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This report fulfills certain reporting requirements contained in DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup’s (DRSCW) and Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition’s (LDRWC) NPDES permits. These requirements are as provided in the DRSCW Special Conditions (Attachment 1) and the LDRWC Special Conditions (Attachment 2 – Note: As the LDWRC Special Conditions differ between permit holders, the Special Conditions for Bolingbrook STP#3 is included the Attachment as a representation of the Workgroup’s Special Conditions Language.)

The Special Conditions are in the NPDES permits identified in Table 1 and Table 2. Listed permittees are required to ensure the completion of projects and activities set out in the Special Conditions, while a few other permittees are required to participate only in identified watershed level studies and the chloride reduction program. Table 1 identifies the status of funding for these activities by each permittee in the DRSCW; and Table 2 identifies the status of funding for these activities by each permittee in the LDRWC.

All listed permittees participate in the DRSCW and/or LDRWC and are working with other watershed members of the DRSCW and LDRWC to determine the most cost-effective means to remove dissolved oxygen (DO) and offensive condition impairments in the DRSCW watersheds.

The specific reporting requirements addressed herein include annual reporting on the progress of the projects listed in the Special Conditions, and certain baseline condition reporting for the Chloride Reduction Program. Map 1 and 2 show the locations of the physical projects to be realized under the special conditions.

Special Condition Permit Holder Forum
In Fall 2020, three (3) Special Conditions Permit Holder Forums for DRSCW and LDRWC Permit Holders were held via Zoom. Special Condition Permit Holders were encouraged to attend at least one of the forums. The objective of the meeting was to provide an update on the Nutrient Implantation Plan (NIP) and discuss future permit negotiations.
**Table 1. Participation in the DRSCW Special Condition permit 2020-2021.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POTW Owner/Facility Name</th>
<th>NPDES No.</th>
<th>Membership Dues Paid 2020-21</th>
<th>Assessment Paid for Paragraph 2 Table Project Funding*</th>
<th>Assessment Paid for Chloride Reduction/NIP/QUAL 2k/Trading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison North STP</td>
<td>IL0033812</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison South - AJ LaRocca</td>
<td>IL0027367</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett WWTP</td>
<td>IL0027618</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomingdale-Reeves WRF</td>
<td>IL0021130</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolingbrook STP#1</td>
<td>IL0032689</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolingbrook STP#2</td>
<td>IL0032735</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Stream WRC</td>
<td>IL0026352</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downers Grove SD</td>
<td>IL0028380</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuPage County Woodridge</td>
<td>IL0031844</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmhurst WWTP</td>
<td>IL0028746</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenbard WW Authority STP</td>
<td>IL0021547</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Heights STP</td>
<td>IL0028967</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanover Park STP#1</td>
<td>IL0034479</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (MWRDGC) – Egan WRP</td>
<td>IL0036340</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWRDGC – Hanover Park WRP</td>
<td>IL0036137</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roselle-Devlin STP</td>
<td>IL0030813</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roselle-J Botterman WWTF</td>
<td>IL0048721</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Creek SD</td>
<td>IL0030953</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Chicago Regional WWTF</td>
<td>IL0023469</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheaton SD</td>
<td>IL0031739</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood Dale North STP</td>
<td>IL0020061</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood Dale South STP</td>
<td>IL0034274</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bensenville South STP</td>
<td>IL0021849</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itasca STP</td>
<td>IL0079073</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N/A means that the agency does not have that condition in their permit.
**Table 2. Participation in the LDRWC Special Condition Permit 2020-2021.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POTW Owner/ Facility Name</th>
<th>NPDES No.</th>
<th>Membership Dues Paid 2020-2021</th>
<th>Assessment Paid for Paragraph 2 Table Project Funding*</th>
<th>Assessment Paid for Chloride Reduction/NIP/QUAL 2k/Trading Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naperville Springbrook WRC</td>
<td>IL0034061</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolingbrook STP#3</td>
<td>IL0069744</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plainfield STP</td>
<td>IL0074373</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joliet Aux Sable Plant</td>
<td>IL0076414</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crest Hill West STP</td>
<td>IL0021121</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minooka STP</td>
<td>IL0055913</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N/A means that the agency does not have that condition in their permit.
Map 1. Map of DRSCW physical projects set out in the Special Condition.
Map 2. Map of the LDRWC physical projects set out in the Special Condition.
Chapter 1 Physical Projects
The Special Condition Paragraph 2 identifies stream restoration and dam modification projects that must be completed by the DRSCW and/or LDRWC. The current DRSCW Five-Year Financial Plan and the LDRWC Five-Year Financial Plan identifies project expenses and funds allocated for each of the physical projects. Map 1 shows the DRSCW physical projects covered in this section and Map 2 shows the LDRWC physical projects covered in this section.

1.1 Oak Meadows Dam Removal and Stream Restoration
- Special Condition Completion Date – December 31, 2016 (dam removal), December 31, 2017 (stream restoration)
- Project Status – Dam removal and stream restoration are complete. The post-project monitoring phase was completed in 2019. Future monitoring of the project area will be completed in conjunction with the bioassessment program. Salt Creek’s next bioassessment is scheduled for the Summer of 2021.

Summary of Results – Post project survey results: mean QHEI increased from 57.25 to 69.3 in 2017 to 70 in 2018 and 71.25 in 2019. Mean mIBI increased from 23.6 (based on 2013 data) to 33.2 in 2017, to 34.9 in 2018, and to 40.85 in 2019. Additionally, 13 of the 21-high value rheophilic taxa identified at the site were only identified post-project.

1.1.1. Site Description
The 2016 Annual Report provided a site description.

1.1.2. Design Characteristics
The 2016 Annual Report described the Project’s design characteristics.

1.1.3. Project Implementation
The 2017 Annual Report detailed the project implementation.

1.1.4. Project Impact Evaluation
The 2019 Annual Report detailed the post-project monitoring phase of the project. Future monitoring of the project area will be completed in conjunction with the bioassessment program and will be completed in 2021.

1.2 Fawell Dam Modification
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2018, Extended to December 2021. Based on feedback from DuPage County (dam’s owner) and the fish ladder
manufacturer DRSCW will advise IEPA if more time is necessary. This should be known by June 2021.

- Status – In design and permitting phase.

The objective of the project is to allow fish passage through the Fawell dam. To accomplish this, the original design approach focused on modifying the dam’s primary spillway, which consists of three box culverts. In June 2018, the Dam’s owner (DuPage County Division of Storm Water Management (DC SWM)) revealed that due to recent repairs to the dam structure they could no longer support direct structural modifications of the culvert system. The dam is a flood control structure operated by DuPage County Stormwater Management and must be fully functional as such post project.

In response the project team, including the dam’s owner, DC SWM, reviewed alternative approaches to establishing fish passage at the dam which did not involve any proposed structural modifications.

After a review of options, a proposal involving a modular fish ladder system designed by BK Riverfish, LLC from Massachusetts was proposed (Plate 1). During 2020 the project team evaluated the possibility of installing the system directly into one of the Fawell Dam’s culverts. This approach has a number of advantages; no structural change to the culverts, no change to gate operations, and minimization of any post project changes in upstream and downstream water elevations.

A review team made up of DC SWM, FPDDC, IDNR and DRSCW met with the designer between 3/03/2020 and 3/04/2020 including a hands-on visit to the site.

**Plate 1. Sections of the ladder being prepped for placement at the Eel River site.**
In August 2020 a proposal was sent to DC SWM for review. Proposal included details on the installation’s footings, modification of the boulder field at the tail race of the splash pad, hinged section to allow gate operations and upstream debris bollards. Additionally, initial modelling details on impacts on post project water elevations were also supplied. Permits from IDNR-OWR, USACE, and DuPage County need to be obtained by the project. Depending on the permitting process and manufacturing lead time, the goal would be to install the system in late 2021.

1.2.1. Site Description
The 2017 Annual Report provided a site description.

1.2.2. Design Characteristics
The 2020 Annual Report provided a detailed review of design characteristics including a review of the species passed by the system on the Eel River in comparison with the species of the Lower West Branch DuPage River.

The proposal sent to DC SWM includes (from downstream end):
- Dropping the crest of the downstream riprap armoring to eliminate the backwater (see Plate 2). The riprap material has been moved into its current configuration over time by traction created during high flows. The new configuration may need to be fixed in place post project.
- Extending the ladder down through the elevated lip at the downstream end of the splash pad to create a flow of attraction.
- Hinged section where the ladder exits the upstream end of the culvert to allow the ladder to be moved aside if the gate needs to be closed.
- A number of instream bollards to protect the upstream end of the ladder from debris.

1.2.3. Permitting Requirements
The project will require:
- Stormwater management certification demonstrating compliance with the DuPage County Countywide Stormwater Ordinance. It is anticipated that a separate Floodway Construction permit will not be required by IDNR-OWR but will be reviewed as part of the County permitting process.
- Compliance with DuPage County and US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) requirements associated with wetlands, Waters of the U.S., buffers, and sediment and erosion control. It is assumed that the proposed improvements qualify for USACE Regional Permit (RP) 5, Wetland and Stream Restoration and Enhancement, which also typically requires submittal of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to Kane-DuPage Soil & Water Conservation District as part of the permitting process.
Although it is anticipated that the existing gate operations and structural components of the dam will not be modified, a Major Modification of Existing Dam Permit from the Illinois Department of Natural Resources – Office of Water Resources (IDNR-OWR) may be required.

**Plate 2.** The view south (downstream) from the dam showing the backwater affect created by the accumulated riprap. The high crest on the riprap is the result of material movement caused by the high flows through the culvert system (directly beneath the viewer’s position).

1.2.4. Design Progress Report
The proposal was sent to DuPage County (DC SWM) in August 2020 for review.

1.2.5. Project Impact Evaluation
Post project, both fIBI and fish taxa will be sampled upstream of the site and compared to historical data. The upstream and downstream sites were sampled in 2020 as part of the DRSWC’s rolling basin assessment.
There are several possibilities for additional instream monitoring for fish movement through the system which are being evaluated based on updated proposal.

1.3 Spring Brook Restoration and Dam Removal (Spring Brook Phase 2)
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2019
- Status – Construction is complete. Delays during construction were caused by the unusually wet weather encountered in 2019, by the highly mobile sediments encountered in Reach C and additional protocols created by pandemic procedures.

Objective – Based on the pre-project monitoring results the objective was refined. Both the pre-project results and post project objectives are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3. Target QHEI, mIBI, and fIBI scores generated for Spring Brook from the 2018 sampling.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All Monitoring Sites (5 sites)</th>
<th>Footprint proper sites (3 sites RM 0.75 -1.42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QHEI</td>
<td>&gt;54.8</td>
<td>&gt; 52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIBI</td>
<td>&gt; 50.1</td>
<td>&gt; 42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIBI</td>
<td>&gt; 19.4</td>
<td>&gt; 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project was managed by the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County (FPDDC). The construction, permitting, and long-term monitoring was funded by the FPDDC, the Illinois Tollway and the DRSCW.

1.3.1. Site Description
The Phase 2 Project is located in unincorporated DuPage County in Blackwell Forest Preserve (Map 3). The project footprint limits are entirely on FPDDC property. The project runs along Spring Brook #1. The downstream limit is approximately 400’ downstream of the existing unnamed pedestrian bridge, which runs south from Mack Road and east of Williams Road. The upstream limit is Winfield Road. The project is immediately downstream of the Spring Brook #1 Stream and Wetland Restoration Project (Phase 1) constructed in 2015.

The 2018 Annual Report provided details on the Project’s design in the section entitled “Design Progress Report”.

1.3.2. Design Characteristics
The 2017 Annual Report provided details on the Project’s design characteristics in sections titled Existing Conditions and Proposed Conditions.
Map 3. Construction reaches of Spring Brook No.1 Creek & Wetland Restoration – Phase 2.
1.3.3. Permitting Requirements
All necessary project permits have been issued and received. The 2018 Annual Report provided details on the permits and their issuing agencies required for the project.

1.3.4. Project Implementation
As previously reported, by the end of February 2020 crews completed construction of the new channel in Reach C, the reach furthest upstream and closest to the intersection of Mack and Winfield Roads. Expecting spring rains, construction paused in anticipation of favorable, drier, summer weather. Map 3 denotes the location of each Reach of the project.

In May 2020, crews seeded and planted tens of thousands of plugs along the banks and in the floodplain of Reach A and Reach C. Nearly daily irrigation was necessary to ensure survival and establishment during the late spring and early summer.

Stream construction resumed in the first week of July 2020. As expected, crews discovered, soft, silty, sediment in Reach B (site of the former impoundment), often at depths over 15’. To create a stable channel, crews excavated wide cross-sections, replaced soft material with stable clay, and armored the new stream banks with cobble. Construction of Reach B lasted six weeks; and with all three reaches complete, flow of Spring Brook was diverted into the newly constructed channel on August 27, 2020.

In the days following the diversion, Forest Preserve District staff conducted fish surveys in the now-isolated reaches of the former channel. Over 1,100 individual fish representing 22 species were collected and translocated into the new stream. A detailed summary can be found in Attachment 3 as well as on the FPDDC website at https://www.dupageforest.org/blog/spring-brook-fish.

Following construction of the stream channel, finishing touches were completed on both bridges and the regional trail. After 1.5 years of trail closure, the new regional trail situated outside of the floodplain was reopened to pedestrians on November 2, 2020.

With cold winter temperatures and snow cover, conditions were good in January and February 2021 to selectively remove non-desirable vegetation in the uplands surrounding the riparian corridor.

Now that construction is complete, 2021 marks the first of 5 years of the required maintenance, monitoring, and reporting period. Plates 3 to 8 document work conducted in 2019-2020 on Springbrook Phase 2.
Plate 3. Planted in 2019, banks of Reach A were taking root in July 2020.

Plate 4. Excavation of a new Spring Brook channel in Reach B, a former impoundment created by a dam, continued in July 2020.
Plate 5. *Flow of Spring Brook No. 1 was diverted into a new channel on August 27, 2020.*

Plate 6. *Without water flow in the former channel, Forest Preserve staff collected and inventoried isolated fish to translocate into the new channel.*
Plate 7. Trail base was laid outside the floodplain for a newly routed regional trail in October 2020.

Plate 8. Aerial image showing the completed project in October 2020.
1.3.5. Project Impact Evaluation
Pre-project monitoring was included in the 2018 Annual Report. No monitoring was conducted in 2019 and 2020 due to on-going construction. Post-project monitoring will begin in 2021.

1.4 Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification Concept Plan Development
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2016
- Status – Complete (December 2016)

The DRSCW submitted the Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification Concept Plan to the IEPA on December 2016. The 2017 Annual Report included details on the findings of the Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification Concept Plan.

1.5 Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification and Stream Restoration
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2021
- Status – Outreach and Education Campaign is ongoing (started 2017). Master Planning process was completed in 2020. Final Design/Construction is in progress and is scheduled for completion in 2022-2023.

The project is on the Salt Creek mainstem; its objectives are to raise QHEI above its current score of 39.5, raise fIBI at the sites upstream of the dam above its current score of 19.0, raise mIBI above its current score of 17 for approximately 1.5 river miles and to improve dissolved oxygen (DO) in the impoundment, as compared to the 2007-2018 data set. The DRSCW will be collaborating with FPDDC and DuPage County Stormwater Management (DC SWM) on this project. DRSCW has budgeted $4,975,000 for this project.

1.5.1. Site Description
The 2018 Annual Report provided details on the Project’s site description.

1.5.2. Research and Public Outreach
The plan to modification of the Fullersburg Woods (Graue Mill) dam encountered significant public opposition. The concept plan prepared in 2016 included a framework for reaching out to stakeholders, listening to their concerns and soliciting feedback so that the final design proposal could incorporate features based on their input. In 2018, the DRSCW replaced its original outreach coordinator with Aileron Communications and updated the research and public outreach work plan. Below includes each task and work completed in 2020.

Phase 1: Public Opinion Research
The 2019 Annual Report included details on Phase 1 of the Public Opinion Research.
Phase 2: Communications and Outreach
As the DRSCW moved forward with the preparation of a Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods (see Section 1.5.3 for more details) that included modifying the Graue Mill Dam, the DRSCW signed a contract with Aileron Communications to continue providing services during this phase of the project. Work included communications and outreach efforts that would take place before the master plan was shared with the public, as well as communications strategy and support for public outreach on the master plan. These steps were designed to align support for the DRSCW’s goals, increase the public’s trust in the workgroup and help the public understand the importance of dam modification projects.


Task 1: Press Announcements and New Stories
Aileron worked with the DRSCW to create materials to improve the public understanding of the broader context of watershed restoration efforts and the benefits of dam modification. This effort also helped us build relationships between DRSCW and local media before the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods was presented to the public.

The first article was published by the Daily Herald on March 22, 2020. It was focused on the water and habitat improvement recorded following dam removal and restoration of the Oak Meadows dam site (See Section 1.1 of the 2020 report for additional information on the project at the Preserve at Oak Meadows).

On April 7, 2020, a virtual press conference was held to discuss dam removals in Illinois. Presentations on ongoing/future dam removal/modification work were given by representatives from Illinois Department of Natural Resources, DRSCW, Kane County, Fox River Study Group, Friends of the Chicago River and Chicago Park District. The following newspapers/news outlets attended the press conference: Chicago Sun Times, Daily Herald, Patch, Aurora Beacon News, NW Herald, Independent News, Kane County Chronicle, WBEZ, and Journal Topics. Additionally, the recording of the press conference was made available to additional newspaper and news outlets via email. The following news articles resulted from the Virtual Press Conference:


https://digitaledition.aurorabeaconnews.chicagotribune.com/infinity/article_share.aspx?guid=de724e15-d230-4697-88e4-0e5b57400d72&fbclid=IwAR3KnBb52e4c-8HsD6E17CyGVH6XbbhaxZxl7XLwpp-3wJl4J8MhL5kARMU

Task 2: Public Open Houses  
DRSCW hosted two public open houses and held a 30-day public comment period to solicit input and comment on the draft Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods (see Section 1.5.3 for more details).

