

**DUPAGE RIVER SALT CREEK WORKGROUP  
FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN  
FISCAL YEARS 2025-2026 TO 2029-2030  
02/26/25**

Posted for information and planning purposes only on

February 26, 2025

---

# DRSCW FY 2025-26 & Five-Year Budget

## Table of Contents

I. Budget and Five-Year Financial Plan Narrative Memo .....	1
II. FY 25-26 One Page Budget Summary .....	10
III. Five Year Financial Plan .....	11
IV. Workgroup Activities Fund - Revenues	
A. Dues Revenue .....	12
B. Agency Member Dues Summary .....	13
C. Associate Member Dues Summary .....	15
D. Grants Revenue .....	17
E. Interest .....	18
V. Workgroup Activities Fund - Expenses	
A. Administrative Expenses .....	19
B. Monitoring Expenses .....	20
C. Chloride Reduction Program Expenses .....	21
D. Project Identification from Bioassessment Work .....	22
E. Other Projects .....	23
VI. NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund	
A. Fifteen Year Summary -Revenues, Project Costs and Fund Balances .....	24
B. Fifteen Year Summary -Agency Member Project Fund Assessments .....	25
VII. Attachment -Table of NIP Assessments	

DUPAGE RIVER SALT CREEK WORKGROUP  
M E M O

TO: All DRSCW Members

FROM: DRSCW Executive Board

DATE: February 10, 2025

RE: Proposed DRSCW FY 25-26 Budget and Five-Year Financial Plan

The proposed DRSCW budget for FY 25-26 and DRSCW five-year financial plan for FY 26-27 through FY 29-30 are attached for review. These documents reflect the DRSCW fiscal year (March 1 through February 28), are prepared on a cash basis, and detail both the Workgroup Activities Fund and the Workgroup Project Fund for implementation of the DRSCW NPDES permit special condition.

**Workgroup Activities Fund**

The revenues and expenses of the ongoing activities of the Workgroup for a ten-year period are shown in the Workgroup Activities Fund page.

Dues Revenue

Projected actual Annual dues received during FY 24-25 were \$523,516 from 38 agencies (compared to a FY 24-25 budget number of \$543,597 from 43 agency members). Dues were received from 27 Associate Members (\$5,387) for FY 24-25 compared to 26 Associate Members (\$5,480) budgeted for 24-25. Budgeted dues for FY 25-26 are projected to increase to \$540,418 from 39 agency members and to \$5,886 for associate members. The increases reflect a 3% inflation rate which is carried through FY 29-30.

Grant Project Fund

As reported in last year's budget there was income of \$40,547 for FY 23-24 under the grant agreement for the Upper Salt Creek 319 Watershed Plan. That was a final payment under this grant agreement with a total of \$119,864 being reimbursed out of a total grant agreement of \$120,000.

Past disbursements for this grant are found under "Other Projects" below.

Interest

Total interest revenue in FY 24-25 is projected at \$362,576 with \$33,139 and \$329,436 being allocated to the Workgroup Activities Fund and Projects Fund respectively. The

allocation is based on their respective fund balances. This method of interest allocation is continued for the budget year FY 25-26 and for the four planning years.

Projected interest income for budget year FY 25-26 is \$311,450 (\$24,850 for the Workgroup Activities Fund and \$286,600 for the NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund).

Budget year and planning years interest predictions are based on a 4% interest rate. The improved interest rate environment remains favorable but the dollar amount generated declines as the principle is drawn down.

### Administration Expenses

Staff salaries cover direct costs for Director, Senior Projects Manager, Environmental Scientist and accounting and admin support set out in a contract with The Conservation Foundation (TCF).

Staff salaries for FY 24-25 are projected at \$272,496, less than the \$277,060 budgeted for the period. For budget year FY 25-26 staff salaries are budgeted at \$281,567. As with FY 24-25, the staff 401K match has been integrated into the staff salary (previously listed separately). This budget total compares to the amount contained in the FY 24-25 budget which predicted \$285,370 for FY 25-26

The DRSCW FY 25-26 salary levels again reflect the sharing of staff with other organizations. These include TCF, the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition (LDRWC) and Lower Des Plaines Workgroup (LDWG) and covers approximately 22.5% of time for the Director and Senior Projects Manager positions. This cost sharing reflects time historically spent working with these groups by DRSCW staff. Deliverables that are a priority in FY 25-26 include the development of permits based on the NIP and Lower Des Plaines NARP, and the development of a TP threshold for larger river systems.

Staffing salaries are inflated by 4% for FY 26-27 through FY 29-30.

Fixed Office Expenses will increase by 2% to \$17,575 for FY 25-26. These expenses are increased by 2% for FY 26-27 to FY 29-30.

\$7,500 is budgeted for a website remodel and update to reflect the new NIP condition in FY 25-26.

All other items are based on best judgement and previous year's expenditures.

Total cost for administration in FY 24-25 was \$313,732 compared to a budget total of \$327,460. The total administration costs are budgeted at \$333,973 for FY 25-26. Non-staff salary administration costs are multiplied out by 2% or 3% for FY 26-27 through FY 29-30 (see Administration expenses sheet).

## Monitoring Expenses

The purchase of two sondes scheduled for FY 23-24 (at \$16,000) has been replaced with the proposed purchase of up to 21 new sondes to replace our aging Hydromet models (DS5X). Hydromet originally quoted us a per unit price of \$11,000 (total cost for 21 units of \$231,000) which comes with a standard 2-year warranty (these figures are used in the budget year total for this item).

The Dissolved Oxygen (DO) monitoring program, a partnership with DuPage County Stormwater Management (DCSM), is an essential part of the 2004 DO TMDL alternative implementation plan, our bioassessment analyses, and area MS4 reporting. DCSM also own several of the sondes to be decommissioned.

DRSCW will work with DCSM to review the number, and brand, of sondes needed for the program. The partners will also thoroughly investigate options regarding maintenance and extended warranty options. As such the \$231,000 is predicted to be the maximum cost of purchase.

The partners will also investigate maintaining six of the best current sondes for exclusive use as winter chloride monitoring sondes. Winter conditions are especially hard on sondes and having dedicated sondes for winter operations will improve the longevity and decrease maintenance costs for the new sondes.

Due to the expiration of DRSCW preferred rate for annual maintenance, moving forward, Hydromet would be charging an estimated \$1400 per sonde per year (compared to \$900 charged previously) for their bench service plan (BSP). The purchase of the new sondes, assuming a two-year warranty, would remove \$64,260 from the maintain probes line listed in previous budgets. Looking beyond the new warranty period it is assumed that the DRSCW will 'self-insure' for repairs going forward (as is now done with our Eureka sondes which are the newest models we own).

In a typical year, approximately one-third of the Eureka sondes require repairs at an average repair cost of \$840 (2024) per repair. Based on the frequency of breakdown and cost of repair for the Eureka sondes, it will cost about \$3882 (2025) per year for 14 Eureka sondes to self-insure. This is the cost of repairs as they occur at market price. Assuming that 21 new sondes are purchased this year, the probe maintenance budget is set at \$3882 and is based on the predicted costs for self-insurance for the 14 Eureka sondes and an absence of a Hydromet BSP. The following year (FY 26-27) would see a standard 4% inflation adjustment for self-insurance. The additional 21 sondes come with a 2-year warranty and will not require self-insurance until the end of that period. After the warranty period ends, we will need to decide whether to continue with self-insurance for the 14 Eureka and 21 Hydromet sondes, or renew the BSP on any future Hydromet units.

The total budget allocation is the aggregate of the cost for the bench agreement on the HACH sondes and the self-insurance for the Eureka sondes (which have exited their warranty period). \$2,866 is being budgeted in FY 25-26 for supplies to maintain operations (batteries and calibration solutions).

In FY 23-24 the DRSCW opted to move its future watershed bioassessments to a year on, year off cycle. This change was prompted primarily to protect the Workgroup Activities fund from the inflation in monitoring costs which were rising faster than revenue. East Branch was completed in 2023. A continuation of that cycle would be West Branch 2025 and Salt Creek in 2027.

