract does not include increase in staffing, as we have not received the minimum level of participation to finalize the special conditions. The agreement will be amended when and as necessary.*

*Larry Cox mad a motion to authorize president to execute the contract with TCF as presented, motion seconded by Dennis Streicher. All voted in favor, none opposed, one abstained (Dan Lobbes with TCF).*

*The new permit special conditions mention work on chlorides. This is to help determine whether our chloride reduction program will have a watershed wide influence on the amount of chlorides entering the waterways.*

*Dan Bounds stated that a model facilities BMP plan has been developed with policies for facilities (20 page plan) and hopes by the next meeting we'll have received comments and can make it available.*

- Other Business

*DRSCW was invited to participate in the Tollway's committee for their I290 project.*

*Stephen McCracken stated that contracts have been signed for the 2015 West Branch DuPage River assessment and reference sites. The Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition is using the same reference reaches and will paying half of the costs. Stephen McCracken asked for approval to use the savings from this line item and give the Board authority to contract for assistance with the chloride offset program with the Tollway. We hope to have these costs reimbursed by the Tollway.*

*Nick Menninga made a motion to approve this request, seconded by Karen Daulton-Lange; motion carried unanimously.*

### **13. DRSCW Calendar, Presentations and Press Coverage (Old Business)**

- Presentation requested on September 3, 2015 in Addison at the IWEA NRR Annual Workshop on the DRSCW's watershed approach. Presenter - Nick Menninga (DGSD).
- Scheduled to co-present with ERA on June 18, 2015 in Aurora at the Central States Water Environment Association - Illinois Section Collections Systems Seminar on the Oak Meadows Project. Presenters – Stephen McCracken (DRSCW) and Erin Pande (ERA)
- WEFTEC 2015–application for track on “Stream Geomorphology and Restoration 101” Update

**14. Workgroup Meeting Schedule**

- August 26, 2015
- October 28, 2015
- December 9, 2015
- February 24, 2016 (Annual Meeting)
- April 27, 2016
- June 29, 2016

*Meeting adjourned.*