Advertising Tactics for the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods  
The DRSCW and Aileron used a three-pronged approach in educating stakeholders and soliciting input on the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg including awareness, education, and engagement activities (Table 4).

Table 4. Three-pronged approach to educating stakeholders for the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Notice</td>
<td>RestoreSaltCreek.org</td>
<td>Virtual Open Houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News Coverage</td>
<td>Background Videos</td>
<td>Stakeholder Follow-Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newsletter</td>
<td>Fact Sheets</td>
<td>Public Comment Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Stakeholder Meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Campaigns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Open Houses
The DRSCW hosted two virtual open house events to allow the public to learn more about the project and ask questions of DRSCW staff. While public health restrictions made large in-person meetings impossible, the virtual open houses were well attended and gave members of the public an opportunity to learn about the project and ask questions in a live format, as well as watch recordings of the events after the fact.

The virtual open houses took place on July 7 at 7:00 PM and on July 9 at 11:00 AM and each event lasted approximately 110 minutes. 78 people attended the July 7th open house and 71 people attended the July 9th event. Recordings of the webinars were viewed approximately 120 times during the public comment period.

The open houses consisted of a presentation by Stephen McCracken of the DRSCW followed by a question and answer session. DRSCW staff answered more than 80 questions from the public during the two open house events.

The recordings of the Open House events are available at [RestoreSaltCreek.org](http://restoresaltcreek.org).

30-Day Public Comment Period
The public comment period for the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods was open from July 7 through August 7, 2020. Comments were collected via an online form that was available to anyone. The comment form requested that participants watch the open house recordings and background videos if they had not attended one of the virtual open houses. Commenters were required to provide their name and address.

As described in the public outreach section of this report, the DRSCW invited the public to provide comment and worked to build public awareness of the opportunity for comment. During the comment period, the Workgroup published a second public notice in the Daily Herald, held additional meetings with stakeholders, and used email and social media outreach to make sure the public was aware of the opportunity to comment.

Table 5 includes a summary of the Public Comment form and results. A total of 172 comments were received via the public comment process. 96 of those who commented shared “additional thoughts” on the Master Plan. 91% of the public comments were supportive of the Master Plan.
and 86% indicated strong support. 9% of the comments were opposed to the plan with 8% strongly opposed. Responses were largely consistent across the various questions, positive responses ranged from 87-92% across the survey questions and negative responses ranged from 8-13%. While a number of comments did express strong opposition to the project, the public comments overall were strongly in support of the Master Plan.

Table 5. Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods Public Comment Form and Results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Summary of Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Did you watch the open house webinar about the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods? | Yes: 73.68%  
No: 26.32%          |
| 2. Did you watch the background information webinars about the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods? | Yes: 76.16%  
No: 23.84%          |
| 3. Have you visited Fullersburg Woods in the last year?                                | Yes: 67.44%  
No: 32.56%          |
| 4. What’s your primary reason for visiting Fullersburg Woods?                         | Recreation: 56.77%  
Watch Animals: 5.81%  
Scenery: 24.52%  
Visit Historic Sites: 9.68%  
Learn about Nature: 3.23%          |
| 5. Do you understand the benefits of the Master Plan?                                 | Yes: 92.44%  
No: 7.56%          |
| 6. Have your questions about the Master Plan been adequately answered?                 | Yes: 88.89%  
No: 9.94%  
Unsure: 1.17%          |
| 7. Do you understand the legal requirements to improve water quality in Salt Creek?  | Yes: 92.99%  
No: 4.09%  
Unsure: 2.92%          |
| 8. Do you think the Master Plan would improve opportunities for recreation?           | Yes: 90.70%  
No: 9.30%          |
| 9. Do you think the Master Plan would improve habitat for fish, plants and animals?   | Yes: 91.81%  
No: 8.19%          |
| 10. Do you think the Master Plan would improve scenery in the area?                   | Yes: 90.59%  
No: 9.41%          |
| 11. Do you think the Master Plan would preserve the historic character of the site?  | Yes: 90.00%  
No: 10.00%          |
| 12. Do you think the Master Plan would improve opportunities for the public to learn about nature? | Yes: 90.12%  
No: 9.88%          |
| 13. Do you think the Master Plan would improve public safety?                         | Yes: 87.20%  
No: 12.80%          |
| 14. Do you think the Master Plan would improve water quality in Salt Creek?          | Yes: 92.44%  
No: 7.56%          |
| 15. Do you think the Master Plan is a good use of financial resources?                | Yes: 90.70%  
No: 9.30%          |
| 16. Do you support the Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods?               | Yes: 90.64%  
No: 9.36%          |
| 17. How would you rate your support for the Master Plan?                               | Support: 90.70%  
Oppose: 9.30%          |

1.5.3. Design Progress Report

1.5.3.1. Phase 1: Development of the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods

In June 2019, the DRSCW entered into a contract with AECOM Technical Services (AECOM) for the development of a Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods. The scope of work for the Master Plan at Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods and the work conducted to date is discussed below.

Task 1: Topographic and Bathymetric Survey
The topographic field survey and DOR measurements were completed in late July/early August 2019 and discussed in detail in the 2019 Annual Report.

Task 2: Wetlands/Waters of the United State (WOTUS) Assessment
The WOTUS assessment was completed in 2019 and discussed in detail in the 2019 Annual Report. In 2020, jurisdictional determination was issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers. Additionally, DuPage County confirmed the wetland boundaries of wetlands under the County’s jurisdiction.

Task 3: Sediment Sampling Analysis
Preliminary Sediment sampling analysis was completed in 2019 and discussed in detail in the 2019 Annual Report.

Task 4: Alternatives Analysis and Cost Estimates
The Alternatives Analysis was completed in 2019 and discussed in detail in the 2019 Annual Report.

Task 5: Coordination and Alternative Selection Meetings
Work in this task included five (5) meetings between AECOM and the DRSCW. These meetings include a Project Kickoff (July 2019), two progress meetings to discuss the alternatives analysis (January 24, 2020 and February 4, 2020), a meeting to select the preferred alternative (March 11, 2020), and a meeting to present the Final Master Plan (May 2020).
Task 6: Pre-Application Meetings
Due to the Covid-19 quarantine and restrictions on in-person meetings, this Task was moved to Phase 2 - Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods Final Design Engineering and Preparation of Contract Bid Documents (see Section 1.5.3.2 for more details).

Task 7: Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods
The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods was completed in September 2020. As detailed in the Master Plan, this project goes beyond dam removal, it is a full stream corridor restoration project. The Master Plan will improve water quality and increase recreation and education opportunities on Salt Creek while being efficient with taxpayer money relative to other options. The Master Plan addresses this series of objectives by putting focus on the following:

- **Water Quality Improvements** -- The Concept Master Plan relies on the benefits of healthy, naturally free-flowing rivers to improve water quality in Salt Creek beyond what could be achieved through additional public spending on wastewater treatment. In addition to improvements at the Graue Mill dam site, over a mile of river upstream of the dam will be restored by creating wetlands, planting native vegetation, enhancing in-stream habitat and more. These enhancements will be designed to improve the aquatic habitat of Salt Creek and promote healthy populations of fish, macroinvertebrates, birds, and reptiles.

- **Recreation and Education Opportunities** -- The project benefits go beyond ecology. The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods includes education and recreational elements to complement the water quality improvements. Proposed amenities include canoe/kayak launches, fishing stations that provide access to the creek and educational signs. Content for the educational signs will focus on the benefits of dam removal and stream restoration as well as honoring the history of the site and its milling operations.

- **Responsible Public Investment** -- The Concept Master Plan will allow upstream communities to forgo hugely expensive upgrades at their wastewater treatment plants recommended by the 2005 DO TMDL, by implementing the second phase of the alternative DO plan for Salt Creek. Analysis shows that improvements to water and stream resource quality due to the dam removal are more effective and cheaper than plant upgrades. Plant upgrades have been estimated at $213 million in capital costs and $7 million a year in increased operating costs. Such upgrades will marginally improve
water quality but cannot restore the river’s fish biodiversity or the habitat upstream of the dam.

Renderings of all aspects of the project including the rock/riffle structure that will replace the dam, stream restorations practices, and recreation and education amenities are included in the Concept Master Plan (see Attachment 4 – Executive Summary).

The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek also includes:

- A summary and findings of Tasks 1-5;
- Renderings prepared as part of Task 4 and additional renderings of the preferred alternatives as well as the stream corridor;
- A summary of all permits that will be required by the project;
- Estimate for engineering fees to complete the Final Engineering Design and Permit; and
- Construction cost opinion


Task 8: Needs Analysis
This task was completed and is included in the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek Fullersburg Woods (see Task 7 for more details).

On October 6, 2020, board members of the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County, which owns the Fullersburg Woods dam and Forest Preserve, voted to enter into a lease agreement with the DRSCW. The lease agreement grants the DRSCW permission to implement all aspects of the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods including the removal of the dam.

1.5.3.2. Phase 2: Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods Final Design and Preparation of Contract Bid Documents
In early January 2020, the DRSCW entered into a contract with Hey and Associates, Inc. for the final design engineering and preparation of contract bid documents for the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods. The scope of work included in this contract is discussed below. Preliminary work on the contract which began in early 2021 will continue through 2022.
Task 1 – Hydraulic and hydrologic modeling
Task 1 includes the development of a hydrology/hydraulic model(s) necessary for design, permitting, and construction. Modeling will ensure that the design of the dam removal and in-stream features meet the enhancement goals of the project, are sustainable for the long-term, and do not negatively impact downstream or upstream properties.

Task 2 – Final Design Engineering
Task 2 includes the final design engineering of all project components, including but not limited to, the removal of the Fullersburg Woods dam and its replacement with a rock/riffle feature; stream restoration practices; and recreation amenities as included in the Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods. This Task also includes the final design of the Graue Mill’s raceway that will include a method to maintain water level and flow (disconnected from Salt Creek) in the raceway and provisions to power the mill’s waterwheel. The Dam structure investigation will also be included as part of this Task.

Task 3 – Characterization of on-site sediment
Task 3 focuses on the development of a soil characterization plan and the collection of sediment samples. Once the existing conditions are determined, Hey and Associates will develop a sediment management plan and dredge and disposal plan as required to obtain all permits to construct the project.

Task 4 – Rehabilitation of Floodwall
The Nature Education Center at Fullersburg Woods has significant basement flooding due to leakage of the existing floodwall. As part of this task, Hey and Associates will inspect the existing sheet pile floodwall and develop appropriate solutions to eliminate leaking and extend the lifespan of the floodwall. Cost estimates will also be developed for each solution. The preferred solution will be incorporated into the final design of the project.

Task 5 – Procure Local, State, and Federal Permits for the Master Plan
Task 5 includes the preparation of all permit applications needed to procure all local, state and federal permits. At a minimum, it is anticipated coordination with the following agencies will be required:

- US Army Corps of Engineers (US ACOE)
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service
- Department of Interior National Park Service
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR)-Office of Water Resources (OWR)
- IDNR – Cultural Resources Program
Task 5 also includes the completion of Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) Documentation of the Graue Mill, Fullersburg Woods dam, and surrounding area.

Task 6 – Preparation of Cost Estimate and Contract Bid Documents
Task 6 includes the preparation of contract bid documents and cost estimates per the specifications of the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (MWRDGC). Hey and Associates, Inc. will also provide Bid Assistance by addressing contractor questions during the public bid process.

Task 7 – Coordination Meetings
Task 7 includes six (6) meetings with Hey and Associates, the DRSCW and project stakeholders. These meetings will include: project kick off meeting, two (2) stream restoration and recreational amenities design alternatives selection meeting, and design review meetings at 50%, 75% and 100% of completion.

1.5.4. Project Impact Evaluation
Pre-project monitoring for the Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification project was completed in 2019 and 2020. Map 4 depicts the locations of the samples collected by the DRSCW. Table 6 includes the results of the pre-project 2019 and 2020 survey collected by the DRSCW. Figure 1-4 depict the pre-project fIBI scores (Figure 1); Mlwb scores (Figure 2); mIBI scores (Figure 3), and QHEI scores (Figure 4). It is also important to note that fish sampling found 24 species, including 21 native species, downstream of the dam but only 9 species with 7 native species upstream of the dam. These results highlight the need for fish passage through the Fullersburg Woods dam. Attachment 5 includes the rheophilic taxa analysis for the 2019 and 2020 pre-project monitoring at Fullersburg Woods.

1.6 Southern West Branch Physical Improvement
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2022
- Status – Concepts are being developed along with the Fawell Dam Modification Plan.

The DRSCW budgeted $1,465,071 for the period 2019 to 2021.
Table 6. fIBI, mIBI, and QHEI baseline data collected in 2019 and 2020 for the Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>River Mile</th>
<th>Drainage Area (sq mi.)</th>
<th>Fish IBI</th>
<th>MIwb</th>
<th>mIBI</th>
<th>QHEI</th>
<th>Attainment Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC56</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56A</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56B</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56C</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53A</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC52</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>Non-Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53A</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>Non-Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC52</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>PARTIAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Color Key to IBI scores depicted in Table 6.

Table 8. Color Key to QHEI Scores in Table 6.
Figure 1. Fish Index of Biotic Integrity scores in Salt Creek at the Fullersburg Dam survey area for the years of 2019 and 2020.

Figure 2. Modified Index of Well-Being (MIwb) scores in Salt Creek at the Fullersburg Dam survey area for the years of 2019 and 2020.
Figure 3. Macroinvertebrate Index of Biotic Integrity scores in Salt Creek at the Fullersburg Dam survey area for the years of 2019 and 2020.

Figure 4. Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) scores in Salt Creek at the Fullersburg Dam survey area for the years of 2019 and 2020.
Map 4. Pre-project Monitoring Locations for the Fullersburg Woods Dam Modification Project.
1.7 Southern East Branch Stream Enhancement

- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2023
- Status – In planning

The DRSCW has budgeted $2,500,000 for this project and anticipates expenditures in 2021-2023.

1.7.1. Site Description
The DRSCW is utilizing outputs from the Identification and Prioritization System Model (IPS), pre-project site assessment (2017), and fish monitoring (2019) to narrow down the location of the project where the best improvements to aquatic life can be made. It is expected that the project will be situated in the stream reach located downstream of the Green Valley Wastewater Treatment Plant and upstream of the western boundary of the Naperville Park District’s DuPage River Park East.

The 2017 Report provided details on the pre-project site assessment fieldwork conducted for the Project. See Section 1.7.3 for details on the fish sampling conducted in 2019.

1.7.2. Design Progress Report
In order to identify and select a consultant for design engineering services and the preparation of contract bid documents for the Southern East Branch Stream Enhancement project, the DRSCW initiated a solicitation for statements of interests (SOIs) from interested firms in early 2021. Seven (7) consultant teams submitted SOIs. From the submitted SOIs, three (3) consultant teams were shortlisted and asked to prepare detailed project proposals. The DRSCW aims to select and enter into contract with a consultant team for this work by the end of April 2021.

1.7.3. Project Impact Evaluation
Figure 5 shows fIBI data collected on the main stem 2007, 2011, 2014 and 2019. The project site will be targeted on the area between river mile 2 and river mile 7. Additional higher resolution mapping is scheduled for 2021.
1.8 Hammel Woods Dam Modification
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2023
- Status – Designs are completed and permits were submitted in November 2019. Landowner is in final discussions with permitting agencies.

The LDRWC budgeted $600,000 for this project and anticipates expenditures in 2021-2022.

1.8.1. Site Description
The 2017 Annual Report provided a site description.

1.8.2. Design Characteristics
The 2017 Annual Report provided the design characteristics of the Project.

1.8.3. Permitting Requirements
The 2017 Annual Report provided details on the permitting requirements for the Project. Additional requirements for completing a Historic American Engineering Record was added in late 2020 which slowed the permitting process down. This study requires the drawdown of the water for high quality photographic documentation of the structure. Agreements are being
developed to allow the documentation to occur immediately before dam removal construction to minimize construction costs.

1.8.4. Design Progress Report
The Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Forest Preserve District of Will County (FPDWC) to fund the design and construction of this project. The FPDWC executed a contract with their consultant to complete the design and permitting phase of this project. The design consultant submitted permit applications in November 2019 to ACOE and IDNR. Bids for construction went out in August 2020 and a contract was awarded in November 2020. Construction will coincide with appropriate water level conditions for this project sometime in 2021.

1.8.5. Project Impact Evaluation
The LDRWC sampled bioassessment monitoring sites in 2012, 2015, and 2018 as part of the long-term Bioassessment Program. Sites sampled include above, below the dam, and within impoundment. In order to evaluate the success of the project, the LDRWC conducted additional pre-project sampling at two additional sites within the impoundment in 2019 and will include those sites in addition to the regular bioassessment sites for post-project monitoring.

1.9 Hammel Woods Dam to 119th Street in Plainfield Stream Enhancement
- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2023
- Status – In planning

The LDRWC has budgeted $2,740,000.00 for this project and anticipated expenditures will be made from 2021-2023.

1.9.1. Site Description
The LDRWC is utilizing outputs from the Identification and Prioritization System Model (IPS) to narrow down the location of the project where the best improvements to aquatic life can be made. Additionally, outputs from the new QUAL 2Kw model and QHEI data will be used to further define design criteria. This process should be completed in Spring 2021. It is anticipated that SOI and RFP for design and engineering work will immediately follow site selection.
Chapter 2 Chloride Reduction Program

The Special Condition Paragraph 3 requires NPDES holder participation in a watershed Chloride Reduction Program either directly or through the DRSCW and/or LDRWC. This section summarizes the DRSCW and LDRWC Chloride Reduction Program activities in 2020/2021.