The Budget reflects a change in this pattern to allow for improved and more timely evaluation of the projects implemented under the Special Conditions Project Fund. This change would see the order of the bioassessments of Salt Creek (in current budget for 2025) and the West Branch (in current budget for 2026 but expectation is for 2027) swapped. The reasoning behind this swap is that Fullersburg Woods dam modification (Salt Creek) was implemented at the end of 2023 into 2024, and Fawell Dam modification (West Branch DuPage River) will be implemented in 2025. The principle objective of both projects is fish passage, a watershed wide impact. Such impacts cannot be captured by a footprint style assessment like those implemented at Oak Meadows, Spring Brook and Klein Creek. Rather they are more like the Churchill Woods Phase 1 project which created fish passage for several stream miles. The reordering of the two basin assessments will move the assessments into line our project implementation dates; otherwise we will be wait until 2027 for watershed results from Fullersburg and 2031 for Fawell. DRSCW and DC staff have reached out to the IEPA to verify that swapping the basin assessments will be acceptable for MS4 reporting purposes.

Budgets for the budget year and future bioassessments are as follows:

- The FY 25-26 Monitoring Budget includes \$371,060 for the Salt Creek related Bioassessment work. This includes \$130,178 for the water chemistry sampling for the 2025 Salt Creek Bioassessment and \$212,420 for the 2025 Salt Creek Bioassessment biological and habitat sampling (the \$226,651 shown in the budget for FY25-26 includes \$14,231 for the completion of the 2021 Salt Creek Bioassessment Report).
- The estimated FY 26-27 Monitoring Budget includes \$283,273 for West Branch DuPage River related Bioassessment work. This consists of \$98,083 for water chemistry sampling and \$185,190 for the biological and habitat sampling.
- The estimated FY 28-29 Monitoring Budget includes \$330,777 for East Branch DuPage River related Bioassessment work. This includes \$115,577 for the water chemistry sampling and \$215,200 for the 2028 East Branch DuPage River biological and habitat sampling.

The biological and habitat assessment section of the monitoring budget also shows the remnants of budgets for previous bioassessments. As noted above the Salt Creek FY 25-26 figure includes \$14,231 for the remnant of the 2020 Salt Creek Bioassessment Report. Additionally, we have \$58,448 for the remnant of the 2023 East Branch Report budgeted for FY 25-26.

All chemical monitoring costs associated with basin assessments are expended in the year of the survey and only the chemical monitoring associated with the 2025 Salt Creek survey are included FY 25-26. Beginning FY 24-25, costs in budget rows for MS4 and reference site chemistry have moved to the bioassessment chemistry line.

The West Branch DuPage River bioassessment is budgeted for FY 26-27, immediately after the FY 25-26 Salt Creek assessment as a fiscal planning exercise. It is probable that the survey will be done during FY27-28, matching the year on and year off schedule. This was done to evaluate the fiscal impacts of moving the survey up one year to shorten the period between the West Branch DuPage surveys. However, it is highly likely that staff and contractor resources will not allow this to occur.

All budget and planning year costs reflect a 4% inflation rate.

\$12,000 is included for QHEI training for staff and members for FY 25-26.

The FY 25-26 budget continues funding for managing the database (\$7,500) and the use of a summer intern to assist with monitoring and data management (\$8,000). Both numbers are inflation adjusted by 4% for each year thereafter.

Total monitoring budget for FY 25-26 is \$684,105.

### Chloride Reduction

An amount of \$6,000 is budgeted for the Tollway MOU Review/Offset Program.

Nine virtual workshops were held in FY 24-25 in conjunction with other watershed partners. These workshops were coordinated through The Conservation Foundation and have been budget neutral. The budget FY 25-26 reflects continuation of the chloride reduction workshops with workshops again being planned in FY 25-26 (net \$1,500 budgeted as a precaution) and each year thereafter with a 3% increase. In addition a Level 2 Workshop is budgeted for \$4,000.

The budget also contains \$10,000 for future initiatives, particularly for continuing studying chlorides uptake by street sweeping. An additional \$4,394 is budgeted to complete or extend the 2020 Chloride Trends Study. The budget allocates \$1500 a year between FY 25-26 and FY 29-30 for the continued purchase of weather data. The budget contains \$3,000 for support of a FY 25-26 chloride questionnaire. Data collected is used to demonstrate TMDL compliance, in the Annual Special Conditions Report preparation, and for MS4 permit compliance.

The chloride sampling item (\$1,500) in FY 25-26 is in support of a winter and summer chloride conductivity calibration update.

### Project Identification from Bioassessment Work

The IPS (Integrated Prioritization System) model identifies and prioritizes aquatic stressors identified through the DRSCW's bioassessment work and other available data sources. The second iteration of the analysis was funded by the DRSCW, the Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition (LDRWC), the Des Plaines River Watershed Workgroup (DRWW), the North Branch Watershed Workgroup (NBWW) and the Lower Des Plaines Watershed Group (LDWG). The analysis covers Salt Creek, the entire DuPage River basin, Lake County and

the Lower Des Plaines. It also draws on data from twelve surrounding counties using data supplied by IEPA. This contract was completed in FY 2023-24.

For FY 25-26, \$12,000 is budgeted for staff, and interested members, training in using the software hosting the IPS; and \$8,000 is budgeted for prepping the dataset for possible placement into the online database (total \$20,000). A level of rigor analysis is budgeted (\$16,050) to evaluate the model's acceptability in a regulatory role and \$10,000 is budgeted for dataset review prior to training.

### Other Projects

The budget has \$5,000 in FY 25-26 for production of materials aimed at curbing the spread of the Round Gobi in the program area and \$10,000 allocated to work on the Hydrilla infestation in the Salt Creek watershed. Mixing Zone and Mussels Survey is listed as a potential future project but no dollar value has been attached to it for FY25-26

### Fund Balance

The FY 24-25 ending fund balance in the Workgroup Activities Fund is projected at \$890,875. The FY 25-26 ending fund balance is budgeted at \$349,009. Fund balances are projected at \$255,956, \$430,772, \$276,525 and \$465,435 each year thereafter. The fund balance fluctuations are primarily the result of the year-on, year-off cycle of the watershed bioassessments.

### **Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund**

FY 25-26 is the third year with income from the "extension" permit assessments (phase 2 of Special Condition). This extension permit bridges the original Special Condition and the proposed NIP implementation period.

The Special Condition was designed to allow members to forgo the environmental and fiscal opportunity costs of meeting an arbitrary TP effluent concentration. This was accomplished by working with partners and regulators to review the evidence for a TP threshold in NE Illinois and putting forward a competing case for more impactful local watershed projects. By postponing TP removing at member POTWs at that time, savings were made in both capital investment and annual maintenance and operation costs (M&O).

Under the Special Condition a portion of these saved M&O costs were directed to implementing the DRSCW's aquatic life watershed plan. All member POTWs, except Itasca and Bensenville who, at that time, were undergoing plant expansions, participated fully in phase 1. Both Itasca and Bensenville participated in the study portion of the Conditions (the NIP).

When the extension permits (Phase 2) were instituted four-member POTWs identified that they were either expanding or could achieve an effluent limit of 1 mg/l TP more cost effectively than paying their assessments. The revenue from the remaining thirteen participating POTWs has been directed toward expanding the scalable projects of

Fullersburg Woods Dam removal and the Lower East Branch Stream Enhancement, as well as a new, as yet unidentified, project in the basin of the West Branch DuPage River.

DRSCW's aquatic life watershed plan, developed from the IPS Tool includes a number of physical projects aimed at maximizing aquatic life improvement and implementing the 2004 DO TMDLs is developed under the General Activities Fund and implemented under the Special Condition Projects Fund.

The Special Condition Projects Fund revenues includes Agency member assessments, interest on funds held in accounts, selected local matches from project owners, project sponsorships from other agencies, private donations. Implementation of DRSCW recommended projects began in FY 15-16 and the budget shows funding continuing to FY 29-30. The four planning years (FY26-27 through FY29-30) show, for the first time, assessments generated under the DRSCW Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP). These assessments are based on individual plant M&O cost estimates for the 13 facilities who are participated in the first phase of the NIP Special Condition, in lieu of going to 1.0 mg/l monthly.