2.1 Technical Workshops

In 2007, the DRSCW held its first deicing workshop to highlight new deicing methods, NPDES water quality goals, and best management practices in order to reduce chlorides and costs. The workshops were held in collaboration with APWA Chicago Metro Chapter. The following year, the DRSCW added a second workshop that targeted contractors responsible for snow and ice management of parking lots and sidewalks into an annual rotation. Since 2007 the DRSCW has executed two workshops every year targeting personnel responsible for 1) public roads and 2) parking lots and sidewalks. The programs have provided training and resources for numerous attendees at various agencies. Additionally, in 2014, the DRSCW held a third workshop in collaboration with Monroe Truck Equipment which focused solely on equipment calibration (Plate 9). Calibrating equipment is an immediate, low-cost BMP that can be implemented without capital upgrades.

Plate 9. Demonstrations of equipment calibration at DRSCW Chloride Management Workshops.

In the past several years, deicing workshops have been held separately by The Conservation Foundation in partnership with Kane County, the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup, and the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition in partnership with Lower Des Plaines Watershed Group.

During the reporting period April 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021, three chloride reduction workshops and four technical webinar briefs were held. Due to precautions necessitated by the Coronavirus pandemic, the workshops were held in a webinar format allowing the groups to collaborate and host the workshops jointly. Registration was also made available to agencies in McHenry, Lake and Cooks counties as their usual annual deicing workshops were not being held. Accordingly, the webinars were attended by staff in DuPage, Will, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry and Cook counties.
Public Roads Deicing Workshops were held on October 1 and October 14, 2020 (Plate 10). Fortin Consulting, Inc. from Minnesota was engaged to present the material. A registration fee was required per agency in order view the webinar. The links were sharable so the webinars could be viewed individually or in groups. A poll was taken at the beginning of each webinar asking how many persons were in the room. The polling results indicated that there were 280 persons viewing the Oct. 1 webinar and 190 persons viewing the Oct. 14th webinar for a total of 470 attendees for the Public Roads webinars. Certificates of attendance were provided to those who requested them. Evaluation surveys were sent to the persons who logged in to the webinars. A link to the Minnesota Snow and Ice Control: Field Book for Snowplow Operators was provided to each registrant.

On October 8, 2020 the Parking Lots and Sidewalks Deicing Workshop webinar was held with Fortin Consulting, Inc. presenting (Plate 11). The polling results indicated that there were 123 persons viewing the webinar. Certificates of attendance were provided to those who requested them. Evaluation surveys were sent to the persons who logged in to the webinars. A link to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Winter Parking Lot & Sidewalk Maintenance Manual was provided to each registrant.

Questions from participants were entered into the chat and answered by Fortin Consulting staff, Workgroup staff as well as others participating in the training. A summary of all links provided during the training as well as other links added to the chat were captured and provided to the participants after the webinar (Plate 12).

To complement the Winter Deicing Workshops, the Winter Technical Briefs – Mini-Webinar Series was presented to focus on specific issues (Plate 13). Topics in 2020 included: October 20 – Reducing Salt With Organics: The Boost & Reduce Method, October 27 – Sourcewell & Cooperative Purchasing, November 10 – Benefits of Segmented Blades, and November 17 – The Fine Art of Brine Making. Staff also worked with local partners to create a training video on how to calibrate a walk behind salt spreader. These webinars and training video are posted on www.saltsmart.org.

2020 Parking Lots & Sidewalks Winter Deicing Workshop
Jennifer Hammer
Lower DuPage River & Lower Des Plaines River Watershed Coordinator
The Conservation Foundation


October 2020 Winter Deicing Workshop Links

- The skinny on water softeners: https://www.epa.gov/waterscience/soft-softer-water-softer
- Chlor-alkali: https://www.epa.gov/chemicals/chemical-professional-organizations-and-clubs
- For the Model Snow and Ice Policy (for municipal operations): https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-12/documents/nri-1206556.pdf
- SaltSmart Collaborative: www.saltsmart.org
- Watch this video for calibration of City of Sherwood Hills Calibration Video - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5xK_7ufA839
- Illinois Department of Transportation - www.transportationillinois.com
- Information on Henderson's triple makers: https://www.hendersonproducts.com/brine-melter-advantage.html
- Information on Henderson's Liquid Application between https://www.hendersonproducts.com/water-ice-control-systems/ and Rob Kinloch Henderson Products - info@hendersonproducts.com or Chris back (561)954-0599 or (561)754-5500
- By-product liquids effectiveness - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Ag by-product liquids effectiveness - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Salt Brine Blending to Optimize Deicing and Anti-Icing Performance - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Micro Isn’t Always Better - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7w3QwH1w6z4
- Deicing Application Rates for two-lane road - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Pro Small Sites YouTube video is at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hiJG-A74b5w
- "Smart Saling for Sustainable" by AGGTOP - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- A page summary of States: Chlorine-Mgmt, Mnr - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Rain Closet Metropolitan Area Chloride Management Plan - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
- Smart salting schedule - https://www.desmoinesdpw.org/content/dam/0000/0000/1086_03.03.72.pdf
Additionally, during this reporting period, the LDRWC shared seasonal outreach materials for members to use in residential outreach efforts (Plate 14). The materials were made available through their website www.dupagerivers.org/winter and through the Salt Smart Collaborative website at www.saltsmart.org. The LDRWC is one of the lead collaborators for SaltSmart.org. Materials included blog posts, newsletter articles, supporting social media graphics, posters/handouts, plastic cups for spreading salt correctly and a bookmark with information for residents. A winter checklist was also included to assist communities in tracking the use of outreach materials for MS4 reporting. Both websites also advertise the winter deicing workshops.

2.2 Tracking BMP Adoption
2.2.1. Chloride Questionnaire
The DRSCW has attempted to track adoption of sensible salting BMPs in the program area since 2007. Monitoring ambient chloride concentrations has proven an imperfect metric for tracking efficiency trends in winter salt use. Tracking target BMP adoption in the program area provides opportunities to evaluate the impacts of the chloride management workshops, identify material for future workshops, and form suppositions about salt use per unit of service expended inside the program area relative to 2006 levels.

In 2007, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, and 2018 the DRSCW distributed a questionnaire to approximately 80 municipal highway operations and public works agencies to obtain information about deicing practices throughout the program area. Findings of the 2018 questionnaire were included in the 2018 Annual Report. A new questionnaire will be distributed in spring of 2021 and the results will be supplied in the 2021 Annual Report.
2.2.2. Ambient Impact Monitoring

DRSCW’s Chloride Education and Reduction Program is performing an analysis to demonstrate any observable reduction in chloride loading within the water quality data collected since the beginning of program efforts. For over a decade, the program has been implementing a number of chloride reduction efforts, including:

- Annual Educational workshops (for public roads and parking lots/sidewalks)
- Equipment calibration training
- Product and chemical alternative summaries
- Equipment and salt application advancements
- Salt usage, storage and deicing best management practices
- Example salt use policies and management plans

The goal of the analysis is to see if these efforts are resulting in a discernable impact on chloride loading within the instream water quality data collected by DRSCW from 2009 to present. The analysis is challenging. There are many factors that affect the resulting water quality data, including variability in winter weather over the years (temperatures, precipitation, number of storms, types of storms), inconsistency in municipal salt application events across the DRSCW’s
watershed areas, and inconsistency in the way events are defined and tracked by municipalities. The variability inherent in winter weather conditions, municipal application practices and record keeping does not allow the loading data to show the effect of reduction practices without accounting for it in some way. Additionally, the assumption that reductions in public or institutional use (the main recipients of training) will automatically translate into lowering of ambient chloride loading needs to be proven.

Our approach consists of using direct chloride sampling and analysis concentration data, along with adjusted specific conductivity concentration data collected by the DRSCW, and USGS flow data to calculate loading (in pound per day) of chloride for each DRSCW watershed over the past decade. This created loading data. The methodology then required that the loading data be adjusted or normalized to account for variabilities in winter weather and salt application events. The team examined several different weather datasets to try and overlay the loading data but none proved adequate. In early 2021, the DRSCW signed a contract with Weather Command / Murray and Trettel, Inc. for 10 years of detailed data.

The weather data is being analyzed by individual watershed and separately for summer and winter periods each year. The hope is that once adjusted for variabilities, the loading data will better show the effect of the program’s salt use reduction training and best management practices implementation by municipalities on water quality.

As of the time of this report, the data has been organized by watershed and season, and water quality loadings have been calculated for the study period. Detailed weather and precipitation data is being reviewed to develop a method for accounting for the variability in temperatures and precipitation, municipal salt application events, and the way salt application events are defined and tracked. Adjustments will be performed using those methods, and the resulting loading trends will be presented in a future report. This analysis may provide an indication of the effectiveness of the Workgroup’s chloride education and reduction efforts.

### 2.3 Continuous Chloride Monitoring

Ambient monitoring of winter conductivity was carried out at 6 locations in the program area in 2018-2019 (4 sites monitored by the DRSCW and 2 sites monitored by MWRD). Conductivity is used to calculate chloride concentrations based on a relationship established by the DRSCW in 2007 and 2019 (so the data is referred to as calculated). Calculated Annual chloride concentrations for the winter months from 2006-2019 for the 6 sites are depicted in Figure 6-11.
Figure 6. Calculated annual chloride concentrations - winter months (2007-2020) for Salt Creek at Wolf Road.

Figure 7. Calculated annual chloride concentrations - winter months (2008-2020) for Salt Creek at Busse Woods Main Dam.
Figure 8. Calculated annual calculated chloride concentrations - winter months (2008-2020) for East Branch at Hobson Road.

Figure 9. Calculated annual chloride concentrations - winter months (2007-2020) for East Branch at Army Trail Road.
Figure 10. Calculated annual chloride concentrations - winter months (2018-2020) for West Branch at Bailey Road.

Figure 11. Calculated annual chloride concentrations - winter months (2007-2019) for West Branch at Arlington Road.
Chapter 3 Nutrient Implementation Plan

The Special Condition Paragraph 10 requires NPDES holders in the DRSCW and LDRWC to develop a Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP) for the watershed that identifies phosphorus input reductions by point source discharges, non-point source discharges and other measures necessary to remove DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203. Special Condition Paragraph 2 and Special Condition Paragraph 8.c. identify additional studies to be completed by the watershed workgroups. This section summarizes the DRSCW and LDRWC work in 2020/2021 on the studies.

3.1 IPS Model /Project Identification Study

- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – Complete
- Status – Staff is still amending final report and database for release. The methodology, results, database, and a user manual all exist in draft final form and are under review. Due to the potential long-term impacts of some of the IPS model results DRSCW had requested to talk to IEPA about the results. Due to the pandemic this did not occur in 2020. It will be organized for 2021.

3.1.1. Background on the IPS Model and 2019-2020 Project Work

The project’s objective is to update the DRSCW’s Integrated Prioritization System model (IPS) and develop a new list of prioritized projects for both the DRSCW and LDRWC watersheds. The original IPS Model was developed by the DRSCW with its consultant (MBI) in 2010. The updated model used additional monitoring sites, several years of additional monitoring, updated methodology and updated prioritization methodology.

The IPS is a framework that merges high resolution monitoring data and assessment results with water quality management goals and objectives in order to guide decision-making at regional and local watershed scales. The model is designed to provide accurate quantitative indicators (biological response measures and chemical, habitat and land use stressor measures) and data-driven tools to Watershed groups to guide and inform their surface water restoration and protection efforts. Unlike traditional modelling efforts that tend to focus on very few parameters, the IPS examines many stressor variables including habitat and land use variables; and thus, it provides a comprehensive view and weighting, of the factors potentially limiting aquatic life.

The updated IPS Model geographically covers the watersheds of Northeastern Illinois including the Upper Des Plaines River and tributaries (DuPage River, Salt Creek) in all or parts of DuPage,
Map 5. Spatial distribution and providence of data utilized in the 2020 IPS Update.
Cook, Will, and Lake Counties (Map 5). Data from outlying watersheds including the Kishwaukee River, Kankakee River, and the Fox River were used in order to expand the stressor and response gradients. Data from more than 650 IEPA/IDNR, DRSCW, LDRWC, and Des Plaines River Watershed Workgroup (DRWW) sites draining <350 sq. mi. were used in the analyses. This is a significant expansion over the original IPS 120 sites.

Paired data supplied by these organizations included the dependent variables of fish, macro-invertebrates, habitat, and water chemistry data. Additional stressor variables, notably land use data such as road density, canopy cover, land cover and land use types, were developed and added to the data set. Figure 12 gives a schema showing the step by step approach to reaching the IPS outputs. These steps were covered in detail in the 2019 & 2020 reports.

The IPS Model allows for the derivation of stressor thresholds for each of the explanatory variables. An additional major function of the IPS is to enhance the identification of causes and sources of impairment for rivers identified as impaired aquatic life uses. Given the large number of potential stressors that can affect aquatic life, particularly in urban settings, there is a practical necessity to narrow the stressors down to the most likely limiting factors. Here both FIT analyses and Random Forest regression and classification trees were used to assess the strength of the stressor relationships with the response of the aquatic biota and the most likely causes as a result. There is a need to reduce to the number of likely stressors prior to using these tools, thus the initial analyses using correlation and simple regression and classification trees by stressor category were used to achieve this reduction.

Three types of analyses were used to help identify important causal variables for the IPS

1) Correlation analyses and regression and classification tree analyses by stressor category using the entire data set to reduce variables and remove some highly correlated values;
2) Derivation of a goodness-of-fit (FIT) statistic to measure the strength of actual vs. predicted associations between parameters and sensitive species/taxa richness;
3) On a reduced set of parameters, random forest regression and classification analyses to help discriminate level of variable importance among the reduced set of variables.

The selection of the “strongest” correlates however, does not eliminate the usefulness of multiple stressors to better explain causality of impairments especially when designing management interventions. Categories such as land use and QHEI explain a large amount of the variation in aquatic life but the causal effects can be better understood and addressed by finer resolution understanding of the stressor (elements of QHEI and landscape cover). In
Figure 12. Step-by-Step IPS Threshold Development and Stressor Identification Process.
addition, broad scale statistical analyses provide insights into average or prevalent conditions, but this may obscure a site-specific scenario where a different variable is more influential.

Given the large number of environmental and stressor variables amassed in the IPS database, a three-step process was used to identify the best stressor variables for identifying the possible mechanisms causing aquatic life impairments. The process involved:

- A correlation matrix was derived to better reveal which variables are highly correlated.
- Of these, the stressors which were readily measured and that matched conceptual models and other evidence of causal impacts were selected.
- Classification and regression trees were then used to identify the strongest amongst these variables for derivation of FIT scores, random forest regression, and classification analysis.

Table 9. Strongly Correlated Stressors with the highest FIT score (>0.32).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stressor</th>
<th>FIT Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Land Use (500m)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Embeddedness Score</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Land Uses (WS)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Overall Score</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Substrate Score</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Good Attributes</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Land Use (30m)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Land Use (30m Clipped)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Channel Score</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Silt Cover Score</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Land Use (WS)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Dissolved Oxygen</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impervious Land Use (WS)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro-QHEI Depth Score</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QHEI Poor Habitat Attributes</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro-QHEI Overall Score</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These results should provide the variables that will be the most useful in selecting effective restoration and protection approaches and setting readily measured goals for stressor reduction. Variables with stronger correlations carry more weight in the IPS Restorability scoring. The scores with the best FIT value are summarized in Table 9.

3.1.2. Next Steps in IPS Modeling
The consortium of watershed workgroups is currently completing the following steps:

- Continue reviewing and testing the Power BI database and interface;
- Review of nutrient outputs and thresholds with members and IEPA;
- Finalize reviewing the results and editing the user manual and model narrative;
- Incorporating final results into ongoing programs (NIP, physical projects, permit planning);
- Final review of the updated list of priority projects.

3.2 QUAL2Kw Updates for East Branch and Salt Creek

- Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2023
- Status – On-going. The East Branch DuPage River and Salt Creek QUAL2Kw models were completed in 2020. The West Branch DuPage River and Lower DuPage River are scheduled for 2021. Model scenarios for all four (4) models will be also be completed in 2021.

The DRSCW budgeted $183,000 for this effort and anticipates expenditures in 2019-2021. Additionally, the LDWRC has budgeted $68,000 for this effort and anticipates expenditures in 2020-2021. Note: The Special Condition Permit language only requires the update of the existing QUAL2K models for Salt Creek and the East Branch DuPage River. The DRSCW and LDRWC have decided to pursue similar models for the West Branch DuPage River and Lower DuPage River to assist with the development of the NIP.

3.2.1. Data Collection

3.2.1.1. Continuous Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Sonde Network
In 2020, the DRSCW gathered continuous DO data via water quality sondes at three (3) sites on Salt Creek, five (5) sites on the East Branch DuPage River, and four (4) sites on the West Branch DuPage River that will be utilized in the calibration and verification of the updated QUAL2Kw models. The Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (MWRDGC) also monitors two (2) additional locations on Salt Creek. Additionally, in 2020, the LDWRC maintained a sonde network of five (5) sondes on the Lower DuPage River. All sondes are deployed from May through October and collected DO, temperature, conductivity, and pH on an hourly basis.
3.2.1.2. Expanded Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring Program

In 2019, the DRSCW and LDWRC began their expanded DO Monitoring Program as a means to collect additional data to support the calibration/validation of the QUAL2Kw models and to support the development of the NIP. This program is coordinated with the Bioassessment Program (see Table 10 for schedule). Sites sampled in the West Branch DuPage River in 2020 are included in Table 11. Sites in the other basins will be identified prior to the start of sampling for their designated year.

Table 10. Schedule for Expanded DO Monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Year of Expanded DO Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Branch DuPage River</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Branch DuPage River</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower DuPage River</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sampling period for the Expanded DO Monitoring Project is late June to the end of August in dry and low flow conditions (no rain a minimum of 72 hours prior to sampling).

Sondes are deployed in the channel thalweg for a minimum of 72 hours, where they collect data on dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, conductivity, turbidity, and chlorophyll a at 15-minute intervals.

Composite water quality samples and sestonic algae sampling will be collected once during the sonde deployment using the sampling technique described in the IEPA Standard Operating Procedure for Stream Water Quality Sample Monitoring (DCN184). Samples will be analyzed for the constituents listed in Table 12. One (1) benthic algae sample will be collected at each site.

3.2.2. QUAL2Kw Modeling

In November 2019, the DRSCW and LDWRC entered into a contract with Tetra Tech to update the existing QUAL2K models for the East Branch DuPage River and Salt Creek and to prepare water quality models for the West Branch DuPage River and the Lower DuPage River. The water quality model selected for all four (4) watersheds was QUAL2Kw. The suite of QUAL models (most recently QUAL2K and QUAL2Kw) is a well-established modeling framework that is appropriate for steady-state (with diel variability) representation of critical condition DO and algal responses in flowing streams and run-of-river impoundments. The QUAL2Kw model improves upon the QUAL2K model in several ways, such as including hyporheic and surface transient storage zones and kinetics, variable options related to simulating sediment...
diagenesis, enhanced phytoplankton and bottom algae simulation and parameterization, options for a continuous dynamic modeling periods, and the built-in feature for automatic calibration using an algorithm for parameter optimization. However, unlike QUAL2K, QUAL2Kw does not allow for multiple headwaters or branching. Transitioning an existing steady state QUAL2K model into the dynamic continuous QUAL2Kw environment would allow for more accurate simulation of existing conditions through the DuPage River and Salt Creek watersheds.

**Table 11. Sites Monitored as Part of the Expanded DO Monitoring Program.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Code</th>
<th>Site Name/Description</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WB03</td>
<td>Upstream intersection of Joliet Street and Wilson Street</td>
<td>41.85663055</td>
<td>-88.2046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB08</td>
<td>Knoch Knolls Park, Naperville</td>
<td>41.71213206</td>
<td>-88.14164968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB11</td>
<td>Upstream of 2nd Bridge in WSD</td>
<td>41.84709267</td>
<td>-88.14121034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB13</td>
<td>Adjacent to Liberty Street</td>
<td>41.86807845</td>
<td>-88.1569965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB16</td>
<td>Upstream of Pedestrian Bridge in Klein Creek Farm</td>
<td>41.89616307</td>
<td>-88.1546693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB19</td>
<td>Armstrong Park</td>
<td>41.91955794</td>
<td>-88.13045071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB24</td>
<td>Downstream MWRDGC Hanover Park WWTP</td>
<td>41.99967181</td>
<td>-88.13621986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB25</td>
<td>Upstream from Braintree Drive</td>
<td>42.0115085</td>
<td>-88.11083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB26</td>
<td>Adjacent to Shaffner Rd.</td>
<td>41.84403109</td>
<td>-88.1467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB27</td>
<td>Immediately downstream from County Farm Rd</td>
<td>41.9662973</td>
<td>-88.15337574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB28</td>
<td>Immediately downstream from County Farm Rd</td>
<td>41.9653305</td>
<td>-88.167028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB33</td>
<td>Immediately upstream from Great Western Trail</td>
<td>41.90528244</td>
<td>-88.17830798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB34</td>
<td>Downstream from Garys Mill Rd</td>
<td>41.85798159</td>
<td>-88.19371109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB35</td>
<td>Adjacent to Washington Street at Pioneer Park</td>
<td>41.75401377</td>
<td>-88.13389986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB36</td>
<td>McDowell Grove; 1500 ft down trail from parking lot</td>
<td>41.79042159</td>
<td>-88.18267134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB39</td>
<td>Immediately upstream from St. Charles Rd</td>
<td>41.91271345</td>
<td>-88.17972281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 12. Parameters Included in Expanded DO Monitoring Program.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Day Biological Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>BOD5</td>
<td>Once per sampling period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Day Carbonaceous Biological Oxygen Demand</td>
<td>CBOD5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
<td>TSS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile Suspended Solids</td>
<td>VSS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids</td>
<td>TDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>Cond.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Organic Carbon</td>
<td>TOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Carbon</td>
<td>TDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>NH3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>NO2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>NO3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen</td>
<td>TKN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthophosphate</td>
<td>Ortho-P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Phosphorus</td>
<td>TDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll A (sestonic)</td>
<td>Chl A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll A (benthic)</td>
<td>Chl A (benthic)</td>
<td>Once Per Sampling Period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 1: Review of Existing and Identification of Data Needs

As part of Task 1, all available data was reviewed and considered for use in the development of the QUAL2Kw model for each watershed. Available data included flow, water quality, and sediment oxygen demand monitoring data, past DO improvement feasibility studies, wastewater discharge data, dams, and relevant hydraulic and watershed models. Data utilized to inform the development and calibration of the DuPage River and Salt Creek QUAL2Kw models is included in Table 13.

Table 13. Data Utilized in the Development of the QUAL2Kw Models for the DuPage River and Salt Creek Watersheds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Inflows</td>
<td>United States Geological Society (USGS) sites</td>
<td>Spatially disaggregated USGS streamflow based on catchments delineated for each model segment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary Water Quality</td>
<td>DRSCW and LDRWC available water quality monitoring records (sondes and grab sites) for tributary sites and headwaters</td>
<td>Aggregated to develop spatially-varied hourly input time series for each model segment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulics</td>
<td>DuPage County FEQ Models, HEC-RAS Models provided by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (MWRDGC) and US Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE)</td>
<td>Channel geometry (e.g. bank slopes, bottom width) to be extruded from provided models; develop rating curves from steady-state QUAL2Kw runs, calibrated with USGS field measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteorology</td>
<td>North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS-2); National Center for Environmental Predication (NCEP) North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR)</td>
<td>Gridded NLDAS-2 data for air temperature, dew point temperature, wind speed, and solar radiation; NARR for cloud cover; shade based on aerial imagery and calibration tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDES Point Sources</td>
<td>Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) monthly DMR data for facilities that discharge directly to mainstems</td>
<td>Developed hourly input series by facility/outfall, gap filled missing water quality records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Oxygen Demand (SOD)</td>
<td>SOD Monitoring records</td>
<td>Applied and calibrated based on 2007 reconnaissance study records.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, as part of this Task, the mainstem extent of each of the four (4) study streams were divided into a series of longitudinal model segments. In QUAL2Kw, segments are represented as one-dimensional, vertically and laterally fully mixed segments. Each segment is represented with uniform hydraulic characteristics, boundary conditions (e.g., cloud cover), and parameterization for non-global model parameters (e.g., bottom algae coverage). Several
features, including the locations of dams (including flow-through structures) and associated impoundments, tributaries, point source discharges, water quality monitoring sites, and USGS flow gages, informed the development of the reach segmentation. The QUAL2Kw Model Segmentation Memorandums for each of the four (4) rivers is included in Attachment 6. Task 1 was completed in 2020.

Task 2A: Model Re-Calibration/Re-Validation for Salt Creek and East Branch DuPage Rivers
The QUAL2Kw model for the East Branch DuPage River and Salt Creek were calibrated to observed data for channel hydro-geometry, water temperature, DO, algae, nutrients, and CBOD. Although DO is the main response variable of interest, it is important that instream processes influencing DO are also representative of conditions in the river. Some key kinetics relevant to DO simulated in QUAL2Kw are SOD, reaeration at the air-water interface, temperature impacts on oxygen solubility, decay of oxygen-demanding substances (e.g., CBOD), oxygen demanding chemical transformations (e.g., nitrification), and benthic algae and free-floating phytoplankton photosynthesis and respiration.

Measurements are available for some hydraulic and water quality variables, such as chlorophyll-a concentrations for phytoplankton, at discrete locations along the river. For variables that lacked observations, the model was parameterized and calibrated based on values, rates, and concentrations in the literature (e.g., reaeration, CBOD decay rates, phytoplankton and benthic algae growth and death rates, kinetics associated with nutrients) and through interpretation of available evidence, such as use of the observed DO diel range to inform algal respiration parameterization.

East Branch DuPage River Results
The East Branch DuPage River model was developed to simulate calendar year 2019 and sites with the most expansive datasets from the 2019 sampling effort were selected as key calibration locations (Table 14).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUAL2Kw Reach Description</th>
<th>Model Reach</th>
<th>Monitoring Site ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Churchill Lake</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EBCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabion Weir to Butterfield Road</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>EB30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-88 to Maple Avenue/Lisle Levee</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>EB31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royce Road to Whalon Lake</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>EB34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Branch DuPage River near confluence with West Branch</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>EB41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Available monitoring records were used to calibrate hydro-geometry, water temperature, nutrients, dissolved oxygen, and algae along the mainstem. Predicted water temperature closely matches continuous observations collected during warm weather at multiple sites. Simulated phytoplankton concentrations as chlorophyll-a are similar to observations, generally ranging between 1 to 15 µg/L. The model is biased high in terms of phytoplankton at chlorophyll a at Reach 13 (EB31); it, however, exhibits low bias at the other calibration locations. No benthic algae data were available for calibration; thus, benthic algae dynamics were adjusted based on dissolved oxygen patterns (i.e., diel ranges, in part due to algal photosynthesis and respiration). Dissolved oxygen is the primary endpoint of this study. Relative errors on daily mean and daily minimum DO are lowest at Reach 10, 13, and 30, indicating good model performance at these locations, which served as three of the five primary water quality calibration sites for the QUAL2Kw model. The model overestimates mean daily and daily minimum DO at Churchill Lake. This is in part due to differences in spatial scale because the monitoring reflects a point-in-space locations whereas QUAL2Kw provides predictions at the larger reach scale. Overall, the model calibration was successful, and the model is suitable for supporting future planning and management efforts, including simulation of dissolved oxygen improvement scenarios.

Figures 13 to 17 are representative calibration plots for the East Branch DuPage River model. All calibration plots will be included the final project report (scheduled for 2021).

**Salt Creek Results**
The Salt Creek model was developed to simulate calendar year 2016 and sites with the most expansive datasets from the 2016 sampling effort were selected as key calibration locations (Table 15).

**Table 15. Salt Creek Key Model Calibration Locations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibration Parameter</th>
<th>Model Reach</th>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Monitoring Site Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydro-geometry</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>USGS05531300</td>
<td>Salt Creek near Elmhurst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>USGS05531500</td>
<td>Salt Creek at Western Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Temperature &amp; DO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SCBR</td>
<td>Salt Creek at Butterfield Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SCFW</td>
<td>Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SCWR</td>
<td>Salt Creek at Wolf Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SC23</td>
<td>Salt Creek at Eisenhower Expressway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SC53</td>
<td>Salt Creek upstream of Graue Mill Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SC52</td>
<td>Salt Creek downstream of Graue Mill Dam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 13.** East Branch DuPage River Simulated and Observed Streamflow (cms) at Reach 17 (USGS 05540250).

**Figure 14.** East Branch DuPage River Simulated and Observed Water Temperature (°C) at Reach 10 (EB30).
Figure 15. East Branch DuPage River Simulated and Observed Nitrogen Species (µg/L) at Reach 10 (EB30).

Figure 16. East Branch DuPage River Simulated and Observed Total Phosphorus (µg/L) at Reach 10 (EB30).
The QUAL2Kw model for Salt Creek was developed to simulate calendar year 2016. Available monitoring records were used to calibrate hydro-geometry, water temperature, nutrients, and dissolved oxygen along the mainstem. Predicted water temperature closely matches continuous observations at the majority of sites. The model predicts nitrogen species reasonably well, although it is biased high near the outlet of Busse Woods dam. The model is biased low in terms of total phosphorus; however, there is no phosphorus speciation data to help diagnose this uncertainty. No benthic algae and only limited non-growing-season chlorophyll a data was available for calibration; thus, biological kinetics were adjusted based largely on observed dissolved oxygen patterns (i.e., diel ranges, in part due to photosynthesis and respiration). Dissolved oxygen is the primary endpoint of this study. Relative errors on daily mean and daily minimum DO are lowest at Reach 7, 12, and 16, indicating good model performance at these locations. Overall, the model calibration was successful, and the model is suitable for supporting future planning and management efforts, including simulation of dissolved oxygen improvement scenarios.

Figures 18 to 22 are representative calibration plots for the Salt Creek model. All calibration plots will be included the final project report (scheduled for 2021).
Figure 18. Salt Creek Simulated and Observed Streamflow (cms) at Reach 16 (USGS 05531500).

Figure 19. Salt Creek Simulated and Observed Water Temperature (°C) at Reach 16 (SCWR).
Figure 20. Salt Creek Simulated and Observed Nitrogen Species (µg/L) at Reach 12 (SCGD).

Figure 21. Salt Creek Simulated and Observed Total Phosphorus (µg/L) at Reach 12 (SCGD).
**Task 2B: Model Development, Calibration, and Validation for West Branch DuPage River and Lower DuPage River**

Task 2B will be conducted for the West Branch DuPage River and Lower DuPage River watersheds in 2021.

**Task 3: Sensitivity Analysis**

**East Branch DuPage River**

The calibrated QUAL2Kw model for East Branch DuPage River was used to examine the sensitivity of DO levels to various stressors or altered conditions. Sensitivity was evaluated as the simulated change in minimum DO concentration between March – July at the downstream end of the East Branch DuPage River above the confluence with West Branch DuPage River. This metric was selected because it is consistent with Illinois water quality standards, which specify that DO is to be above 5.0 mg/L at any time during these months.

Multiple factors influence minimum DO, including the temperature that alters oxygen solubility in the water column as well as algal respiration, SOD, and other biogeochemical processes. Based on the QUAL2Kw model sensitivity analyses for the East Branch DuPage River, an increase in DO levels in boundary waters (i.e., headwater, tributary, and diffuse inflows) was predicted to have the greatest impact on raising the instream minimum DO concentration.
relative to the other parameters tested. Minimum DO was also relatively sensitive to changes in SOD, algae presence, and stream shading. Minimum DO was less sensitive to changes in nutrients and air temperature. It is important to note, however, that nutrients may be above saturation to support algal growth in the river, thus, the response to nutrient reductions may be minimal until a critical threshold that hinders algal growth is achieved (i.e., the relationship is not linear as implied by a leverage analysis), particularly for bioavailable inorganic forms of nutrients. Additionally, long-term changes in nutrient fluxes to the stream may have synergistic impacts on the stream which cannot be captured, such as changes to long-term average SOD due to changes in sediment accumulation of organic matter.

**Salt Creek**

Similar to the East Branch DuPage River model, the calibrated Salt Creek QUAL2Kw was used to examine the sensitivity of DO levels to various stressors or altered conditions. Based on the Salt Creek QUAL2Kw model sensitivity analyses, an increase in flow in boundary waters (i.e., headwater, tributary, and diffuse inflows) was predicted to have the greatest impact on raising the instream minimum DO concentration relative to the other parameters tested. This is expected as increasing and decreasing flows has an additive impact of increasing loads of associated water chemistry parameters. Minimum DO was also relatively sensitive to changes in algae presence and SOD. Minimum DO was less sensitive to changes in nutrients, air temperature, and shading. It is important to note, however, that nutrients may be above saturation to support algal growth in the river, thus, the response to nutrient reductions may be minimal until a critical threshold that hinders algal growth is achieved (i.e., the relationship is not linear as implied by a leverage analysis), particularly for bioavailable inorganic forms of nutrients. Additionally, long-term changes in nutrient fluxes to the stream may have synergistic impacts on the stream which cannot be captured, such as changes to long-term average SOD due to changes in sediment accumulation of organic matter. In general, however, the model was more sensitive to changes in nitrogen than phosphorus, perhaps due to model performance being biased low relative to total phosphorus concentrations.

**West Branch DuPage River and Lower DuPage River**

Sensitivity Analysis for the Lower DuPage River, and West Branch DuPage River models will be conducted in early 2021.

**Task 4: Model Scenarios**

The Scope of Work for Task 4 was detailed in the 2019 Annual Report. Task 4 will be completed for all four (4) watersheds in 2021.
3.3 NPS Phosphorus Feasibility Analysis

- Special Cond Special Condition Listed Completion Date – December 2021
- Status – In planning

The DRSCW and LDRWC budgeted $183,610 for this effort and anticipates the majority of the expenditures in 2020-2021.

3.3.1. Consultant Roundtable
Details on the 2018 Consultant Roundtable were included in the 2019 Annual Report.

3.3.2. Evaluation of Leaf Removal as a Means to Reduce Nutrient Concentrations and Loads in Urban Stormwater
Details on the work conducted by William Selbig with the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and sponsored by the DRSCW was included in the 2019 Annual Report.