The DRSCW NIP, published at the end of 2023, recommended ambient and effluent TP goals and set out a long-term schedule for agency member POTWs to meet said goals.

#### Agency Member Project Assessments

Agency member project assessments totaling \$1,361,610 were received in FY 23-24 which matched the budgeted amount.

In FY 24-25, project assessments totaling \$7,342,956 were received which matches the budgeted amount. The reason for this steep increase relative to the previous year was the inclusion of \$6,010,012 in assessments from MWRD for payment for the work on the Fullersburg Woods dam modification project. This amount represents the totality of MWRD physical projects assessments for both the original and extension special conditions.

Projects fund income from all sources for FY 24-25 totaled \$7,692,392 (includes \$329,436 in interest and \$20,000 in other revenues) and is predicted at \$1,619,544 in FY 25-26 (includes \$1,332,944 in assessments and \$286,600 in interest).

Total Project Fund Assessments collected between FY15-16 and FY 25-26, the final year of the extension is \$21,294,021 (total of original and extension permit condition).

NIP special assessments start in FY 26-27 and are shown out to FY 29-30 totaling \$5,398,133. The total is based only on agencies who have signaled that they are likely to participate in the first four years of the NIP permit condition. The exception is MWRD. The agency is interested in participating in the NIP permit condition; however, their special condition is running six years behind the permit dates of other members. Their current DRSCW agreement, covering the original and extension conditions, runs through to 2032. Discussions about integrating the current and NIP condition for MWRD will be held in 2025.

The addition of the NIP special assessment (preliminary amounts shown in planning years FY 26-27 through FY29-30, totaling \$5,398,133, along with the interest generated and the

private contributions) brings the total projected DRSCW fifteen year special condition funding to \$28,213,546 in FY 29-30.

### Project Costs

The following projects contained in the DRSCW NPDES Permit Special Condition are budgeted during FY 25-26:

- **Fullersburg Woods Master Plan** (Fullersburg Woods Dam modification, Fullersburg Woods Dam stream restoration and Lower Salt Creek Phase 2) – \$6,057,730 was spent in FY 24-25. This represented construction costs and payments for construction oversight assistance, The budget allocates \$3,847,920 to this project for FY 25-26 which represents the remaining balance of the full construction contract and payment for a contractor (\$13,400) to fulfill permit obligation for historical documentation. The FY 25-26 budget also allocates \$5,000 for the Fullersburg Woods Concept Plan for a public relations analysis. \$13,860 is budgeted for post project stream monitoring in FY 25-26 and each of the four additional years after that inflated by 4%.
- **Fawell Dam** –The budget allocates \$700,550 in FY 25-26 for permitting, purchase and placement of the ladder and grading of the downstream riprap. \$160,000 is allocated for post project monitoring, trouble shooting and maintenance from FY 26-27 through FY 29-30.
- **Spring Brook** – Post-project monitoring is budgeted for FY 25-26. The \$23,430 covers the remnants of FY 23-25 monitoring contract (\$8,320) and allocates \$15,110 to biological monitoring in FY 25-26. Post project monitoring is due to end in FY26-27.
- **Southern West Branch Stream Enhancement (Klein Creek)** – The \$1,100,000 allocated to construction was awarded in FY 23-24 and post-project monitoring started in FY 24-25. \$21,950 is budgeted for FY 25-26, which represents the balance of FY 24-25 monitoring contract and the contract estimate for FY 25-26. Monitoring is budgeted to run until FY 28-29 (5 years total).
- **Southern East Branch Restoration** (Southern East Branch Stream Enhancement and East Branch Phase II) – \$200,000 is budgeted in FY 25-26 for completion of design, permitting and production of bid packets. \$4,000,000 is scheduled in 2026-2028 for construction. Post project monitoring is scheduled to begin in FY 27-28 and is again budgeted for FY 28-29.
- **Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP)** – The document was delivered in December 2023. The NIP is made up of several overlapping study areas:
  - \$10,881 was spent in FY 24-25 on NIP related support work. This included technical assistance on answering queries about the QUAL modelling work.
  - \$68,960 is budgeted for FY 25-26 for assistance with NIP refinement and implementation, including moving the NIP into member permits and legal review. A further \$10,000 is budgeted work in FY 26-27 which may include model refinement for optimization of reductions between point sources.

- An as yet unidentified West Branch Restoration Project is scheduled for FY 27-28 and 28-29 (\$250,000 for design and permitting and \$1,400,000 for construction). This project will be identified by the Project Committee using data from the IPS Tool.
- NIP Projects (to be identified) are projects to be implemented under the NIP project condition. These projects will be identified by the Project Committee using data from the IPS Tool. \$5,462,658 is budgeted.
- The Fund Contingency FY 25-26 is \$100,000, with \$10,000 scheduled for FY 26-27, 27-28 and 28-29. \$14,000 is scheduled for FY 29-30.

### Fund Balance

The projected ending fund balance of the Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund is predicted to be \$8,849,301 for FY 24-25 and \$5,481,175 for FY 25-26. Assessments from the original and extended Special Conditions will cover the projects through to the West Branch Restoration Project, and including the contingencies as set out in the budget through FY29-30. Projected fund balances are \$4,691,554, \$4,004,584, \$4,049,994 for FY26-27, FY27-28 and FY28-29 respectively.

Even with the inclusion of the NIP assessments the Fund Balance will be at 0 in FY 29-30 due to the inclusion of the NIP projects line.

### Recommended Action

**The FY 25-26 one-page budget on page 10 will be presented for Workgroup approval at the DRSCW annual meeting to be held on February 26, 2025. The five-year financial plan will be presented for information and planning purposes only.**

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup  
Proposed FY 25-26 Budget  
February 26, 2025

Budget  
FY 25-26

Workgroup Activities Fund

Workgroup Revenues

Agency member dues	\$540,420
Associate and individual dues	\$5,886
Grants	\$0
Interest	\$24,850
Total Workgroup Revenues	\$571,156

Workgroup Expenses

Administration	\$335,973
Monitoring	\$684,105
Chloride reduction	\$31,894
Project identification from bioassessment work	\$46,050
Other projects	\$15,000
Total Workgroup Expenses	\$1,113,022

Net Workgroup Revenues Over Expenses (\$541,866)

Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund

Workgroup Project Fund Revenues

Agency member project fund assessments	\$1,332,944
Interest	\$286,600
Other project revenues	\$0
Total Project Fund Revenues	\$1,619,544

Workgroup Project Fund Expenses

DRSCW NPDES permit special conditions projects	\$4,987,670
Total Project Fund Expenses	\$4,987,670

Net Project Fund Revenues Over Expenses (\$3,368,126)

Beginning Fund Balance - All Funds \$9,740,177

Ending Fund Balance - All Funds \$5,850,072

The DRSCW budget may carry a significant balance year to year due to the necessity of accumulating significant capital before implementing a watershed improvement project.