3.3.3. Leaf Litter, Street Sweeping, and Stormwater Sewer Inlet Survey / NPS Phosphorus Management
In an effort to calculate the probable distribution of leaf litter mass on roads the DRSCW developed a spatial file showing the current distribution of tree canopy overhanging roadways. Canopy coverage for the project area was generated using the tree canopy class of the high-resolution land cover data set for the Chicago region Spatial Analysis Laboratory (SAL) of the University of Vermont. The data set covers a total of 10 counties: Cook County, DuPage County, Kane County, Kendall County, Lake County (IL), McHenry County, Will County, Lake County (IN), LaPorte County and Porter County, providing complete coverage of the project area. The high-resolution dataset was created using imagery, LiDAR, and ancillary vector data sets to populate an object-based image analysis system supported by tens of thousands of manual corrections. Road data was created from county level Right Of Way (ROW) data. ROW data, originally collected for the IPS project (see Chapter 3.1), was supplied by Cook and DuPage County and was verified against recent imagery. ROW data for Will County was generated using aerial imagery. The ROW files were then merged and used to clip the canopy layer (see Plate 15). The final GIS file (developed pro bono by V3 Companies) contains data on the tree canopy overlying the ROW within the whole of the program area. Using the GIS file, the significant percentage of canopy by total roadway area, by watershed area and by management agency can be calculated in Cook, DuPage, and Will Counties. This provides the basis for a relative weighting of how much phosphorous from leaf litter could potentially make its way into receiving streams. Table 16 is an output from the final GIS file and lists percent of the ROW that has canopy cover by watershed.
Plate 15. Example of the GIS output of the merged and clipped canopy and ROW layers.
Table 16. Output from the Canopy ROW spatial file showing the percentage of total ROW area that has canopy area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC 12 Watershed</th>
<th>Percent ROW with Canopy Cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Creek</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du Page River</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Branch Du Page River</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headwaters East Branch Du Page River</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lily Cache Creek</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Salt Creek</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower West Branch Du Page River</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Du Page River</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Salt Creek</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle West Branch Du Page River</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Run-Illinois and Michigan Canal</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Brook-Du Page River</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Salt Creek</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper West Branch Du Page River</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second part of the study is reviewing the current level of practice and management of leaf litter. A survey has been created to collect information on the current practices involving leaf litter collection within the project area. This survey was developed from a similar leaf litter collection survey that was distributed in Wisconsin, as well as a street sweeping questionnaire distributed by DCSM. The draft survey is hosted by the website JotForm which provides tools to make the form, a link to distribute it, and a secondary location to store results. In addition to the survey, the DRSCW and LDRWC hopes to receive copies of relevant leaf collection, street sweeping, and catch basin contracts to better understand how they operate. A draft of the survey is attached in the Attachment 7.

The survey is scheduled to be issued in April 2021.

3.4 Development of a Basin Wide Nutrient Trading Program
Special Condition 8.c. allows the DRSCW/LDWRC to develop and implement a trading program for the POTWs in the DuPage River and Salt Creek watersheds. The nutrient trading program will allow for the re-allocation of phosphorus loadings between two or more POTWs in the DuPage River and Salt Creek watersheds as long as the following two conditions are met:

- The trade allocated loadings will not exceed the anticipated loading from the uniform application of the applicable 1.0 mg/L monthly average effluent limitation among the POTW permits in the DRSCW watersheds; and
The trade allocated loadings also remove DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203.

Special Condition 8.c. also allows for the implementation of the nutrient trading program within the 10-year permit cycle by allowing the IEPA to modify the NPDES permits if the nutrient trading program meets the criteria detailed above.

Estimated date of completion for the basin wide nutrient trading program is FY 2021-2022.

Brief descriptions are described below of the project’s original scope of work, the work completed between April 1, 2020 and March 31, 2021 by Task, and recommended modifications to the project’s scope to reflect shifting priorities.

Task 1: Project Kick-off and Schedule Analysis
This task was completed in 2017 and discussed in the 2017 Annual Report.

Task 2. Develop POTW Data Collection Checklist
This task was completed in 2017 and discussed in the 2017 Annual Report.

Task 3: Analyze and Define Eligibility Criteria
Eligibility criteria determine when, where, and what point and nonpoint sources are allowed to trade through the nutrient trading framework. This task will focus on analyzing and defining eligibility criteria for participating in trading, specifically baselines, geographic trading boundaries, and habitat project eligibility. In 2019-2020, work on eligibility criteria shifted away from point-to-point source trading among WWTPs due to ongoing discussions related to nutrient criteria and permit limits. Instead, this task has focused on the discussions and analysis related to stream restoration credits and equivalency factors, initiated under Task 5 in 2018.

Task 4: Analyze POTW Data and Fill Data Gaps
This task is complete and is discussed in the 2019 Annual Report.

Task 5: Develop/Analyze POTW Nutrient Reduction Costs
As discussed in the 2019 Annual Report, this Task remains on hold due to ongoing discussions about nutrient criteria and permit limits.
Task 6: Evaluate PS-NPS and Stream Restoration Trading
As part of the DRSCW and LDRWC’s efforts to meet negotiated permit requirements and provide an opportunity to achieve future permitting relief, the watershed workgroups are examining the potential for offsetting nutrient reductions by incentivizing stream restoration projects implemented by the POTWs. These include projects identified by the Identification and Prioritization System (IPS) Model (Section 3.1) that go above and beyond those currently listed in the Special Conditions Paragraph 2 of NPDES permits. The 2018 Annual Report described the preliminary analysis and conceptual approaches to stream restoration crediting efforts, programs, and methodologies used in other watersheds captured by the project team in a technical memorandum. In 2019, work focused on developing a potential approach and analysis questions for developing a stream restoration crediting equivalency factor that could use DRSCW’s IPS Model.

In late Summer 2020, Midwest Biodiversity Institute (MBI) completed their work on the DRSCW’s IPS Model. The final version of the IPS Model was then shared with the Trading Consultant Team for a comprehensive review of its feasibility in assisting with the developing stream restoration credits. Following their initial review, two meetings (November 20, 2020 and December 10, 2020) were held between DRSCW staff, MBI, and the Trading Consultant Team to further discuss the IPS model and its applicability to stream restoration crediting.

Following these discussions, in January 2021, the Trading Consultant Team recommended a revised set of tasks needed to complete the development of the stream restoration benefits quantification approach.

Task 6A: Analyze Existing Stream Restoration TP Crediting Methodologies
Under this Task, the Trading Consultant Team will conduct an analysis of the newly updated Chesapeake Bay stream restoration protocols, WEF Stream Restoration as a BMP: Crediting Guidance, and select others to identify phosphorus crediting methodologies that are applicable for use by DRSCW for stream restoration benefits quantification. The Trading Consultant Team will create an applicability memorandum for the methodologies associated with each of the stream restoration practices. The Trading Consultant Team will also conduct a rough estimate of expected credits from an existing stream restoration project using the unmodified credit methodology to determine a general quantification of phosphorus reduction benefit associated with this approach. This will allow DRSCW to determine if modification of the existing credit methodology to quantify phosphorus reduction benefits would be a beneficial future effort for DRSCW stream restoration projects.
**Task 6B: Research and Develop an Innovative Stream Restoration Benefits Quantification Approach Based On In-Stream Habitat (e.g., QHEI) and/or Other Important Stream Quality Indicators**

Under this Task, the Trading Consultant Team will take a step-by-step approach to research and develop an innovative empirical statistical modeling approach to stream restoration benefits quantification. Each activity under this task will add to the base of knowledge about the feasibility of this approach. The Trading Consultant Team will ensure each completed activity summarizes the potential barriers and challenges of this approach and how these barriers and challenges will both inform and impact outcomes. The activities included in Task 6B are:

- Review existing DRSCW data sets and analyses, identify new data and additional analyses that may be needed.
- Develop statistical analysis options.
- Conduct literature review.
- Select and develop statistical analysis model.

**Task 6C: Develop Stream Restoration Project Benefits Quantification Approach Document**

Under Task 6C, the Trading Consultant Team will draft the stream restoration benefits quantification approach document that outlines the rationale and the process for the quantification approaches from Tasks 6A and 6B.

**Task 6D: Input Meeting Support**

The stakeholder meeting under this task will focus on presenting the draft document from Task 6C to the DRSCW Project Committee, DRSCW Executive Board, as well as other key stakeholders including IEPA and environmental advocacy groups (EAGs), to obtain feedback on the approach.

The proposed scope revisions detailed in Tasks 6A to 6D cost an additional $80,000-120,000 above the current contract the DRSCW has signed with the Trading Team. As such, at the time of this report, the DRSCW is still reviewing the proposed scope changes and additional fees. The DRSCW will hold a meeting with its Special Condition Permit holders in April 2021 to discuss how to proceed with Task 6.

**3.5 NIP Related Items**

**3.5.1. Chlorophyll a Sampling**

The DRSCW bioassessment program began in 2007 with sampling in the West Branch DuPage River, East Branch DuPage River and Salt Creek watersheds. From 2009-2016, each watershed was sampled on a 3-year rotation beginning with the West Branch DuPage River watershed in 2006. Beginning in 2017, the watersheds will be sampled in a 4-year rotation to allow time for
the report writing and program assessment. The LDWRC began in 2012 and is sampled every 3-years.

The DRSCW and LDWRC bioassessment program utilizes standardized biological, chemical, and physical monitoring and assessment techniques employed to meet three major objectives:

1) determine the extent to which biological assemblages are impaired (using IEPA guidelines);
2) determine the categorical stressors and sources that are associated with those impairments; and,
3) add to the broader databases for the DuPage River and Salt Creek watersheds to track and understand changes through time in response to abatement actions or other influences.

The data collected as part of the bioassessment is processed, evaluated, and synthesized as a biological and water quality assessment of aquatic life use status. The assessments are directly comparable to previously conducted bioassessments such that trends in status can be examined and causes and sources of impairment can be confirmed, amended, or removed. A final report containing a summary of major findings and recommendations for future monitoring, follow-up investigations, and any immediate actions that are needed to resolve readily diagnosed impairments is prepared following each bioassessment. The bioassessment reports are posted on the DRSCW website at http://drscw.org/wp/bioassessment/. Data obtained from the bioassessments are a key source of data for all NIP projects discussed in Chapter 3.

In 2019, the DRSCW expanded its chemical monitoring to include sestonic chlorophyll a sampling beginning with the East Branch DuPage River in 2019. The West Branch DuPage River was sampled in 2020. Salt Creek and the Lower DuPage River watersheds are scheduled for 2021.
ATTACHMENT 1

DRSCW Special Condition
DuPage/Salt Creek Special Condition XX.

1. The Permittee shall participate in the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup (DRSCW). The Permittee shall work with other watershed members of the DRSCW to determine the most cost effective means to remove dissolved oxygen (DO) and offensive condition impairments in the DRSCW watersheds.

2. The Permittee shall ensure that the following projects and activities set out in the DRSCW Implementation Plan (April 16, 2015), are completed (either by the permittee or through the DRSCW) by the schedule dates set forth below; and that the short term objectives are achieved for each by the time frames identified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Short Term Objectives</th>
<th>Long Term Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oak Meadows Golf Course dam removal</td>
<td>December 31, 2016</td>
<td>Improve DO</td>
<td>Improve fish passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Meadows Golf Course stream restoration</td>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fawell Dam Modification</td>
<td>December 31, 2018</td>
<td>Modify dam to allow fish passage</td>
<td>Raise fiBi upstream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Brook Restoration and dam removal</td>
<td>December 31, 2019</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification concept plan development</td>
<td>December 31, 2016</td>
<td>Identify conceptual plan for dam modification and stream restoration</td>
<td>Build consensus among plan stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification</td>
<td>December 31, 2021</td>
<td>Improve DO, Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI)</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification area stream restoration</td>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern West Branch Physical Enhancement</td>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI)</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern East Branch Stream Enhancement</td>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUAL 2K East Branch and Salt Creek</td>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Collect new baseline data and update model</td>
<td>Quantify improvements in watershed. Identify next round of projects for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Phosphorus Feasibility Analysis</td>
<td>December 31, 2021</td>
<td>Assess NPS performance from reductions leaf litter and street sweeping</td>
<td>Reduce NPS contributions to lowest practical levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The Permittee shall participate in implementation of a watershed Chloride Reduction Program, either directly or through the DRSCW. The program shall work to decrease DRSCW watershed public agency chloride application rates used for winter road safety, with the objective of decreasing watershed chloride loading. The Permittee shall submit an annual report on the annual implementation of the program identifying the practices deployed, chloride application rates, estimated reductions achieved, analyses of watershed chloride loads, precipitation, air temperature conditions and relative performance compared to a baseline condition. The report shall be provided to the Agency by March 31 of each year reflecting the Chloride Abatement Program performance for the preceding year (example: 2015-16 winter season report shall be submitted no later than March 31, 2017). The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW to prepare a single annual progress report that is common among DRSCW permittees.

4. The Permittee shall submit an annual progress report on the projects listed in the table of paragraph 2 above to the Agency by March 31 of each year. The report shall include project implementation progress. The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW to prepare a single annual progress report that is common among DRSCW permittees.

5. The Permittee shall develop a written Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Plan. In developing the plan, the Permittee shall evaluate a range of measures for reducing phosphorus discharges from the treatment plant, including possible source reduction measures, operational improvements, and minor low cost facility modifications that will optimize reductions in phosphorus discharges from the wastewater treatment facility. The permittee’s evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, an evaluation of the following optimization measures:
   a. WWTF influent reduction measures.
      i. Evaluate the phosphorus reduction potential of users.
      ii. Determine which sources have the greatest opportunity for reducing phosphorus (e.g., industrial, commercial, institutional, municipal, and others).
         1. Determine whether known sources (e.g., restaurant and food preparation) can adopt phosphorus minimization and water conservation plans.
         2. Evaluate implementation of local limits on influent sources of excessive phosphorus.
b. WWTF effluent reduction measures.
   i. Reduce phosphorus discharges by optimizing existing treatment processes without causing non-compliance with permit effluent limitations or adversely impacting stream health.
      
      1. Adjust the solids retention time for biological phosphorus removal.
      2. Adjust aeration rates to reduce DO and promote biological phosphorus removal.
      3. Change aeration settings in plug flow basins by turning off air or mixers at the inlet side of the basin system.
      4. Minimize impact on recycle streams by improving aeration within holding tanks.
      5. Adjust flow through existing basins to enhance biological nutrient removal.
      6. Increase volatile fatty acids for biological phosphorus removal.

6. Within 24 months of the effective date of this permit, the Permittee shall finalize the written Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Evaluation Plan and submit it to IEPA. The plan shall include a schedule for implementing all of the evaluated optimization measures that can practically be implemented and include a report that explains the basis for rejecting any measure that was deemed impractical. The schedule for implementing all practical measures shall be no longer than 36 months after the effective date of this permit. The Permittee shall implement the measures set forth in the Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Plan in accordance with the schedule set forth in that Plan. The Permittee shall modify the Plan to address any comments that it receives from IEPA and shall implement the modified plan in accordance with the schedule therein.

   Annual progress reports on the optimization of the existing treatment facilities shall be submitted to the Agency by March 31 of each year beginning 24 months from the effective date of the permit.

7. The Permittee shall, within 24 months of the effective date of this permit, complete a feasibility study that evaluates the timeframe, and construction and O & M costs of reducing phosphorus levels in its discharge to a level consistently meeting a limit of 1 mg/L, 0.5 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L utilizing a range of treatment technologies including, but not necessarily limited to, biological phosphorus removal, chemical precipitation, or a combination of the two. The study shall evaluate the construction and O & M costs of the different treatment technologies for these limits on a monthly, seasonal, and annual average basis. For each technology and each phosphorus discharge level evaluated, the study shall also evaluate the amount by which the Permittee’s typical household annual sewer rates would increase if the Permittee constructed and operated the specific type of technology to achieve the specific phosphorus discharge level. Within 24 months of the effective date of this Permit, the Permittee shall submit to the Agency and the DRSCW a written report summarizing the results of the study.
8. Total phosphorus in the effluent shall be limited as follows:

   a. If the Permittee will use chemical precipitation to achieve the limit, the effluent limitation shall be 1.0 mg/L on a monthly average basis, effective 10 years after the effective date of this permit unless the Agency approves and reissues or modifies the permit to include an alternate phosphorus reduction program pursuant to paragraph c or d below that is fully implemented within 10 years of the effective date of this permit.

   b. If the Permittee will primarily use biological phosphorus removal to achieve the limit, the effluent limitation shall be 1.0 mg/L monthly average to be effective 11 years after the effective date of this permit unless the Agency approves and reissues or modifies the permit to include an alternate phosphorus reduction program pursuant to paragraph c or d below that is fully implemented within 11 years of the effective date of this permit.

   c. The Agency may modify this permit if the DRSCW has developed and implemented a trading program for POTWs in the DRSCW watersheds, providing for reallocation of allowed phosphorus loadings between two or more POTWs in the DRSCW watersheds, that delivers the same results of overall watershed phosphorus point-source reduction and loading anticipated from the uniform application of the applicable 1.0 mg/L monthly average effluent limitation among the POTW permits in the DRSCW watersheds and removes DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203.

   d. The Agency may modify this permit if the DRSCW has demonstrated and implemented an alternate means of reducing watershed phosphorus loading to a comparable result within the timeframe of the schedule of this condition and removes DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203.

9. The Permittee shall monitor the wastewater effluent, consistent with the monitoring requirements on Page 2 of this permit, for total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, nitrate/nitrite, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), ammonia, total nitrogen (calculated), alkalinity and temperature at least once a month. The Permittee shall monitor the wastewater influent for total phosphorus and total nitrogen at least once a month. The results shall be submitted on NetDMRs to the Agency unless otherwise specified by the Agency.

10. The Permittee shall submit a Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP) for the DRSCW watersheds that identifies phosphorus input reductions by point source discharges, non-point source discharges and other measures necessary to remove DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203. The NIP shall also include a schedule for implementation of the phosphorus input reductions and other measures. The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW to prepare a single NIP that is common among DRSCW permittees. The NIP shall be submitted to the Agency by December 31, 2023.
ATTACHMENT 2

LDRWC Special Conditions
Bolingbrook STP#3 Special Condition XX.

1. The Permittee shall participate in the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup (DRSCW) and the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition (LDRWC). The Permittee shall work with other watershed members of the DRSCW and LDRWC to determine the most cost effective means to remove dissolved oxygen (DO) and offensive condition impairments in the DuPage River Salt Creek watershed.

2. The Permittee shall ensure that the following projects and activities set out in the DRSCW and LDRWC Implementation Plan (April 16, 2015), are completed (either by the permittee or through the DRSCW/LDRWC) by the schedule dates set forth below; and that the short term objectives are achieved for each by the time frames identified below. This condition may be modified to include additional projects due to participation in the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Short Term Objectives</th>
<th>Long Term Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oak Meadows Golf Course dam removal</td>
<td>December 31, 2016</td>
<td>Improve DO</td>
<td>Improve fish passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS Tool/Project Identification Study</td>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
<td>Improve DO</td>
<td>Improve fish passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Meadows Golf Course stream restoration</td>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fawell Dam Modification</td>
<td>December 31, 2018</td>
<td>Modify dam to allow fish passage</td>
<td>Raise fBi upstream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammel Woods Dam removal</td>
<td>December 31, 2019</td>
<td>Improve DO, reduce nuisance algae</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Brook Restoration and dam removal</td>
<td>December 31, 2019</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification concept plan development</td>
<td>December 31, 2016</td>
<td>Identify conceptual plan for dam modification and stream restoration</td>
<td>Build consensus among plan stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification</td>
<td>December 31, 2021</td>
<td>Improve DO, improve aquatic habitat (QHEI)</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fullersburg Woods dam modification area stream restoration</td>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern West Branch Physical Enhancement</td>
<td>December 31, 2022</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI)</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern East Branch Stream Enhancement</td>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammel Woods Dam to 119th Street in Plainfield Stream Enhancement</td>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Improve aquatic habitat (QHEI), reduce inputs of nutrients and sediment</td>
<td>Raise miBi and fiBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUAL 2K East Branch and Salt Creek</td>
<td>December 31, 2023</td>
<td>Collect new baseline data and update model</td>
<td>Quantify improvements in watershed, Identify next round of projects for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Phosphorus Feasibility Analysis</td>
<td>December 31, 2021</td>
<td>Assess NPS performance from reductions leaf litter and street sweeping</td>
<td>Reduce NPS contributions to lowest practical levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The Permittee shall participate in implementation of a watershed Chloride Reduction Program, either directly or through the DRSCW/LDRWC. The program shall work to decrease DRSCW/LDRWC watershed public agency chloride application rates used for winter road safety, with the objective of decreasing watershed chloride loading. The Permittee shall submit an annual report on the annual implementation of the program identifying the practices deployed, chloride application rates, estimated reductions achieved, analyses of watershed chloride loads, precipitation, air temperature conditions and relative performance compared to a baseline condition. The report shall be provided to the Agency by March 31 of each year reflecting the Chloride Abatement Program performance for the preceding year (example: 2015-16 winter season report shall be submitted no later than March 31, 2017). The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW/LDRWC to prepare a single annual progress report that is common among DRSCW/LDRWC permittees.

4. The Permittee shall submit an annual progress report on the projects listed in the table of paragraph 2 above to the Agency by March 31 of each year. The report shall include project implementation progress. The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW/LDRWC to prepare a single annual progress report that is common among DRSCW/LDRWC permittees.

5. The Permittee shall develop a written Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Plan. In developing the plan, the Permittee shall evaluate a range of measures for reducing phosphorus discharges from the treatment plant, including possible source reduction measures, operational improvements, and minor low cost facility modifications that will optimize reductions in phosphorus discharges from the wastewater treatment facility. The permittee’s evaluation shall
include, but not necessarily be limited to, an evaluation of the following optimization measures:

a. WWTF influent reduction measures.
   i. Evaluate the phosphorus reduction potential of users.
   ii. Determine which sources have the greatest opportunity for reducing phosphorus (e.g., industrial, commercial, institutional, municipal, and others).
       1. Determine whether known sources (e.g., restaurant and food preparation) can adopt phosphorus minimization and water conservation plans.
       2. Evaluate implementation of local limits on influent sources of excessive phosphorus.

b. WWTF effluent reduction measures.
   i. Reduce phosphorus discharges by optimizing existing treatment processes without causing non-compliance with permit effluent limitations or adversely impacting stream health.
       1. Adjust the solids retention time for biological phosphorus removal.
       2. Adjust aeration rates to reduce DO and promote biological phosphorus removal.
       3. Change aeration settings in plug flow basins by turning off air or mixers at the inlet side of the basin system.
       4. Minimize impact on recycle streams by improving aeration within holding tanks.
       5. Adjust flow through existing basins to enhance biological nutrient removal.
       6. Increase volatile fatty acids for biological phosphorus removal.

6. Within 24 months of the effective date of this permit, the Permittee shall finalize the written Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Evaluation Plan and submit it to IEPA. The plan shall include a schedule for implementing all of the evaluated optimization measures that can practically be implemented and include a report that explains the basis for rejecting any measure that was deemed impractical. The schedule for implementing all practical measures shall be no longer than 36 months after the effective date of this permit. The Permittee shall implement the measures set forth in the Phosphorus Discharge Optimization Plan in accordance with the schedule set forth in that Plan. The Permittee shall modify the Plan to address any comments that it receives from IEPA and shall implement the modified plan in accordance with the schedule therein.

Annual progress reports on the optimization of the existing treatment facilities shall be submitted to the Agency by March 31 of each year beginning 24 months from the effective date of the permit.

7. The Permittee shall, within 24 months of the effective date of this permit, complete a feasibility study that evaluates the timeframe, and construction and O & M costs of reducing phosphorus levels in its discharge to a level consistently meeting a limit of 1 mg/L, 0.5 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L utilizing a range of treatment technologies including, but not necessarily limited to, biological phosphorus removal, chemical precipitation, or a combination of the two. The study shall evaluate the construction and O & M costs of the different treatment technologies for these limits on a
monthly, seasonal, and annual average basis. For each technology and each phosphorus discharge level evaluated, the study shall also evaluate the amount by which the Permittee’s typical household annual sewer rates would increase if the Permittee constructed and operated the specific type of technology to achieve the specific phosphorus discharge level. Within 24 months of the effective date of this Permit, the Permittee shall submit to the Agency and the DRSCW/LDRWC a written report summarizing the results of the study.

8. Total phosphorus in the effluent shall be limited as follows:

   a. If the Permittee will use chemical precipitation to achieve the limit, the effluent limitation shall be 1.0 mg/L on a monthly average basis, effective 10 years after the effective date of this permit unless the Agency approves and reissues or modifies the permit to include an alternate phosphorus reduction program pursuant to paragraph c or d below that is fully implemented within 10 years of the effective date of this permit.

   b. If the Permittee will primarily use biological phosphorus removal to achieve the limit, the effluent limitation shall be 1.0 mg/L monthly average to be effective 11 years after the effective date of this permit unless the Agency approves and reissues or modifies the permit to include an alternate phosphorus reduction program pursuant to paragraph c or d below that is fully implemented within 11 years of the effective date of this permit.

   c. The Agency may modify this permit if the DRSCW has developed and implemented a trading program for POTWs in the DRSCW/LDRWC watersheds, providing for reallocation of allowed phosphorus loadings between two or more POTWs in the DRSCW/LDRWC watersheds, that delivers the same results of overall watershed phosphorus point-source reduction and loading anticipated from the uniform application of the applicable 1.0 mg/L monthly average effluent limitation among the POTW permits in the DRSCW watersheds and removes DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203.

   d. The Agency may modify this permit if the DRSCW/LDRWC has demonstrated and implemented an alternate means of reducing watershed phosphorus loading to a comparable result within the timeframe of the schedule of this condition and removes DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203.

9. The Permittee shall monitor the wastewater effluent, consistent with the monitoring requirements on Page 2 of this permit, for total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, nitrate/nitrite, total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), ammonia, total nitrogen (calculated), alkalinity and temperature at least once a month. The Permittee shall monitor the wastewater influent for total phosphorus and total nitrogen at least once a month. The results shall be submitted on NetDMRs to the Agency unless otherwise specified by the Agency.
10. The Permittee shall submit a Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP) for the DRSCW watersheds that identifies phosphorus input reductions by point source discharges, non-point source discharges and other measures necessary to remove DO and offensive condition impairments and meet the applicable dissolved oxygen criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.206 and the narrative offensive aquatic algae criteria in 35 IL Adm. Code 302.203. The NIP shall also include a schedule for implementation of the phosphorus input reductions and other measures. The Permittee may work cooperatively with the DRSCW to prepare a single NIP that is common among DRSCW and LDRWC permittees. The NIP shall be submitted to the Agency by December 31, 2023.
ATTACHMENT 3

Relocating Fish to a new Spring Brook
Restoration of Spring Brook as it flows through Blackwell Forest Preserve includes building a new stream channel and removing a dam to provide better habitat and a gentler slope to allow fish to swim upstream.

In late August 2020, construction of the new channel was completed and water flow was diverted into the new channel. This left the old channel — and any fish in it — isolated. As the remaining water in old channel began to recede, Forest Preserve District ecologists scoured the old stream remnants in search of fish for three days in early September.

To do this, staff used a backpack electrofisher to send a small electric current into the water and temporarily stun the fish. At that moment, fish briefly float to the surface and can be captured with nets. Electrofishing does not harm fish and is a common practice to conduct fish surveys.

Over three days, staff collected 1,159 fish of 22 species from an approximately 1,200-foot stretch of the former stream (crayfish and freshwater mussels were also collected). These fish were then relocated into the newly constructed stream to live their lives in better habitat that included oxygen-rich water, a diversity of aquatic plants, a mix of deep and shallow water, and better substrate for spawning. A complete list of the translocated fish is below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Sunfish</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Bullhead</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Chub</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluntnose Minnow</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Mosquito Fish</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackstripe Topminnow</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth Bass</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Stoneroller</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Shiner</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Darter</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallmouth Bass</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sucker</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonecat</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizzard Shad</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumpkinseed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Bullhead</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluegill/Green Sunfish Hybrid</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Shiner</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotfin Shiner</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Crappie</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redear Sunfish</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,159</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previous fish surveys in the upper reaches of Spring Brook at St. James Farm Forest Preserve indicated there were only 8 species of fish in the waterway. Restoration of Spring Brook and removal of the dam provides the opportunity for a greater diversity of fish to freely move upstream and colonize areas where they were previously restricted.

Written by Scott Meister

Scott Meister is manager of Natural Resources for the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County and has been on staff since 2002. Scott has a passion for wildlife and began his career as an ecologist. He continues to participate in programs that restore habitat and promote wildlife diversity in DuPage County.
ATTACHMENT 4

Executive Summary
Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek
at Fullersburg Woods
Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods

Executive Summary
Introduction & Background

Summary

Situated on Salt Creek, the historic Graue Mill and Museum and the Graue Mill dam serve as reminders of the area’s history and provide a source of recreation and education for the general public. Currently, per the Clean Water Act, Salt Creek does not meet criteria for biology and water quality and actions must be taken to remedy these conditions so the river can be enhanced for future generations.

In response to Salt Creek failing to meet the goals of the Clean Water Act and being listed as impaired, it was recommended that tighter restrictions be placed on the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in the area. These actions, however, would cost taxpayers hundreds of millions and evidence suggests would still not measurably move Salt Creek towards compliance with the Clean Water Act. After sampling and studies, it was determined that the primary issues causing Salt Creek to fail the biological requirements could all be attributed to the dam that sits on the site. With State and Federal agencies agreeing to allow an alternative local plan that will be more efficient with public money, the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup (DRSCW) was formed. The group of local partners has developed an alternative plan for the entire watershed, which includes dam removals and promises not only public savings, but greater environmental benefits. This document is a summary of the work conducted as part of the master planning process to allow the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County to make decisions regarding the Concept Master Plan implementation at Fullersburg Woods.
Historical Background

The Graue Mill dam is located on Salt Creek adjacent to Graue Mill in the Fullersburg Woods Forest Preserve in the Village of Oak Brook and is owned by the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County (FPDDC). The concrete dam that exists today was built in 1934 by the Civilian Conservation Corps after the site was purchased in 1933 by the FPDDC and is the fourth dam to be constructed at the site. This dam has a crest length of 132 ft. (40.3 m) and stands 6.2 ft. (1.9 m) high. The impoundment created by the dam spans 16 acres and is approximately 3,900 linear feet in length. Also on the site is the side stream mill race which houses the water wheel at Graue Mill. The adjacent gristmill does not rely on this water wheel for power and instead operates on electrical power. The gristmill opened in 1852 and was in use for 70 years until it was abandoned due to modern milling methods. It was restored by the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC), with work completed in 1943. Since this restoration, additional work has occurred at the Mill including converting the gristmill operations to electricity. Today, the Mill serves as an important piece of local history and contributes to the cultural identity of the surrounding communities.
Objectives

The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods seeks to maximize community benefits while achieving environmental and economic objectives. Along with improvements to the area immediate to the dam, the plan incorporates the whole river system within the Fullersburg Woods Forest Preserve.

After evaluations of Salt Creek, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA), which monitors Clean Water Act compliance through surveys of water chemistry and aquatic life, has found that the waterway does not meet state water quality standards for dissolved oxygen (DO) or state thresholds for fish and aquatic insect biodiversity. Local sampling and studies identified three primary reasons why the river segment is failing to meet biological requirements: blockage of fish passage, low dissolved oxygen (DO), and poor in-stream and riparian habitat conditions. In order to meet Clean Water Act compliance, the Concept Master Plan seeks to address the following issues:

1. **Remove Blockage of Fish Passage**
   - In areas upstream of the dam, there is a large decrease in fish biodiversity. While the river supports 53 species in total, sixteen native river fish species including blackside darter, emerald shiner, johnny darter, northern pike and rock bass are all absent in areas upstream of the dam. The modification of the dam will allow these fish to establish themselves in the watershed up to the Busse Woods Dam in Schaumburg.

2. **Increase Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Levels**
   - Dissolved oxygen (DO) is the amount of free oxygen that is present in the water. Just like humans, all of Salt Creek’s living creatures, from fish to insects, need oxygen to survive. The lowest DO levels in Salt Creek are consistently associated with the Graue Mill dam.

3. **Improve In-Stream and Riparian Habitat Conditions**
   - River fish and macroinvertebrates (insects) need flowing water, gravel bottoms, and low levels of muddy sediment. The habitat behind the dam consists of stagnant water and sediment. The poor habitat explains the drop in insect species upstream of the dam.

These issues are directly associated with the presence of the dam, as the lowest DO levels on Salt Creek were found in the impoundment area and a large decrease in fish and insect biodiversity were found upstream of the dam. As part of the Concept Master Plan, addressing these issues and creating cost-effective solutions will be the primary factor in creating alternatives.
The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods goes beyond dam removal, it is a full stream corridor restoration project. The Master Plan will improve water quality and increase recreation and education opportunities on Salt Creek while being more efficient with taxpayer money. The Master Plan intends to address this series of objectives by putting focus on the following:

### Water Quality Improvements

The Concept Master Plan relies on the benefits of healthy, naturally free-flowing rivers to improve water quality in Salt Creek beyond what could be achieved through additional public spending on wastewater treatment. In addition to improvements at the Graue Mill dam, over a mile of river upstream of the dam will be restored by creating wetlands, planting native vegetation, enhancing in-stream habitat and more. These enhancements will be designed to improve the aquatic habitat of Salt Creek and promote healthy populations of fish, macroinvertebrates, birds, and reptiles.

### Recreation and Education Opportunities

The project benefits go beyond ecology. The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods includes education and recreational elements to complement the water quality improvements. Proposed amenities include canoe/kayak launches, fishing stations that provide access to the creek and educational signs. Content for the educational signs will focus on the benefits of dam removal and stream restoration as well as honoring the history of the site and its milling operations.

### Responsible Public Investment

The Concept Master Plan will allow upstream communities to forgo hugely expensive upgrades at their wastewater treatment plants. Analysis shows that improvements to water quality due to dam removal are more effective and cheaper than plant upgrades. Plant upgrades have been estimated at $213 million in capital costs and $7 million a year in increased operating costs. Such upgrades will marginally improve water quality but cannot restore the river’s fish biodiversity or the habitat upstream of the dam.
Data Collection

Summary of Findings

A series of studies were done to further inform the design of the Concept Master Plan. These studies include a topographic and bathymetric survey, wetlands/waters of the United States assessment, and a sediment sampling/analysis.

**Topographic and Bathymetric Survey**

Topographic and bathymetric surveys were collected from July 19, 2019 to August 16, 2019 to locate substantial existing features and ground relief. The survey area included the Salt Creek corridor from York Road on the downstream end to 31st Street bridge on the upstream end. The project area also included the Fullersburg Woods (Graue Mill) dam and the Old Oak Brook dam. During the survey activities, AECOM also conducted measurements for the depth of sediments, or depth of refusal (DOR) measurements, within the dam’s impoundment to quantify impounded sediment volumes and identify approximate elevations and materials of pre-dam alluvium soils.

**Wetlands/Waters of the United States Assessment**

AECOM’s subconsultant Applied Ecological Services (AES) completed a wetland and water delineation of the project area on July 8-11, 2019. The work was done in accordance with the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 Wetland Delineation and the Midwest Regional Supplement for Wetland Delineations. DuPage County jurisdictional wetlands were also delineated and assessed. A wetland delineation report has been submitted to the USACE and the DuPage County Stormwater Management (DC SWM) which includes a wetland delineation exhibit demarcating all wetlands and data collected, photos of representative locations, wetlands and soil maps, USACE data forms, an evaluation of the quality of on-site wetlands based upon Floristic Quality Index (FQI), location of adjacent off-site wetlands, calculation of buffer width, and wildlife evaluation forms. Field confirmation of wetland boundaries and justification determination of the wetlands were completed on June 3, 2020.