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup Preliminary Five Year Budget February 28, 2025	FY 20-21 Actual	FY 21-22 Actual	FY 22-23 Actual	FY 23-24 Actual	FY 24-25 Budget	FY 24-25 Projected Actual	FY 25-26 Budget	FY 26-27 Estimated	FY 27-28 Estimated	FY 28-29 Estimated	FY 29-30 Estimated	10-Year Totals
<b>Workgroup Activities Fund</b>												
<u>Workgroup Revenues</u>												
Agency member dues	\$480,283	\$601,633	\$408,230	\$524,813	\$543,600	\$523,516	\$540,420	\$556,630	\$573,330	\$590,530	\$608,250	\$5,407,635
Associate and individual dues	5,357	5,077	5,156	4,950	5,480	5,387	5,886	5,640	5,810	5,980	6,160	\$55,403
Grants Revenue	0	0	79,317	40,547	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$119,864
Interest	24,766	8,313	49,061	20,169	29,050	33,139	24,850	12,200	13,900	14,400	15,150	\$215,948
<b>Total Workgroup Revenues</b>	<b>\$510,406</b>	<b>\$615,023</b>	<b>\$541,765</b>	<b>\$590,478</b>	<b>\$578,130</b>	<b>\$562,042</b>	<b>\$571,156</b>	<b>\$574,470</b>	<b>\$593,040</b>	<b>\$610,910</b>	<b>\$629,560</b>	<b>\$5,798,850</b>
<u>Workgroup Expenses</u>												
Administration	\$258,990	\$268,892	\$290,519	\$309,171	\$327,460	\$313,732	\$335,973	\$343,440	\$358,110	\$369,880	\$383,060	\$3,231,766
Monitoring	209,723	323,217	79,532	210,952	217,900	98,745	684,105	306,733	43,464	375,987	47,020	\$2,379,477
DO improvement feasibility study & projects								0	0	0	0	\$0
Chloride reduction	9,839	10,706	2,989	858	30,060	4,379	31,894	17,350	16,650	19,290	10,570	\$124,523
Project identification from bioassessment work	4,048	(3,397)	0	733	46,050	0	46,050	0	0	0	0	\$47,434
Other projects	30,090	55,083	59,468	5,362	0	0	15,000	0	0	0	0	\$165,004
<b>Total Workgroup Expenses</b>	<b>\$512,689</b>	<b>\$654,501</b>	<b>\$432,507</b>	<b>\$527,076</b>	<b>\$621,470</b>	<b>\$416,855</b>	<b>\$1,113,022</b>	<b>\$667,523</b>	<b>\$418,224</b>	<b>\$765,157</b>	<b>\$440,650</b>	<b>\$5,948,204</b>
<b>Net Workgroup Revenues Over Expenses</b>	<b>(\$2,284)</b>	<b>(\$39,478)</b>	<b>\$109,257</b>	<b>\$63,403</b>	<b>(\$43,340)</b>	<b>\$ 145,188</b>	<b>(\$541,866)</b>	<b>(\$93,053)</b>	<b>\$174,816</b>	<b>(\$154,247)</b>	<b>\$188,910</b>	<b>(\$149,354)</b>
Ending Fund Balance	\$612,505.38	\$573,028	\$682,285	\$745,688	\$702,348	\$890,875	\$349,009	\$255,956	\$430,772	\$276,525	\$465,435	\$465,435
<b>Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund</b>												
<u>Workgroup Project Fund Revenues</u>												
Agency member project fund assessments	\$1,912,723	\$2,049,519	\$2,024,114	\$1,361,610	\$7,342,960	\$7,342,956	\$1,332,944	\$1,290,299	\$1,329,010	\$1,368,880	\$1,409,944	\$26,692,154
Interest				\$248,908	\$229,730	329,436	286,600	203,450	173,850	161,000	80,950	\$1,484,195
Other project revenues	0	0	5,000	12,197	0	20,000	0	0	0	0	0	\$37,197
<b>Total Project Fund Revenues</b>	<b>\$1,912,723</b>	<b>\$2,049,519</b>	<b>\$2,029,114</b>	<b>\$1,622,715</b>	<b>\$7,572,690</b>	<b>\$7,692,392</b>	<b>\$1,619,544</b>	<b>\$1,493,749</b>	<b>\$1,502,860</b>	<b>\$1,529,880</b>	<b>\$1,490,894</b>	<b>\$28,213,546</b>
<u>Workgroup Project Fund Expenses</u>												
DRSCW NPDES permit special conditions projects	\$477,075	\$490,257.52	\$362,154.05	\$1,382,253	\$10,878,560	\$6,257,771	\$4,987,670	\$2,283,370	\$2,189,830	\$1,484,470	\$5,540,888	\$28,213,546
<b>Total Project Fund Expenses</b>	<b>\$477,075</b>	<b>\$490,257.52</b>	<b>\$362,154.05</b>	<b>\$1,382,253</b>	<b>\$10,878,560</b>	<b>\$6,257,771</b>	<b>\$4,987,670</b>	<b>\$2,283,370</b>	<b>\$2,189,830</b>	<b>\$1,484,470</b>	<b>\$5,540,888</b>	<b>\$28,213,546</b>
<b>Net Workgroup Project Fund Revenues Over Expenses</b>	<b>\$1,435,648</b>	<b>\$1,559,262</b>	<b>\$1,666,960</b>	<b>\$240,463</b>	<b>(\$3,305,870)</b>	<b>\$1,434,622</b>	<b>(\$3,368,126)</b>	<b>(\$789,621)</b>	<b>(\$686,970)</b>	<b>\$45,410</b>	<b>(\$4,049,994)</b>	<b>\$0</b>
Ending Fund Balance	\$3,947,995	\$5,507,257	\$7,174,217	\$7,414,680	\$4,108,810	\$8,849,301	\$5,481,175	\$4,691,554	\$4,004,584	\$4,049,994	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Ending Fund Balance - All Funds</b>	<b>\$4,560,501</b>	<b>\$6,080,285</b>	<b>\$7,856,502</b>	<b>\$8,160,367</b>	<b>\$4,811,157</b>	<b>\$9,740,177</b>	<b>\$5,830,184</b>	<b>\$4,947,510</b>	<b>\$4,435,356</b>	<b>\$4,326,519</b>	<b>\$465,435</b>	<b>\$465,435</b>

The DRSCW budget may carry a significant balance year to year due to the necessity of accumulating significant capital before implementing a watershed improvement project.

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup												
Preliminary Five Year Budget												
February 28, 2025												
Dues Revenue												
						FY 24-25						
<u>Items</u>	<u>FY 20-21</u>	<u>FY 21-22</u>	<u>FY 22-23</u>	<u>FY 23-24</u>	<u>FY 24-25</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<b><u>FY 25-26</u></b>	<u>Inflation</u>	<u>FY 26-27</u>	<u>FY 27-28</u>	<u>FY 28-29</u>	<u>FY 29-30</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b><u>Budget</u></b>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Agency member dues												
Current year	\$480,283	\$497,470	\$408,230	\$524,813	\$543,600	\$523,516	<b>\$540,420</b>	3%	\$556,630	\$573,330	\$590,530	\$608,250
Prior/future years		104,163										
Subtotal	480,283	601,633	408,230	524,813	543,600	523,516	<b>540,420</b>		556,630	573,330	590,530	608,250
Associate and individual dues												
Current year	5,357	5,077	5,156	4,950	5,480	5,387	<b>5,886</b>	3%	5,640	5,810	5,980	6,160
Prior years												
Subtotal	5,357	5,077	5,156	4,950	5,480	5,387	<b>5,886</b>		5,640	5,810	5,980	6,160
<b><u>Agency Member dues</u></b>												
Assumes 3% dues increase each year in FY 24-25 through FY 28-29.												
<b><u>Associate Member dues</u></b>												
Assumes 3% dues increase each year in FY 24-25 through FY 28-29.												
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$485,640</b>	<b>\$606,710</b>	<b>\$413,386</b>	<b>\$529,763</b>	<b>\$549,080</b>	<b>\$528,903</b>	<b>\$546,306</b>		<b>\$562,270</b>	<b>\$579,140</b>	<b>\$596,510</b>	<b>\$614,410</b>