A Threatened and Endangered Species Investigation was completed and identified the following species within the project area:

- Northern Long-eared Bat
- Hine’s Emerald Dragonfly
- Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid
- Leafy Prairie-clover
- Mead’s Milkweed
- Prairie Bush-clover

A Historical Preservation Investigation was also completed through a review of the State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPO) National Register of Historic Places in Illinois on September 1, 2020 and identified the Graue Mill as the only historic place listed in the register that is situated within the forest preserve boundaries.
Sediment Sampling and Analysis

Sediment sampling to provide a base understanding of the sediment quality of the impoundment located upstream of the Fullersburg Woods dam for planning purposes was conducted on July 16–17, 2019. Sediment samples were taken at 18 locations, where silt, clay, and organic material depth is greater than or equal to 12 inches, two depths were sampled, the first depth to the first 12-inches of sediment and the second depth to the 12-24-inch depth. In total 31 samples were taken.

The sediment samples were tested for the following parameters:

- Total Metals: arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, selenium, silver and zinc
- Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure: arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium and silver
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)
- Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
- Sediment Grain Size (hydrometer method)
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
- Pesticides: 4,4’DDD; 4,4’-DDE; dieldrin

Overall, the results for the sediment samples were typical for urban sediments and showed a variety of contaminates above detectible criteria. In areas where sediment samples exceed these criteria, it would be ideal to avoid transportation of the contaminates downstream. The human health criteria for ingestion and inhalation indicate that these sediments may not be allowed to be left in place if those sediments are expected to remain exposed after dam removal and may be required to be covered with ‘clean’ soil to prevent human contact. However, if the property of the former impoundment is zoned for restricted use these criteria may not apply and no further action may be required.

If full dam removal is selected, the largest sediment volume is expected to come from the area immediately upstream of the dam. Due to the excavation expected in this area and the area of existing sediments expected to remain post dam removal, AECOM recommends an additional sampling event to complete the characterization of sediment in this area. If the dam removal moves forward, all sediments will be managed to limit transport downstream to the maximum extent possible and/or will be removed to facilitate the dam removal. Any contaminated sediments identified will be disposed of in accordance with IEPA regulations.
Recommended Alternative

Alternatives Analysis

Following the guidance of the project objectives and the results of a series of sediment sampling and topographic studies, four design alternatives focusing on the water quality and environmental objectives were created for consideration.

In order to address the issues present in Salt Creek, design modification alternatives to the Fullersburg Woods Dam were developed and evaluated for their viability to create fish passage and improve water quality and aquatic habitat. The alternatives aim to improve biological requirements including increasing fish biodiversity, increasing dissolved oxygen (DO) levels, and diversifying in-stream and riparian habitat conditions. In total, four design alternatives, along with a no-action alternative, were evaluated. The design alternatives explore both the partial and full removal of the existing dam, while considering the environmental and economic objectives.

In December 2018 to April 2019, the DRSCW utilized a public relations firm, Aileron Communications, to conduct public opinion research to understand community support and opposition and to identify options for moving forward with alternatives at the Graue Mill Dam. Telephone and online surveys along with in-depth interviews and a focus group discussion were completed (Aileron, 2019).

Throughout the conceptual master plan portion of this project, AECOM and the DRSCW have met to discuss the alternatives analysis to select the recommended alternative. Additionally, two public virtual open houses were held virtually on July 7, 2020 and July 9, 2020.

As part of the public comment solicitation, the DRSCW asked for feedback on individual design elements of the proposed project. All the design elements focused comments received were related to the mill raceway and water wheel. The commenters recommended that post dam removal, water be maintained in the mill raceway and/or that mill wheel be able to turn (Aileron, 2020).

Since the virtual open houses and the conclusion of the public comment period, in collaboration with FPDDC staff, the DRSCW has examined the mill race and the water wheel. This involved moving the debris from the mill race, examining the wheel bearings and consulting pump and motor specialists.

As part of the recommended option, the mill race would be hydraulically disconnected from the impoundment by filling in its upstream end. A system of pumps and weirs would allow water to be placed into and removed from the mill race while the wheel rotation of the wheel would be powered by an electric motor. Wiring the controls into the mill building will allow the water wheel to be operated on demand. The mill race is over 200 feet in length and it is likely that the recommended option would fill in only the first 20-30 feet, leaving 85% of it in its present condition. Design of these elements will be finalized through the final design process.

Alternative A will meet the project objectives of providing fish passage, improve water quality by increasing the dissolved oxygen within the project area by providing consistent movement of water through the Salt Creek, and improving in-stream and riparian habitat. As such, based on the results of the Alternatives Analysis, the DRSCW has focused its efforts on refining Alternative A. The following pages provide additional detail on the design elements associated with the recommended alternative.
Proposed Design

In Alternative A, the dam is removed and replaced with a rock riffle. Final dimensions of the rock riffle are estimated to be 70 feet wide by 80-100 feet in length. The riffle will be designed so that velocities through the riffle will be low enough to allow for fish passage under normal flow conditions. The former impoundment now occupied by the approximately 70-foot-wide river channel will become floodplain/wetlands. The existing mill race will be hydraulically disconnected from the impoundment by filling in its upstream end. A system of pumps and weirs would allow water to be placed into and removed from the mill race while the rotation of the wheel would be powered by an electric motor. Wiring the controls into the mill building will allow the water wheel to be operated on demand.

As the design is progressed, hydraulic modelling will be finalized to determine any impacts to the area relative to the project. Alternative A will maximize both the fish passage, habitat, and water quality objectives and will minimize cleaning of the mill race and future sediment management issues for the FPDDC.
Looking towards Salt Creek from the north, the existing dam will be replaced with a rock riffle spanning the width of the stream channel and extending approximately 80-100 feet in length. The riffle will allow for safe fish and paddler passage, increasing both the fish biodiversity upstream of the existing dam and recreational opportunities.
With the expansion of the floodplain, the existing mill race will be disconnected from the former impoundment area and filled in on the upstream end. The mill race is over 200 feet in length and the recommended alternative would fill in only the first 20-30 feet, leaving 85% of it in its present condition. A path will be added to provide safe access through the floodplain vegetation to both the edge of Salt Creek and a fishing station.
With the removal of the dam, much of the land to the north and south of Salt Creek will become floodplain/wetland areas. Along with increasing the biology of the stream, the improvements will also allow visitors to enjoy amenities such as rock fishing areas.
Today the Graue Mill gristmill operates under electrical power and does not rely on the water wheel for power. The wheel is not attached to any gearing and is capable of turning. However, the water wheel turns infrequently due to both the accumulation of sediment in the mill race and the relatively low crest of the current dam. The factors compound leading to inadequate and infrequent flow.

Under the recommended alternative, the mill race would be disconnected from the newly created river at its upstream end. Water would then be ponded in the mill race and the wheel turned by an electric motor. This matches the recommendations the FPDDC’s 2019 Graue Mill Sluiceway Debris Control Study (Graue Mill Sluiceway Debris Control Study, 2019).
In the 1.2 miles upstream of the dam, the width of the stream will be reduced along with the inclusion of other restoration strategies. A typical stream restoration would include root wads, stabilized shorelines, small riffles and pools, and a floodplain landscape buffer.
Preserve & Restoration

Master Plan

Preserve Plan

The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods protects history, while improving river quality, enhancing recreational opportunities, and saving taxpayer dollars. The preserve plan goes beyond dam removal and extends the improvements downstream for a full stream corridor restoration.

As the design for Alternative A progresses, the DRSCW is exploring the impacts of the proposed design on the upstream channel and looking for additional opportunities for instream and streamside habitat improvements (riffle/pool creation, substrate installation, streambank stabilization, wetland creation, etc.). Additional modeling and design work will be done on the channel around the island located in the northern portion of Fullersburg Woods. Historically, the main channel of Salt Creek flowed on the south side of the island. However, after the construction of the current dam in the 1930s, the main channel of Salt Creek was directed to the north side of the channel. These channels are shown in the plan below. As the property owner to date, FPDDC, is neutral on which channel (north or south) should be the main channel. The design will focus on allowing high flows to access both flow paths during flood stage and maintain enough flow in the secondary channel to maintain healthy wetland vegetation during low/normal flows.
Around the Graue Mill dam, the primary focus is on the removal of the existing dam and improvements to the stream and stream bank. The project will replace the Graue Mill dam with a rock riffle structure that will create safe passage for paddlers on canoes and kayaks and allow fish to travel for 17 miles upstream of the dam for the first time in nearly 90 years. In the former impoundment area, floodplain/wetland vegetation will be established creating a variety of habitats. These biological enhancements in the 1.2 miles upstream of the dam will help to maintain ecological diversity both instream and streamside.

The preserve plan provides recreational and educational enhancements as well, including elements such as fishing stations, canoe launches, and providing educational signage to speak to the history and ecological elements of the site. At the location of the millhouse, the removal of the dam will not necessitate any alteration to the historic gristmill, which has used an electric motor for its milling operations for several years.

The following pages provide further detail on the proposed design enhancements for the Concept Master Plan.
Ecological Enhancements

The removal of the dam will provide opportunities to improve both the instream and streamside habitats and increase the overall health of Salt Creek and the surrounding watershed. Incorporating elements including root wads, rock riffles, and stream stabilization measures will increase the fish diversity in the stream up to 17 miles north of the existing Graue Mill dam location, and vastly improve the water quality in the area upstream of the dam. The number and exact location of these enhancements will be determined with preparation of construction bid documents. The ecological enhancements will be applied in the 1.2 miles of Salt Creek upstream of the removed dam.
Preserve Enhancements

The project benefits go beyond ecology. The Concept Master Plan for Salt Creek at Fullersburg Woods includes education and recreational elements to complement the water quality improvements. Proposed amenities include canoe/kayak launches, fishing stations that provide access to the creek, and educational signs. Content for the educational signs will focus on the benefits of dam removal and stream restoration as well as honoring the history of the site and its milling operations.
ATTACHMENT 5

Rheophilic Taxa Analysis -
Pre-Project Sampling at Fullersburg Woods
Fullersburg Dam Project Rheophilic Macroinvertebrate Taxa Analysis: Pre-Removal Baseline 2019-2020

Macroinvertebrate sampling in 2019 and 2020 was conducted prior to low-head dam removal projects proposed at Fullersburg Woods. Out of six sites between river miles 12.5 and 10.5, five were located within low-head dam impoundments formed by the Old Oak Brook and Graue Mill dams. Only site SC52 (RM 10.5) in the tailwater of the Graue Mill Dam, was free-flowing with extensive riffle/run habitats.

Due to interest in the condition of the biology prior to the dam removals, additional analysis of the Salt Creek macroinvertebrate collections were conducted by evaluating rheophilic (current-dependent) populations. The effort mirrored earlier reporting on pre- and post-dam removal sampling between 2007 and 2019 at Oak Meadows (MBI 2020). Following obstruction removals and channel enhancements at Oak Meadows, the former impoundments shifted to a free-flowing condition. This resulted in increased current velocities, habitat heterogeneity, and reductions in fine sediments. The efforts led to increased diversity and abundance of macroinvertebrate populations associated with the enhanced habitat features.

To evaluate potential changes in macroinvertebrate performance in the Fullersburg project area, the occurrence of rheophilic taxa (i.e., taxa that prefer current) and/or taxa that prefer coarse, erosional substrates were parsed from the Salt Creek macroinvertebrate collection records. Taxa were selected based on habitat classifications in the literature or professional observations based on 30+ years of stream macroinvertebrate assessment.

Initially, pre and post-removal sampling at Oak Meadows identified twenty-one (21) rheophilic taxa used to evaluate trends. After including Fullersburg samples from 2019-20, an additional midge taxon, *Nilotanypus fimbriatus* was added (see Appendix Table A-1).

A list and description of the “Rheophilic” indicator taxa are found below (taxa found at Fullersburg sample sites are highlighted in yellow):

1) Three mayfly taxa:
   a) *Baetis intercalaris, Baetis flavistriga* – The “small minnow mayflies” (Family Baetidae) are typically found in ripples and areas of swift current, often on firm, rocky substrates.
   b) *Stenacron sp.* – A facultative genus (Family Heptageniidae) that is typical of pools and sluggish current, but is included herein because the nymphs are typically found on the undersides of large, unembedded coarse substrates in flowing water.

2) Seven caddisfly taxa:
   a) *Cheumatopsyche sp., Ceratopsyche morosa* group, *Hydropsyche simulans, Hydropsyche bidens* or *orrisi* - These filter-feeding larvae (family Hydropsychidae) inhabit riffles and...
runs where they construct nets and retreats on firm, rocky substrates or large pieces of stable woody debris. The larvae generally require at least minimal current velocities with *Cheumatopsyche*, a facultative and very common genus, tolerating the slowest current.

b) *Hydroptila sp.* – The “purse net” caddisfly (Family *Hydroptilidae*) is found in both lotic and lentic habitats (Wiggins 1996), but was included since it typically anchors its case to pieces of cobble and rubble as it grazes on attached filamentous algae. In the DuPage River/Salt Creek watersheds, cases have also been observed attached to macrophytes (mostly *Elodea*) which suggests it to be a marginal rheophilic indicator taxa. (Pupae level identifications taken to Family level were lumped with the generic ID for purposes of comparison).

c) *Nectopsyche diarina* – This “Longhorned Case Maker” caddisfly species is one of the few cited as current dependent (Glover 2004; Floyd 2004). In contrast, most others from the family *Leptoceridae* are found in lakes, ponds, or pooled areas of rivers and streams.

3) One beetle taxa:
   a) *Stenelmis sp.* – A “riffle beetle” (Family *Elmidae*) commonly found in riffles and runs on coarse substrates.

4) Nine Dipteran (fly) taxa:
   a) *Simulium sp.* – These filter-feeding blackflies are relatively pollution tolerant, but typically attach themselves to coarse substrates in strong current.
   b) Chironomidae: Eight rheobiotic midge taxa; *Cricotopus (C.) trifascia*, *Rheocricotopus robacki*, *Thienemanniella xena*, *Microtendipes caelum*, *Polypedilum (Uresipedilum) flavum*, *Nilotanypus fimbriatus*, and *Rheotanytarsus sp.* (Simpson and Bode, 1980) and *Thienemanniella similis* were selected. Among the group, *Polypedilum (U.) flavum* is considered the weakest indicator of current.
   c) *Hemerodromia sp.* – The most commonly encountered “dance fly” larvae is typically associated with erosional substrates and found in “the bottoms of swift streams” (Voshell 2002).

5) One snail taxa:
   a) *Elimia sp.* – The “Pleurocerid” snail is most often found on top of rocky substrates in runs and riffles.

Since the dams at Fullersburg have not been removed, the 2019-20 results reflect base line conditions (Table 1). Rheophilic taxa richness at the five impoundment sites averaged 3.2 with the highest total and average number at SC56a (RM 12.2; 6 and 4.5, respectively). In contrast, lowest numbers were found at SC53a (RM 10.8), immediately upstream of the Graue Mill Dam (3 and 1.5, respectively). In the only free-flowing reach, highest numbers of rheophilic taxa were consistently found at the tailwater site (SC52, RM 10.5) with a grand total of eight and average of 6.5. The tailwater site also included some of the strongest indicators of swift currents including the mayfly *Baetis intercalaris*, blackfly *Simulium sp.* and caddisfly *Cheumatopsyche sp.*
Table 1. Number of selected, rheophilic macroinvertebrate taxa from Salt Creek sampling sites in the Fullersburg Woods area, 2019-2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC56</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56a</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC56c</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC53a</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC52</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to pre-removal Oak Meadows impoundments, average numbers at Fullersburg were higher but in-line with the upstream pools (3.2 vs. 2.75 per site). The more pervasive, fine substrate deposition at Oak Meadows sites compared to Fullersburg sites (excluding SC53a) probably accounts for the better performance.

When compared to the SC52 tailwaters, substrates from Fullersburg impoundments were finer. Still, an average of 65-85 percent of bottom substrates were classified as “coarse” (mostly gravels) at four of the five lentic sites. Only at SC53a, immediately behind the Graue Mill Dam, were substrates entirely composed of silt, mud or detritus. Habitat conditions behind the Graue Mill Dam were more similar to Oak Meadows impoundments where the channels contained extensive deposits of silt, peat, and muck.

The trend of higher abundance of rheophilic taxa from free-flowing and post-remediation sites in Salt Creek also corresponds to macroinvertebrate assemblage performance as measured by the mIBI, given that certain metrics are likewise improved. Figure 1 shows the positive relationship between mIBI scores and rheophilic taxa richness from the Fullersburg tailwaters (light green squares) compared to the Fullersburg impoundments (red squares). A similar, positive trend was also apparent at the Preserve at Oak Meadows project sites following dam removal and habitat enhancements (red vs dark green circles). While the trend is not unexpected, it demonstrates the positive relationship between improved stream quality (as reflected by higher mIBI scores) and the physical attributes associated with free-flowing habitats such as shallower depths, increased current speed and habitat diversity, erosional (vs. depositional) substrate types and reduced siltation. Despite these improvements, Salt Creek mIBI scores still tend to fall below reference condition (blue triangles), a possible indication of the greater overall stressors on biological communities in the watershed.
Specific trends in Salt Creek mIBI scores from the project area sites were also plotted in Figure 2. Like the rheophilic taxa trend, Fullersburg mIBI scores were highest from the tailwaters with one value exceeding Illinois standards (47.4 at RM 10.5 in 2019). A corresponding 2020 mIBI was lower and in the “Fair” range (31.1) but was still higher than impoundment sites. Despite the difference in tailwater scores, macroinvertebrate communities were actually very similar on a qualitative basis. Shifts in scoring were almost entirely related to lower percentages of the same scraper and EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera) populations between 2019 and 2020. For this reason, the changes were not considered indicative of substantial structural differences between communities or significant water quality degradation from one year to the next.

Figure 1. Rheophilic taxa richness and mIBI scores from the Fullersburg Dam impoundment in 2019-20 (red squares), 2019-20 tailwaters (light green square), historical Salt Creek sites (open symbols), NE IL IPS reference sites (blue triangles), and pre (red symbols) and post remediation Oak Meadows sites (green symbols), 2007-2019.
Figure 2. Macroinvertebrate Index of Biotic Integrity (mIBI) trends in the Salt Creek/Fullersburg Dam and the Oak Meadows project areas 2019-20.

Reference

### Appendix Table A-1. Select macroinvertebrate taxa associated with stream current (“Rheophilic”) and/or coarse substrates from Salt Creek stations SC56, SC56a, SC56c, SC53a (upstream Fullersburg Woods Dam), and SC52 downstream.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Salt Creek/Fullersburg Rheophilic Macroinvertebrate Taxa(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRE-CONSTRUCTION 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayflies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetis flavistriga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baetis intercalaris(^b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenacron sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caddisflies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratopsyche morosa grp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche bidens or orris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche simulans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila sp (+ Hydroptilidae)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nectopsyche diarina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beetles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenelmis sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera/flies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulium sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cricotopus (C.) trifascia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nilotanytus fimbriatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheocricotopus robacki</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thienemanniella similis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thienemanniella xena</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtendipes caelum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PolyPEDilum flavum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheotanytarsus sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemerodromia sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snails</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimia sp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Rheophilic Taxa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre/Post Mean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Taxa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) SC52 tailwater site highlighted in blue
\(^b\) Rheophilic taxa found in 2019-20 are highlighted in yellow
ATTACHMENT 6

Segmentation Memorandums for
The QUAL2Kw Models
MEMORANDUM

To: Deanna Doohaluk, Stephen McCracken  
(DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup)

From: Michelle Schmidt, Hillary Yonce,  
Jennifer Olson  
(Tetra Tech)

Date: August 4, 2020

Subject: East Branch DuPage River QUAL2Kw Model Segmentation (Revised)

This memorandum summarizes the river segmentation for the East Branch DuPage River QUAL2Kw model. The proposed segmentation was reviewed by DRSCW and the segmentation was revised based on feedback received. The segmentation described in this memorandum is incorporated into the continuous simulation receiving water model. Model calibration is in progress.

1.1 EAST BRANCH QUAL2KW MODEL SEGMENTATION

The mainstem of the East Branch DuPage River from Amherst Lake/West Lake Dam to the confluence with the West Branch DuPage River was segmented for the East Branch DuPage River QUAL2Kw model as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1.

Features that influenced the model segmentation included the locations of dams (including flow through structures) and associated impoundments, tributaries, point source discharges, water quality monitoring sites, and USGS flow gages. The segmentation includes twenty model reaches. Excluding the explicit reach for Churchill Lake Dam (weir removed) situated downstream of the Churchill Lake segment, the segments range in length from 0.5 to 3.8 kilometers. Tributaries, such as St. Joseph Creek, are represented as boundary inflows to the mainstem receiving water model as is the headwater flow discharged from West Lake Dam.

The original model included fourteen segments ranging in length from 1.0 to 5.2 kilometers (excluding dams). Two dams were explicitly included as separate model reaches – Churchill Woods Dam and Prentiss Creek Dam (section along the East Branch). The weir has been removed and box culverts remain for the former, thus, it is no longer represented as a weir segment in the QUAL2Kw model. Since the latter is a flow-through structure, it marks the downstream end of a proposed segment, but a separate dam segment is not necessary.
Figure 1. Model segmentation for East Branch DuPage River.
Table 1. Proposed model segmentation for East Branch DuPage River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach Number</th>
<th>Reach Description</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>West Lake Dam to Rush Lake</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rush Lake to Railroad Crossing Culvert</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Railroad Crossing Culvert to EB Forest Preserve</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>East Branch Forest Preserve to Ackerman Park</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ackerman Park to Churchill Lake Dam</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Churchill Lake</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Churchill Lake Dam (weir removed, box culverts remain)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Churchill Lake Dam to IL53 and detention pond</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IL53 and detention pond to Gabion Weir</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gabion Weir to Butterfield Rd</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Butterfield Rd to Lake Marmo/Arbor Lake</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lake Marmo/Arbor Lake to I88</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>I88 to Maple Ave; Lisle Leeve</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Maple Ave to Seven Bridge Dam</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Seven Bridges Dam to Prentiss Creek</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Prentiss Creek to DuPage Co Public Works</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DuPage Co Public Works to Royce Rd</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Royce Rd to Whalon Lake</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Whalon Lake to DuPage River Park</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>DuPage River Park to Confluence</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This memorandum summarizes a proposed river segmentation for the Salt Creek QUAL2Kw model.

1.1 SALT CREEK QUAL2KW MODEL SEGMENTATION

The mainstem of the Salt Creek from immediately downstream of the Busse Woods Reservoir South Dam to the confluence with the Des Plaines River was segmented for the Salt Creek QUAL2Kw model as shown in Figure 1 and Table 2. In addition, a supplemental map package is provided that contains the proposed model segmentation shapefile for review purposes.

Features that influenced the proposed model segmentation included the locations of dams and associated impoundments, tributaries, road crossings. Other features which were considered in some capacity but did not necessarily impact segmentation were locations of point source discharges, water quality monitoring sites, and USGS flow gages. The proposed segmentation includes 18 model reaches. Tributaries, such as Spring Brook and Addison Creek, will be represented as boundary inflows to the mainstem receiving water model. Note that the original model included between 25 and 30 segments (depending on the version of the model).

Note that the inventory of dams document provided by Deanna on 12/19/2019 included 11 dams in the Salt Creek watershed (Table 1). These dams are all on Salt Creek or a tributary thereof and are all located downstream of the Busse Woods Reservoir South Dam. There are six dams located on Salt Creek below Busse Woods. The exact locations of the Old Meadows Golf Course, Fox Lane, and Possom Hollow Woods Dams are currently approximated and may be refined with more information on exact locations.

Additional refinement of reaches may be made with advisement from the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup, and/or additional information that may become available after review of hydraulic models and water quality data.
Table 1. Dam inventory and notes relevant to the Salt Creek QUAL2Kw model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dam Name</th>
<th>Receiving Water</th>
<th>Model Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itasca Country Club Dam</td>
<td>Spring Brook</td>
<td>Not simulated because not on mainstem Salt Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Kadijah Dam</td>
<td>Spring Brook</td>
<td>Not simulated because not on mainstem Salt Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westwood Creek Dam</td>
<td>Westwood Creek</td>
<td>Not simulated because not on mainstem Salt Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redmond Reservoir Dam/George Street Reservoir</td>
<td>Addison Creek</td>
<td>Not simulated because not on mainstem Salt Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Elemble Cemetery Pond</td>
<td>Addison Creek</td>
<td>Not simulated because not on mainstem Salt Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Meadows Golf Course Dam</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>Dam was removed in 2015 and will therefore not be modeled explicitly as a weir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Center Dam/Elmhurst Co. Forest Preserver Dam</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>This step-down area of sheet metal pilings was put in place to stop downstream sedimentation between the downstream area which is dredged and the upstream area which is not dredged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Oak Brook Dam</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>65-foot wide low head concrete dam will be modeled explicitly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graue Mill Dam/Fullersburg Dam</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>Dam is slated to be removed in the Salt Creek Master Plan but is still present. Dam and impoundment will be modeled explicitly as two distinct reaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Lane Impoundment</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>This riffle area which is the apparent remnant of a former dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possom Hollow Woods Dam</td>
<td>Salt Creek</td>
<td>Small dam with very limited information located about 0.4 miles downstream of the 31st Street crossing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Proposed model segmentation for Salt Creek.
Table 2. Proposed model segmentation for Salt Creek.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Reach Description</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Busse Woods Reservoir South Dam to Thorndale Avenue</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Thorndale Avenue to Spring Brook tributary</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Spring Brook to the removed Oak Meadows Dam near Eisenhower Expressway West</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Removed Oak Meadows Dam to E. North Avenue</td>
<td>5.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E. North Avenue to Kingery Highway</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kingery Highway to Graham Center Dam</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Graham Center Dam to Roosevelt Road</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Roosevelt Road to Interstate 88</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Interstate 88 to Old Oak Brook Dam</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Old Oak Brook Dam to Graue Mill Dam Impoundment</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Graue Mill Dam Impoundment</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Graue Mill Dam (modeled explicitly as a weir)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Graue Mill Dam to Fox Lane Dam</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fox Lane Dam to Interstate 294</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Interstate 294 to Possom Hollow Woods Dam</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Possom Hollow Woods Dam to N La Grange Road</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>N La Grange Road to Addison Creek tributary</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Addison Creek to Des Plains River confluence</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This memorandum summarizes a proposed river segmentation for the West Branch DuPage River QUAL2Kw model.

1.1 WEST BRANCH DUPAGE RIVER QUAL2KW MODEL SEGMENTATION

The mainstem of the West Branch DuPage River from the headwaters of reach GBK-14 to the confluence with the East Branch DuPage River was segmented for the QUAL2Kw model as shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. In addition, a supplemental map package is provided that contains the proposed model segmentation shapefile for review purposes.

Features that influenced the proposed model segmentation included the locations of dams and associated impoundments, tributaries, road crossings, and impaired reach segment locations. Other features, which were considered in some capacity but did not necessarily impact segmentation, were locations of point source discharges, water quality monitoring sites, and USGS flow gages. The proposed segmentation includes 21 model reaches. Tributaries, such as Spring Brook, Winfield Creek, and Kress Creek will be represented as boundary inflows to the mainstem receiving water model.

Note that the inventory of dams document provided by Deanna on 12/19/2019 included four dams in the West Branch DuPage River watershed. Of these, three dams are located on the West Branch, and only one of those is still present and actively managed (Fawell Dam). The other two dams (Warrenville Grove and McDowell Grove) were removed in 2011 and 2008, respectively.

Additional refinement of reaches may be made with advisement from the DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup, and/or additional information that may become available after review of hydraulic models and water quality data.
Figure 1. Proposed model segmentation for West Branch DuPage River.
Table 2. Proposed model segmentation for West Branch DuPage River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Reach Description</th>
<th>303(d) Reach</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GBK-14 headwaters to Hanover Park STP</td>
<td>GBK-14</td>
<td>6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hanover Park STP to Illinois Route 390 Tollway</td>
<td>GBK-09</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Illinois Route 390 Tollway to Lake Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lake Street to Country Farm Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Country Farm Road to Canadian National Railway Crossing</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Canadian National Railway Crossing to North Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>North Avenue to Klein Creek tributary</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Klein Creek tributary to Winfield Creek tributary</td>
<td>GBK-05</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Winfield Creek tributary to Roosevelt Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Roosevelt Rd to Kress Creek tributary</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kress Creek tributary to Spring Brook tributary</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Spring Brook tributary to removed Warrenville Grove Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Removed Warrenville Grove Dam to Interstate 88</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Interstate 88 to GBK-02 top of reach</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GBK-02 top of reach to removed McDowell Grove Dam</td>
<td>GBK-02</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Removed McDowell Grove Dam to Fawell Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Fawell Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Fawell Dam to Ogden Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ogden Avenue to S Washington Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>S Washington Street to 75th Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>75th Street to confluence with East Branch DuPage River</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This memorandum summarizes a proposed river segmentation for the Lower DuPage River QUAL2Kw model.

### 1.1 LOWER DUPAGE RIVER QUAL2KW MODEL SEGMENTATION

The mainstem of the Lower DuPage River from the confluence of the East and West Branches was segmented for the QUAL2Kw model (Figure 1 and Table 1). The QUAL2Kw model extends to the Channahon Dam upstream of the confluence with the Des Plaines River as discussed on a call with DRSCW on November 19, 2019. The model extent ends to Channahon Dam. In addition, a supplemental map package is provided that contains the proposed model segmentation shapefile for review purposes.

Features that influenced the proposed model segmentation included the locations of dams, tributaries, road crossings, and impaired reach segment locations. Other features, which were considered in some capacity but did not necessarily impact segmentation, were locations of point source discharges, water quality monitoring sites, and USGS flow gages. The proposed segmentation includes 21 model reaches. Tributaries, such as Lily Cache Creek and Spring Brook, will be represented as boundary inflows to the mainstem receiving water model.

The inventory of dams document provided by Deanna on 12/19/2019 included two dams in the Lower DuPage River watershed: Hammel Woods Dam (river mile 10.6, impoundment size 5.2 acres), and Channahon Dam (river mile 1.1, impoundment size 75 acres). Note that the Hammel Woods Dam is currently slated for removal in 2020.

Additional refinement of reaches may be made with advisement from the DRSCW, and/or additional information that may become available after review of hydraulic models and water quality data.
Figure 1. Proposed model segmentation for the Lower DuPage River.
Table 2. Proposed model segmentation for the Lower DuPage River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach</th>
<th>Reach Description</th>
<th>303(d) Reach</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Confluence of East and West Branches to Spring Brook</td>
<td>GB-16</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Spring Brook to Plainfield Naperville Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plainfield Naperville Rd to 111th St</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>111th St to Wolf Creek tributary</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wolf Creek to W 135th St</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>W 135th St to Norman Ditch</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Norman Ditch to Route 59</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Route 59 to W Lockport St</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>W Lockport St to unnamed tributary at Mather Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mather Woods to W Renwick Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>W Renwick Rd to top of GB-11</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Top of GB-11 to Lily Cache Creek</td>
<td>GB-11</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lily Cache Creek to W Black Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>W Black Rd to Hammel Woods Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hammel Woods Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hammel Woods Dam to W Sell Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>W Sell Rd to W Mound Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>W Mound Rd to Interstate 80</td>
<td>GB-01</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Interstate 80 to CBX Transportation RR Crossing</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CBX Transportation RR Crossing to McEvilly Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>McEvilly Rd to Channahon Dam</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT 7

Draft Leaf Litter, Street Sweeping, and Storm Sewer Inlet Maintenance Survey
Leaf Litter Collection Survey

General Information

Name of individual filling out survey *

First Name

Last Name

Agency Name *

Email *

example@example.com

Phone Number *

(000) 000-0000
1. How many centerline miles are managed under your jurisdiction? *

ex: 23

2. Estimate the percentage of total centerline miles that are curb/gutter? *

- 0%
- 25% (Quarter)
- 33% (Third)
- 50% (Half)
- 66% (Two Thirds)
- 75% (Three Quarters)
- 100%

3. Estimate the percentage of total centerline miles that are CSO (combined sewer overflow)? *

- 0%
- 25% (Quarter)
- 33% (Third)
- 50% (Half)
- 66% (Two Thirds)
- 75% (Three Quarters)
- 100%
- Not sure

4. Do you have a street sweeping program? *

- Yes
- No (if no, proceed to Leaf Collection page)
6. How many centerline miles are included in street sweeping operations?

ex: 23

7. Estimate the percentage of total centerline miles that are swept that are curb/gutter?

- 0%
- 25% (Quarter)
- 33% (Third)
- 50% (Half)
- 66% (Two Thirds)
- 75% (Three Quarters)
- 100%

8. How many lane miles does each type of equipment sweep in 1 cycle?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lane Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regenerative air with mechanical brush sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical brush sweeper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical brush with vacuum assist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other equipment (please describe below)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Other equipment description
9. Do your street sweeping operations change due to the increase of leaf litter in the fall? (If "Yes", please describe below)

- Yes
- No

9. Yes-Description of alterations to operations

10. How does your agency dispose of spoils from street sweeping? (Check all that apply)

- Vactor Station
- Outside Contractor
- Landfill
- Other

11. How many times per month do you sweep streets? (Fill in table as best describes your schedule)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential Areas</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial Streets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Industrial Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Business District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leaf Collection
Yes

No (if no, proceed to Catch Basin page)

13. Who conducts the leaf collection operations on roads under your jurisdiction? (check all that apply)
- In house
- Contractor(s)
- Other public agency(s) or unit of government
- Other

14. How many centerline miles are included in leaf collection operations?

ex: 23

15. What percentage of centerline miles where leaves are collected are curb/gutter?
- 0%
- 25% (Quarter)
- 33% (Third)
- 50% (Half)
- 66% (Two Thirds)
- 75% (Three Quarters)
- 100%

16. Where do you have residents place leaves for pickup?
- Parkway
- Street/Curb

17. How do you have residents place leaves for pickup?
- Directly on ground/street
- In bags/bins
19. Do you usually follow up leaf collection with street sweeping?

☐ Yes
☐ No

20. How many times per month do you collect leaves? (Fill in table as best describes your schedule)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial Streets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Industrial Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Business District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. What system do you use to notify people of leaf collection schedules? (Check all that apply)

☐ Printed material (newsletter, utility bill, mail)
☐ Official website or social media
☐ Text message or phone call
☐ We do not notify residents
☐ Other

22. Are parked cars notified to move for leaf collection?

☐ Yes
☐ No
23. Are obstructed areas revisited for leaf collection?

- Yes
- No

24. Where do you dispose of collected leaves? (Check all that apply)

- Compost
- Landfill
- Other

25. Are residents encouraged/educated on the benefits of mulching/composting their own leaves?

- Yes
- No

Catch Basin Cleanout

26. Estimate how many catch basins you maintain? *

ex: 23

27. Who conducts the catch basin cleanout operations on roads under your jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

- In house
- Contractor(s)
- Other public agency(s) or unit of government
- Other
29. How does your agency dispose of spoils from vactoring? (Check all that apply)

- [ ] Vactor Station
- [ ] Outside Contractor
- [ ] Landfill
- [ ] Other

Thank you for taking the time to complete this leaf litter collection survey. Your answers will help us reduce nutrient pollution in our waterways.

If you would like to review your answers, please go back and do so now. After submittal there is no way to alter responses.

If you have any further comments please provide them below.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to Alex Handel via the email below.

(email: ahandel@theconservationfoundation.org)

**Final comments:**

Type here...