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup											
Preliminary Five Year Budget											
February 28, 2025											
Agency Member Dues Summary											
						FY 24-25					
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	<b>FY 25-26</b>	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b><u>Budget</u></b>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
<u>Agency Members</u>											
Addison	\$22,072	\$22,734	\$23,416	\$24,118	\$24,842	\$24,842	<b>\$25,586</b>	\$26,354	\$27,145	\$27,959	\$28,798
Arlington Heights	905	932	960	989	1,019	\$1,019	<b>\$1,051</b>	1,083	1,115	1,148	1,182
Aurora		1,033	1,064		1,129		<b>\$1,164</b>	1,199	1,235	1,272	1,310
Barrington											
Bartlett	10,528	10,844	11,169	11,504	11,849	\$11,849	<b>\$12,205</b>	12,571	12,948	13,336	13,736
Batavia											
Bensenville	11,044	11,375	11,716	12,067	12,429	\$12,429	<b>\$12,802</b>	13,186	13,582	13,989	14,409
Berkeley											
Bloomington	10,522	10,838	11,163	11,498	11,843	\$11,843	<b>\$12,196</b>	12,562	12,939	13,327	13,727
Bolingbrook	10,700	11,021	11,352	11,693	12,044	\$12,044	<b>\$12,404</b>	12,776	13,159	13,554	13,961
Broadview											
Brookfield											
Carol Stream	15,603	16,071	16,553	17,050	17,562	\$17,562	<b>\$18,091</b>	18,634	19,193	19,769	20,362
Clarendon Hills	582	599	617	636	655	\$655	<b>\$676</b>	696	717	739	761
Darien											
Deer Park											
Downers Grove	6,863	7,069	7,281	7,499	7,724	\$7,724	<b>\$7,956</b>	8,195	8,441	8,694	8,955
Downers Grove SD	22,840	23,525	24,231	24,958	25,707	\$25,707	<b>\$26,479</b>	27,273	28,091	28,934	29,802
DuPage County	59,202	60,978	62,807	64,691	66,632	\$66,632	<b>\$68,631</b>	70,690	72,811	74,995	77,245
Elk Grove Village											
Elmhurst	21,368	22,009	22,669	23,349	24,049	\$24,049	<b>\$24,773</b>	25,516	26,281	27,069	27,881
Franklin Park											
Glenbard WW Authority	33,145	34,139	35,163	36,218	37,305	\$37,305	<b>\$38,426</b>	39,579	40,766	41,989	43,249
Glen Ellyn	3,341	3,441	3,544	3,650	3,760	\$3,760	<b>\$3,874</b>	3,990	4,110	4,233	4,360
Glendale Heights	13,543	13,949	14,367	14,798	15,242	\$15,242	<b>\$15,702</b>	16,173	16,658	17,158	17,673
Hanover Park	8,290	8,539	8,795	9,059	9,331	\$9,331	<b>\$9,612</b>	9,900	10,197	10,503	10,818
Hillside											
Hinsdale	647	666	686	707	728	\$728	<b>\$751</b>	774	797	821	846
Hoffman Estates	2,842	2,927	3,015	3,105	3,198	\$3,198	<b>\$3,295</b>	3,394	3,496	3,601	3,709
Inverness											
Itasca	7,893	8,130	8,374	8,625	8,884	\$8,884	<b>\$9,151</b>	9,426	9,709	10,000	10,300

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup											
Preliminary Five Year Budget											
February 28, 2025											
Agency Member Dues Summary											
						FY 24-25					
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	<b>FY 25-26</b>	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Lisle	3,361	3,462	3,566	3,673	3,783	\$3,783	<b>\$3,898</b>	4,015	4,135	4,259	4,387
Lombard	4,813	4,957	5,106	5,259	5,417	\$5,417	<b>\$5,582</b>	5,749	5,921	6,099	6,282
Maywood											
Melrose Park											
MWRDGC	98,185	205,293		107,288	110,507	\$110,507	<b>\$113,822</b>	117,237	120,754	124,377	128,108
Naperville	9,544	9,830	10,125	10,429	10,742						
Northlake	1,505	1,550	1,597	1,645	1,694						
Oak Brook	4,093	4,216	4,342	4,472	4,606						
Oakbrook Terrace	926	954	983	1,012	1,042	\$1,042	<b>\$1,074</b>	1,106	1,139	1,173	1,208
Palatine	4,627	4,766	4,909	5,056	5,208	\$5,208	<b>\$5,363</b>	5,524	5,690	5,861	6,037
Rolling Meadows											
Roselle	9,680	9,970	10,269	10,577	10,894	\$10,894	<b>\$11,221</b>	11,558	11,905	12,262	12,630
Salt Creek SD	7,034	7,245	7,462	7,686	7,917	\$7,917	<b>\$8,155</b>	8,400	8,652	8,912	9,179
Schaumburg	7,851	8,087	8,330	8,580	8,837	\$8,837	<b>\$9,103</b>	9,376	9,657	9,947	10,245
St Charles											
South Barrington											
Stone Park											
Streamwood	611	629	648	667	687	\$687	<b>\$709</b>	730	752	775	798
Villa Park	2,451	2,525	2,601	2,679	2,759	\$2,759	<b>\$2,844</b>	2,929	3,017	3,108	3,201
Warrenville	2,834	2,919	3,007	3,097	3,190	\$3,190	<b>\$3,286</b>	3,385	3,487	3,592	3,700
Wayne											
West Chicago	21,851	22,507	23,182	23,877	24,593	\$24,593	<b>\$25,333</b>	26,093	26,876	27,682	28,512
Westchester		1,748	1,800		1,910						
Western Springs	611	629	648	667	687	\$687	<b>\$709</b>	730	752	775	798
Westmont	2,036	2,097	2,160	2,225	2,292	\$2,292	<b>\$2,360</b>	2,431	2,504	2,579	2,656
Wheaton	5,503	5,668	5,838	6,013	6,193	\$6,193	<b>\$6,380</b>	6,571	6,768	6,971	7,180
Wheaton SD	18,529	19,085	19,658	20,248	20,855	\$20,855	<b>\$21,480</b>	22,124	22,788	23,472	24,176
Winfield	1,445	1,488	1,533	1,579	1,626	\$1,626	<b>\$1,678</b>	1,728	1,780	1,833	1,888
Wood Dale	8,133	8,377	8,628	8,887	9,154	\$9,154	<b>\$9,431</b>	9,714	10,005	10,305	10,614
Woodridge	2,730	2,812	2,896	2,983	3,072	\$3,072	<b>\$3,165</b>	3,260	3,358	3,459	3,563
Totals - Agency Members	480,283	\$601,633	\$408,230	\$524,813	\$543,597	\$523,516	<b>\$540,418</b>	\$556,631	\$573,330	\$590,531	\$608,246

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup							
Preliminary Five Year Budget							
February 28, 2025							
Associate and Individual Member Dues Summary							
						FY 24-25	
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	FY 25-26
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>
<u>Associate Members</u>							
AECOM	\$212	\$218	\$225	\$232	\$239	\$239	246
Arcadis U.S. Inc.							
Baxter & Woodman	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Black & Veatch	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Carollo Engineers							
CDM Smith							
Christopher B. Burke Eng. Ltd	212	218	225	232	\$239		246
Clark Dietz	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Clark Hill							
Conservation Design Forum							
The Conservation Foundation	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Cowhey Gudmundson Leder							
Deuchler Engineering	212	218					
Donohue & Associates	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
DuPage County Health Dept							
Elmhurst-Chicago Stone	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Engineering Resource Assoc	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Fehr Graham						\$239	246
FPD of Cook County							
Forest Preserve District of DC	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Gasaway Distributors							
Geosyntec Consultants	212						
HDR							
Hey & Assoc	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
HR Green, Inc							
Huff & Huff (GZA)	212	218	225	232	\$239		246
Industrial Systems, Ltd.							
Illinois Dept of Transportation	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Illinois State Tollway Highway	130	134	138		\$146	\$288	150
Inter-Fluve							
Kabbes Engineering							
K-Tech Specialty Coatings, Inc							
LaGrange Park	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Lisle Township Highway Departn	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Metro Strategies							
Monroe Truck							
Morris Engineering, Inc.							
Morton Arboretum	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Naperville Park District	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Naperville Township Road Distric	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Prairie Rivers Network	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
RHMG Engineers, Inc							
RJN Group							
Robinson Engineering	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Ruekert-Mielke							
Salt Creek Watershed Network	130	134	138	140	\$146	\$147	150

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup							
Preliminary Five Year Budget							
February 28, 2025							
Associate and Individual Member Dues Summary							
						FY 24-25	
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	FY 25-26
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>
Sierra Club	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Stantec	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
Strand Assoc	212	218	225		\$239	\$239	246
Suburban Laboratories							
Trotter & Associates, Inc.	212		212	232	\$239	\$239	246
V3 Companies	212	218	225	232	\$239	\$239	246
WellSpring Env Products							
Wight & Company							
York Township Highway Dept	130	134	138	142	\$146	\$146	150
Subtotals - Associate Members	\$5,294	\$5,012	\$5,156	\$4,950	\$5,483	\$5,387	5886
<u>Individual Members</u>							
Ross A. Hill							
Steve Kaar							
Mary Lou Kalsted							
Nick Menninga	\$63	\$65					\$0
Subtotals - Individual Members	\$63	\$65					\$0
Totals - Associate/Individual	\$5,357	\$5,077		\$4,950	\$5,483	\$5,387	\$5,483

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup												
Preliminary Five Year Budget												
February 28, 2025												
Grants Revenue												
						FY 24-25						
<u>Items</u>	<u>FY 20-21</u>	<u>FY 21-22</u>	<u>FY 22-23</u>	<u>FY 23-24</u>	<u>FY 24-25</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<b><u>FY 25-26</u></b>	<u>Inflation</u>	<u>FY 26-27</u>	<u>FY 27-28</u>	<u>FY 28-29</u>	<u>FY 29-30</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b><u>Budget</u></b>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Upper Salt Creek 319 Watershed Plan			\$79,317	\$40,547	\$0	\$0						
<b>Totals</b>	\$0	\$0	\$79,317	\$40,547	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup Preliminary Five Year Budget February 28, 2025 Interest												
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 24-25 Projected	FY 25-26	Interest	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30
<u>Items</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Itasca Bank checking account	\$118	\$95	\$86	\$354		\$394						
Itasca Bank NOW account		1,015	1,645	1,360		666						
Capital One 360 savings account (ING)	817	322	153	147		24						
Synchrony Bank (GE Capital Retail)												
TIAA/EverBank	1,495	375										
Axos Bank (B of I) Money Market	34	603	316	53		12						
TIAA Money Market			111									
Naperville Bk Trust CD	694	921	850									
WinTrust and T-Bills	21,607	4,982	45,902	271,289		361,479						
Total interest (both funds)				273,203		362,576						
Interest allocated to Projects Fund				248,908		329,436						
Future interest based on average fund balance during the year at the indicated interest rate					29,050		<b>24,850</b>	4.0%	\$12,200	\$13,900	\$14,400	\$15,150
Totals	\$24,766	\$8,313	\$49,061	\$23,872	\$29,050	\$33,139	<b>\$24,850</b>		\$12,200	\$13,900	\$14,400	\$15,150

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup												
Preliminary Five Year Budget												
February 28, 2025												
Administrative Expenses												
						FY 24-25						
<u>Items</u>	<u>FY 20-21</u>	<u>FY 21-22</u>	<u>FY 22-23</u>	<u>FY 23-24</u>	<u>FY 24-25</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<b><u>FY 25-26</u></b>	<u>Inflation</u>	<u>FY 26-27</u>	<u>FY 27-28</u>	<u>FY 28-29</u>	<u>FY 29-30</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b><u>Budget</u></b>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Staff salaries (1.6 FTE/2.6 FTE)	\$227,374	\$232,540	\$245,823	\$261,028	\$277,060	\$272,496	<b>\$281,567</b>	4%	\$292,830	\$304,540	\$316,720	\$329,390
Staff salaries - 401 K match (in salaries 24-25)	2,857	2,990	8,027	7,720				4%	\$0	0	0	0
Fixed office expenses	13,944	15,200	16,033	16,728	17,230	17,230	<b>17,575</b>	2%	17,930	18,290	18,660	19,030
Staff expenses												
Mileage & Tolls	1,937	3,315	4,696	5,229	5,640	4,544	<b>5,809</b>	3%	5,980	6,160	6,340	6,530
Travel, parking, train, hotel, etc.	24		1,262	1,151	2,000	2,123	<b>2,187</b>	3%	2,250	2,320	2,390	2,460
Cell phone	2,589	2,336	2,350	2,163	2,500	2,506	<b>2,581</b>	3%	2,660	2,740	2,820	2,900
Postage, mailing, etc.	6	17		18	50	0	<b>50</b>	3%	50	50	50	50
Printing, copying	12	374	269	259	400	194	<b>400</b>	3%	410	420	430	440
Supplies, checks, etc	70		22	58	70	0	<b>70</b>	3%	70	70	70	70
Other			8					3%	0	0	0	0
Staff training	308	916	872	1,113	2,500	1,425	<b>2,500</b>	3%	2,580	2,660	2,740	2,820
Laptop, battery, power cord, etc	219	1,567	593	2,193	500	98	<b>500</b>	3%	520	3,000	500	500
Insurance	1,832	1,832	1,910	1,981	2,200	2,013	<b>2,200</b>	3%	2,270	2,340	2,410	2,480
Audit	5,950	6,100	6,250	6,400	6,550	6,550	<b>6,700</b>	3%	6,900	7,110	7,320	7,540
Tax returns	1,000	1,030	1,060	1,090	2,010	2,010	<b>2,020</b>	3%	2,080	2,140	2,200	2,270
Software	425	708	372	663	700	1,122	<b>1,200</b>	2%	1,220	1,240	1,260	1,290
Meeting expenses	15	217	34	250	300	88	<b>300</b>	2%	310	320	330	340
Memberships	340	392	365	375	650	375	<b>400</b>	2%	410	420	430	440
Outside contract services		2,081	159	309	1,600	0	<b>1,600</b>	2%	1,630	1,660	1,690	1,720
Registration and filing fees	25	25	25	40	50	25	<b>50</b>	2%	50	50	50	50
Speaking honorarium		(3,544)										
Web site - monthly fee/hosting	62	767	404	132	450	742	<b>764</b>	3%	790		810	
Web site - consultant		29		270	5,000	191	<b>7,500</b>	3%	2,500	2,580	2,660	2,740
Legislative - consultant												
Legislative - registration fees												
Legislative - travel												
Strategic planning												
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$258,990</b>	<b>\$268,892</b>	<b>\$290,534</b>	<b>\$309,171</b>	<b>\$327,460</b>	<b>\$313,732</b>	<b>\$335,973</b>		<b>\$343,440</b>	<b>\$358,110</b>	<b>\$369,880</b>	<b>\$383,060</b>



DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup Preliminary Five Year Budget February 28, 2025 Chloride Reduction Program Expenses												
						FY 24-25						
	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	<b>FY 25-26</b>	Inflation	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30
<u>Items</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
<b><u>Workgroup Activities Fund</u></b>												
POTW chloride testing												
Tollway MOU Review/Offset Program	\$1,560				\$3,000	0	<b>\$6,000</b>	3%	\$6,180	\$6,370		
Workshops						0						
Expenses	2,115			\$0	8,000	0	<b>1,500</b>	3%	1,550	\$1,600	\$1,650	\$1,700
Registration fees	(2,065)				(5,000)	0		3%	0	0	0	0
Reimbursements/sponsorships	(236)	(451)		(189)	(2,190)	0		3%	0	0	0	0
Level 2 workshop (new 2019)					4,000	0	<b>4,000</b>	3%	4,120		4,640	
Future initiatives					10,000	0	<b>10,000</b>		4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Chloride Study 2020 (B&W)	8,219	4,808			7,000	1,606	<b>4,394</b>				7,500	
Questionnaire					3,000	0	<b>3,000</b>	3%		3,180		3,370
Weather Data (WC)		6,250	750	750	750	2,300	<b>1,500</b>		1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Chloride Sampling	245	100	2239	297	1,500	473	<b>1,500</b>					
Totals - Workgroup Activities Fund	\$9,839	\$10,706	\$2,989	\$858	\$30,060	\$4,379	<b>\$31,894</b>		\$17,350	\$16,650	\$19,290	\$10,570
<b><u>Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund</u></b>												
Phase VI contract												
Tollway offset program												
Future initiatives												
Totals - Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup												
Preliminary Five Year Budget												
February 28, 2025												
Project Identification from Bioassessment Work												
						FY 24-25						
<u>Items</u>	<u>FY 20-21</u>	<u>FY 21-22</u>	<u>FY 22-23</u>	<u>FY 23-24</u>	<u>FY 24-25</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<b><u>FY 25-26</u></b>	<u>Inflation</u>	<u>FY 26-27</u>	<u>FY 27-28</u>	<u>FY 28-29</u>	<u>FY 29-30</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<b><u>Budget</u></b>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
Stream improvement projects identification and prioritization using bioassessment and other available data (IPS model)												
White paper preparation/review												
Legal review												
Update IPS using 2009-2016 data												
Total Contract Cost	\$6,596	\$1,000		\$2,199		\$0						
Payments from LDRWC/NBWW	(8,137)	(4,397)		(1,466)		0						
Net MBI Contract Cost To DRSCW	(1,541)	(3,397)										
Other costs - IPS Peer Review (Kieser)												
Other costs - IPS Peer Review (MBI)	5,589											
Total IPS costs to DRSCW	4,048	(3,397)		733		\$0						
PowerBI workshop					\$20,000		<b>\$20,000</b>					
IPS level of rigour analysis					16,050		<b>16,050</b>					
PowerBI data update					10,000		<b>10,000</b>					
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$4,048</b>	<b>(\$3,397)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$733</b>	<b>\$46,050</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$46,050</b>		<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup												
Preliminary Five Year Budget												
February 28, 2025												
Other Projects												
						FY 24-25						
<u>Items</u>	<u>FY 20-21</u>	<u>FY 21-22</u>	<u>FY 22-23</u>	<u>FY 23-24</u>	<u>FY 24-25</u>	<u>Projected</u>	<u>FY 25-26</u>	<u>Inflation</u>	<u>FY 26-27</u>	<u>FY 27-28</u>	<u>FY 28-29</u>	<u>FY 29-30</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>	<u>Estimated</u>
QUAL 2K stream models (special conditions) (transfer to Workgroup NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund)	\$30,090											
Upper Salt Creek 319 Watershed Plan		\$55,083	\$59,468	\$ 5,362		\$0						
Invasive Species (Gobi) Extension Study							\$5,000					
Mixing Zone and Mussels												
Hydrilla Treatment							10,000					
Totals	\$30,090	\$55,083	\$59,468	\$5,362	\$0	\$0	\$15,000		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup																		
Preliminary Five Year Budget																		
February 28, 2025																		
NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund																		
Fifteen Year Summary																		
Revenues, Project Costs and Fund Balances																		
	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30	Fifteen	Current
Items	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Projected	Budget	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Year	Project
											Actual					Total	Completion	
<b>Project Fund Revenues</b>																		
Agency member project fund assessments	\$575,909	\$675,952	\$1,051,347	\$1,082,549	\$1,884,398	\$1,912,723	\$2,049,519	\$2,024,114	\$1,361,610	\$7,342,960	\$7,342,956	\$1,332,944	\$1,290,299	\$1,329,010	\$1,368,880	\$1,409,944	\$26,692,154	
Interest									\$248,908	229,730	329,436	286,600	203,450	173,850	161,000	80,950	1,484,195	
Other project revenues							5,000	12,197		0	20,000	0	0	0	0	0	37,197	
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>\$575,909</b>	<b>\$675,952</b>	<b>\$1,051,347</b>	<b>\$1,082,549</b>	<b>\$1,884,398</b>	<b>\$1,912,723</b>	<b>\$2,049,519</b>	<b>\$2,029,114</b>	<b>\$1,622,715</b>	<b>\$7,572,690</b>	<b>\$7,692,392</b>	<b>\$1,619,544</b>	<b>\$1,493,749</b>	<b>\$1,502,860</b>	<b>\$1,529,880</b>	<b>\$1,490,894</b>	<b>\$28,213,546</b>	
<b>Project Fund Costs</b>																		
Oak Meadows - dam removal		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$250,091													\$2,250,091	12/31/16
Oak Meadows - post project monitoring				5,000	\$9,707	\$6,728			3,400		3,400						28,235	
Fullersburg Woods - concept plan		2,500	4,665	18,209	11,903	27,764		210	90	\$4,700	536	\$5,000					70,877	12/31/16
Oak Meadows - stream restoration																	0	12/31/17
Fawell Dam Modification		48,192	54,584	18,859	18,811	26,850	38,811		54,483	854,970	155,754	700,550	\$100,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	1,276,893	12/31/26
Spring Brook					6,362	160,000	6,162	2,891	21,042	22,840	10,878	23,430	14,960				245,726	12/31/20
Fullersburg Woods - dam modification (I&II)					148,488	104,994	207,221	174,441	128,361	9,603,880	6,057,730	3,847,920					10,669,154	12/31/24
Fullersburg Woods - stream monitoring					8,949	1,564	10,931					13,860	14,140	14,850	15,590	16,370	96,254	12/31/24
NPS Phosphorus Feasibility Analysis (NIP)		2,500		4,550			24,946										31,996	12/31/24
Southern West Branch stream enhancement (KC)							6,143.42	3,757	1,100,000	13,230	5,911	21,950	14,270	14,980	15,730		1,182,741	12/31/23
Southern East Branch stream enhancement (I&II)							90,887	103,607	612	193,600	12,680	200,000	2,120,000	1,880,000			4,407,786	12/31/27
Southern East Branch stream monitoring							10,475	7,025		12,680					23,150	24,310	64,960	12/31/27
QUAL 2K stream models (NIP)					50	111,780	101,631	40,288	16,775	5,530		6,000					276,524	12/31/23
Transfer from Activities fund for QUAL 2K							(30,090)										(30,090)	
Cost share from LDRWC for QUAL 2K							(55,128)		(12,865)	(12,870)							(67,993)	
Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP)				14,085	3,931	21,011	27,907	12,602	66,702	80,000	10,881	68,960	10,000				236,079	12/31/24
DO IPS Analysis (NIP)								39,390	6,597								45,987	01/01/24
Cost share from LDWG for DO IPS analysis								(22,056)	(2,944)								(25,000)	
Phosphorus trading program for POTWs			30,716	57,602	21,729	46,475	20,271										176,793	12/31/23
Chloride reduction program		12,257	2,753	1,315													16,324	annual
West Branch Restoration Project														250,000	1,400,000		1,650,000	12/31/28
NIP projects (to be identified)																5,466,208	5,466,208	12/31/37
Contingency and scope expansions										100,000		100,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	14,000	144,000	
<b>Total Project Costs</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,065,448</b>	<b>\$1,092,718</b>	<b>\$369,711</b>	<b>\$229,930</b>	<b>\$477,075</b>	<b>\$490,258</b>	<b>362,154</b>	<b>1,382,253</b>	<b>10,878,560</b>	<b>6,257,771</b>	<b>4,987,670</b>	<b>2,283,370</b>	<b>2,189,830</b>	<b>1,484,470</b>	<b>5,540,888</b>	<b>\$28,213,546</b>	
<b>Net - Revenues over Expenses</b>	<b>\$575,909</b>	<b>(\$389,496)</b>	<b>(\$41,371)</b>	<b>\$712,838</b>	<b>\$1,654,468</b>	<b>\$1,435,648</b>	<b>\$1,559,262</b>	<b>\$1,666,960</b>	<b>\$240,463</b>	<b>(\$3,305,870)</b>	<b>\$1,434,622</b>	<b>(\$3,368,126)</b>	<b>(\$789,621)</b>	<b>(\$686,970)</b>	<b>\$45,410</b>	<b>(\$4,049,994)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	
<b>Project Fund Balances</b>																		
Cumulative Fund Balance	\$575,909	\$186,413	\$145,042	\$857,880	\$2,512,348	\$3,947,995	\$5,507,257	\$7,174,217	\$7,414,680	\$4,108,810	\$8,849,301	5,481,175	\$4,691,554	\$4,004,584	\$4,049,994	\$0		

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup																	
Preliminary Five Year Budget																	
February 28, 2025																	
NPDES Permit Special Condition Project Fund																	
Fifteen Year Summary																	
Agency Member Project Fund Assessments																	
												FY 24-25					Fifteen
	FY 15-16	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	Projected	<b>FY 25-26</b>	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30	Year
<b>Current Agency members</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Total</b>
Addison	\$48,754	\$50,217	\$82,152	\$84,617	\$140,273	\$144,482	\$148,816	\$153,280	\$137,952	\$137,952	\$137,952	<b>\$137,952</b>	\$86,311	\$88,900	\$91,567	\$94,314	\$1,627,539
Bartlett	21,108	21,741	35,567	36,634	60,730	62,552	64,429	66,361									369,122
Bensenville	3,459	3,459	3,460	3,459	3,459	3,459	3,459	3,459									27,673
Bloomington	19,788	20,382	33,344	34,344	56,934	58,643	60,402	62,214	55,993	55,993	55,993	<b>55,993</b>	38,752	39,914	41,112	42,345	676,153
Bolingbrook		58,684	48,711	50,173	83,174	85,669	88,239	90,886	81,797	81,797	81,797	<b>81,797</b>	101,232	104,269	107,397	110,618	1,174,443
Carol Stream	30,973	31,902	52,191	53,757	89,115	91,788	94,542	97,378	87,640	87,640	87,640	<b>87,640</b>	117,860	121,396	125,038	128,789	1,297,649
Downers Grove SD	63,094	64,986	106,315	109,504	181,530	186,976	192,585	198,363	178,527	178,527	178,527	<b>178,527</b>	223,259	229,956	236,855	243,961	2,572,965
DuPage County	71,697	73,848	120,812	124,437	206,284	212,473	218,847	225,412	202,871	202,871	202,871	<b>202,871</b>	63,093	64,985	66,935	68,943	2,126,379
Elmhurst	45,886	47,263	77,320	79,639	132,022	135,983	140,062	144,264	129,838	129,838	129,838	<b>129,838</b>	151,268	155,806	160,481	165,295	1,824,803
Glenbard WW Authority	91,887	94,644	154,833	159,478	264,374	272,305	280,474	288,888	259,999	259,999	259,999	<b>259,999</b>	325,146	334,900	344,947	355,296	3,747,169
Glendale Heights	30,170	31,075	50,838	52,363	86,804	89,409	92,091	94,854									527,604
Hanover Park	13,881	14,297	23,389	24,091	39,937	41,135	42,369	43,640	39,276	39,276	39,276	<b>39,276</b>	49,117	50,590	52,108	53,671	566,053
Itasca	1,913	1,914	1,914	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,913	1,913			0						15,306
MWRDGC					49,547	49,547	99,094	49,547		6,010,012	6,010,012						6,257,746
Roselle	19,502	20,087	32,861	33,847	56,109	57,793	59,526	61,312	55,181	55,181	55,181	<b>55,181</b>	19,659	20,249	20,857	21,482	588,827
Salt Creek SD	18,928	19,496	31,894	32,851	54,459	56,093	57,776	59,509	53,558	53,558	53,558	<b>53,558</b>	51,684	53,235	54,832	56,477	707,908
West Chicago	43,821	45,136	73,840	76,056	126,081	129,863	133,759	137,772									766,328
Wheaton SD	51,048	52,580	86,018	88,599	146,874	151,281	155,819	160,494									892,713
Wood Dale		24,241	35,888	36,787	51,159	52,693	54,274	55,902	50,312	50,312	50,312	<b>50,312</b>	62,918	64,806	66,750	68,753	725,107
Subtotal	\$575,909	\$675,952	\$1,051,347	\$1,082,549	\$1,830,778	\$1,884,057	\$1,988,476	\$1,995,448	\$1,332,944	\$7,342,956	\$7,342,956	<b>\$1,332,944</b>	\$1,290,299	\$1,329,006	\$1,368,879	\$1,409,944	\$26,491,487
Lower DuPage River Watershed Coalition Member Assessments					53,620	28,666	61,044	28,666	28,666		0	<b>0</b>	0	0	0		200,662
Total Project Assessments	\$575,909	\$675,952	\$1,051,347	\$1,082,549	\$1,884,398	\$1,912,723	\$2,049,519	<b>\$2,024,114</b>	<b>\$1,361,610</b>	\$7,342,956	\$7,342,956	<b>\$1,332,944</b>	\$1,290,299	\$1,329,006	\$1,368,879	\$1,409,944	\$26,692,149

For Informational Purposes

**Preliminary DRSCW proposed NIP assessments.** Taken from Table 47 of the Nutrient Implementation Plan (NIP 2024), reflects 30% of the WWTP's reported M&O cost. Table is provided for planning purposes only and does not signify an obligation on the part of any listed agency. The schedule of payments for any agency opting into the NIP Special Conditions may vary from the table below based on the agency's individual choices on how to reach the NIP effluent target. Agencies implementing phosphorous removal on an accelerated schedule may forego Special Condition assessment payments entirely.

DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup Proposed NIP Assessments October 10, 2023											
	Proposed Assessments based on 1.0 mg/l				Proposed Assessments based on 0.5 mg/l						NIP
Current Agency members	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	Assessments Subtotal
<b>DRSCW</b>											
Addison	\$86,311	\$88,900	\$91,567	\$94,314	\$116,969	\$120,478	\$124,092	\$127,815	\$131,649	\$135,599	\$1,117,694
Bartlett	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$26,151	\$26,936	\$27,744	\$28,576	\$29,433	\$30,316	\$169,156
Bensenville	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,138	\$22,802	\$23,486	\$24,190	\$24,916	\$25,664	\$143,196
Bloomington	\$38,752	\$39,914	\$41,112	\$42,345	\$64,322	\$66,251	\$68,239	\$70,286	\$72,395	\$74,567	\$578,183
Bolingbrook (#1 & #2)	\$101,232	\$104,269	\$107,397	\$110,618	\$117,345	\$120,865	\$124,491	\$128,226	\$132,073	\$136,035	\$1,182,551
Carol Stream	\$117,860	\$121,396	\$125,038	\$128,789	\$135,384	\$139,446	\$143,629	\$147,938	\$152,376	\$156,947	\$1,368,803
Downers Grove SD	\$223,259	\$229,956	\$236,855	\$243,961	\$256,110	\$263,794	\$271,707	\$279,859	\$288,254	\$296,902	\$2,590,657
DuPage County	\$63,093	\$64,985	\$66,935	\$68,943	\$250,682	\$258,202	\$265,948	\$273,927	\$282,144	\$290,609	\$1,885,468
Elmhurst	\$151,268	\$155,806	\$160,481	\$165,295	\$186,262	\$191,850	\$197,605	\$203,533	\$209,639	\$215,929	\$1,837,668
Glenbard WW Authority	\$325,146	\$334,900	\$344,947	\$355,296	\$372,990	\$384,179	\$395,705	\$407,576	\$419,803	\$432,397	\$3,772,939
Glendale Heights	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,769	\$39,932	\$41,130	\$42,364	\$43,635	\$44,944	\$250,774
Hanover Park	\$49,117	\$50,590	\$52,108	\$53,671	\$56,344	\$58,035	\$59,776	\$61,569	\$63,416	\$65,318	\$569,944
Itasca	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,953	\$24,671	\$25,412	\$26,174	\$26,959	\$27,768	\$154,937
MWRDGC	\$609,739	\$628,031	\$646,872	\$666,279	\$742,715	\$764,997	\$787,947	\$811,585	\$835,933	\$861,011	\$7,355,109
Roselle	\$19,659	\$20,249	\$20,857	\$21,482	\$29,473	\$30,357	\$31,267	\$32,205	\$33,172	\$34,167	\$272,888
Salt Creek SD	\$51,684	\$53,235	\$54,832	\$56,477	\$64,801	\$66,745	\$68,747	\$70,810	\$72,934	\$75,122	\$635,387
West Chicago	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$127,762	\$131,595	\$135,543	\$139,609	\$143,798	\$148,112	\$826,419
Wheaton SD	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$58,502	\$60,257	\$62,065	\$63,927	\$65,844	\$67,820	\$378,415
Wood Dale	\$62,918	\$64,806	\$66,750	\$68,753	\$72,177	\$74,342	\$76,572	\$78,869	\$81,235	\$83,672	\$730,094
Totals	\$1,900,038	\$1,957,037	\$2,015,751	\$2,076,223	\$2,762,849	\$2,845,734	\$2,931,105	\$3,019,038	\$3,109,608	\$3,202,899	\$25,820,282
Cumulative totals	\$1,900,038	\$3,857,075	\$5,872,826	\$7,949,049	\$10,711,898	\$13,557,632	\$16,488,737	\$19,507,775	\$22,617,383	\$25,820,282	